WRID, Lyon County and Bowman Protestants

EXHIBIT

185

Walker River Irrigation District Response to Joint Comments of the Walker River Paiute Tribe and the United States of America, filed May 17, 2012 in the Walker River Action

Case 3:73-cv-00125-ECR -WGC Document 1088 Filed 05/17/12 Page 1 of 5

1	GORDON H. DEPAOLI Nevada State Bar No. 0195	
2	DALE E. FERGUSON Nevada State Bar No. 4986	
3	Woodburn and Wedge 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500	
4	Reno, Nevada 89511 Telephone (775) 688-3000	
5	Email: gdepaoli@woodburnandwedge.com	
6	Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation District	
7		
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11		
12	UNITED STATE OF AMERICA,	In Equity No. C-125 CV-N-73-125-ECR-WGC
13	Plaintiff,	l
14	WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,	RESPONSE OF WALKER RIVER
15	Plaintiff-Intervenor,	IRRIGATION DISTRICT TO JOINT COMMENTS OF THE WALKER
16	vs.	RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
17 18	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21	The Walker River Irrigation District (the "District") submits this Response to certain	
22	of the Joint Comments of the Walker River Paiute Tribe (the "Tribe") and the United States	
23	filed herein on May 16, 2012, Doc. 1087.	
24	The Place of Measurement for the Tribe's Decreed Right.	
25	The Joint Comments refer once again to the provisions of the Plan of Distribution	
26	which state that the Tribe's decreed right will be measured at the Parkers Gage. This and	
27	related comments have been filed since 1993.	
28		

The Walker River Decree states:

I. The plaintiff, United States of America, is hereby adjudged and decreed to be the owner of the right to divert a continuous flow of 26.25 cubic feet per second of the natural flow of the Walker River to be diverted from said stream upon or above the Walker River Indian Reservation during the irrigation season of 180 days of each year for the irrigation of 2100 acres of land situated in the Walker River Indian Reservation.

The Rules and Regulations for Distribution of Water on the Walker River Stream System approved by order of this Court on September 3, 1953, state that "a gaging station at Parkers at the lower end of Mason Valley will measure water for the Indian Service." Those Rules also provide for a "plan of distribution" for each irrigation season.

Since about 1988, that annual Plan of Distribution has stated that "a gaging station at Parkers at the lower end of Mason Valley will measure [the 26.25 cfs] for the Indian Service." Beginning in 1993 and each year thereafter, the Tribe and the United States have either filed separate, but identical, or joint comments to the annual Plan of Distribution. Until May of 2001, those comments have generally stated:

2. As was the case last year and in previous years, the Board's proposed Plan of Distribution requires the measurement of the Tribe's decreed right of 26.25 cfs of natural flow of the Walker River at the Parkers gaging station, which is above the Reservation and a substantial distance from any of the Tribe's irrigation facilities. See Plan of Distribution § VI at 5. Because the Board measures the Tribe's decreed right at Parkers and does not account for conveyance losses, the Tribe does not receive its full 26.25 cfs of water for use on the Reservation as required by the *Decree* (Apr. 14, 1936), as amended, *Order for Entry of Amended Final Decree to Conform to Writ of Mandate, Etc.* (Apr. 24, 1940) ("Final Decree").

* * *

4. The Plan of Distribution is based on the rules and regulations that this Court approved in 1953 to aid the Board in carrying out the Court's mandate regarding the decreed rights to the waters of the Walker River. . . . The Tribe and the United States assert that this language is contrary to the Final Decree, which requires that the Tribe receive 26.25 cfs of natural flow of the Walker River for use upon the lands of the Walker River Indian Reservation. See Final Decree § I.

1

7

4

8

1011

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

- 5. The Tribe and the United States Geologic Service ("U.S.G.S.") have installed a gaging station on the Reservation, known as the Cow Camp Gage. The Tribe and the United States anticipate that the Cow Camp gaging station will provide additional information to assist in determining the losses that occur below the Parkers gage. The Tribe and the United States, however, recognize the concerns that members of the Board and other water users have voiced about the need to corroborate the accuracy of the gaging station. To the extent that the Cow Camp gaging station does not provide complete and accurate information, the United States and the Tribe are in the process of requesting that the U.S.G.S. assist in the determination and installation of an alternate gage that is appropriately sited and provides complete and accurate information. Thus, for this year, the Tribe and the United States do not object to the Board continuing to administer the Walker River Decree and the delivery of water to Division One in the same manner as in the last seven years. This interim period will allow the Tribe and the U.S.G.S. to consider this request. The Tribe's and the United States' recommended approach will also avoid issues regarding Weber Reservoir which will be addressed in subproceeding C-125-B.
- 6. Although it is not necessary to resolve the issues surrounding the measurement of the Tribe's decreed water rights at this time, the actions of the Tribe and the United States are not intended to waive any objection that the Tribe and the United States may have to measuring the Tribe's water right at the Parkers gaging station or otherwise prejudice the Tribe's position on this critical matter.

See, Joint Comments of Walker River Paiute Tribe and the United States, at pgs. 2-5, filed Mar. 12, 2000.

Beginning in 2001, the United States and the Tribe added some new language to their otherwise consistent comments on this issue. That new language, which has been repeated every year since, is to the effect that "in practice, the Decree has been administered in such a way as to at least partially compensate for the losses between the Parkers Gage and the point of diversion on the Reservation." In every case, they have stated that they are not waiving their objections to measurement at the Parkers Gage. The Tribe and the United States takes the same position this year.

However, to date, neither the Tribe nor the United States has asked the Court or the United States Board of Water Commissioners to alter the 1953 Rules concerning the place of

Case 3:73-cv-00125-ECR -WGC Document 1088 Filed 05/17/12 Page 4 of 5

1 measurement, or to include a specific increment of water at the place of measurement to offset 2 alleged conveyance losses. No facts have been submitted to identify alleged conveyance 3 losses. 4 Because the flow at the Parkers Gage is not always subject to control by the Chief 5 Deputy Water Commissioner, or anyone else, one cannot interpret flows in excess of 26.25 6 cfs at the Parkers Gage as a "practice" to administer the Decree "in such a way as to at least 7 partially compensate for losses between the Parkers Gage and the point of diversion or the 8 9 Reservation" See Doc. 1087, p. 3, lns. 11-13. For example, last year on May 20, 2011, the 10 flow at the Parkers Gage was 611 cfs. However, the District recognizes that the United States 11 and Tribe have not waived the rights they may have to seek in the future a different place of 12 measurement and/or to the addition of water to offset conveyance losses, if any. 13 Dated this 17th day of May, 2012. WOODBURN AND WEDGE 14 15 By: / s / Gordon H. DePaoli 16 Gordon H. DePaoli 17 Attorneys for the Walker River Irrigation District 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that I am an employee of Woodburn and Wedge and that on the 17th 3 day of May, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing Response of Walker River Irrigation 4 District to Joint Comments of the Walker River Painte Tribe and the United States of America 5 with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such 6 filing to parties of record via their email addresses. 7 I further certify that I served a copy of the foregoing to the following non-CM/ECF 8 participants by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 17th day of May, 2012: 9 10 Robert Auer Jason King District Attorney for Lyon County State Engineer, Div. of Water Resources 11 31 S. Main St. State of Nevada Yerington, NV 89447 901 S. Stewart St. 12 Carson City, NV 89701 13 Athena Brown, Superintendent Jim Shaw 14 Western Nevada Agency Chief Dep. Water Commissioner Bureau of Indian Affairs U.S. Board of Water Commissioners 15 311 E. Washington St. 410 N. Main St. Carson City, NV 89701-4065 Yerington, Nevada 89447 16 17 Leo Drozdoff Ken Spooner Dept. of Conservation & Natural Resources General Manager 18 State of Nevada Walker River Irrigation District P.O. Box 820 901 S. Stewart St. 19 Carson City, NV 89701 Yerington, NV 89447 20 21 22 /s/ Holly Dewar Holly Dewar 23 24 25 26 27 28