

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

LAW OFFICES OF WES WILLIAMS JR.
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
P.O. BOX 100
SCHURZ, NEVADA 89427
TELEPHONE (775)773-2838
Wes Williams Jr.
Attorney for the Walker River Paiute Tribe

RECEIVED
2012 AUG 29 AM 11:3
STATE ENGINEERS OFFICE

IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER
OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF CHANGE)
APPLICATION NO. 80700 FILED BY)
THE NATIONAL FISH AND WILDLIFE)
FOUNDATION)
_____)

**WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE'S
RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR
CLARIFICATION FILED BY BOARD
OF WATER COMMISSIONERS**

The Walker River Paiute Tribe ("Tribe"), by and through its attorney Wes Williams Jr. of the Law Offices of Wes Williams Jr., P.C., hereby submits this response to the motion for clarification filed in this matter by the United States Board of Water Commissioners ("Board") on August 10, 2012. The Board's motion asks the State Engineer to clarify the scope of the Board's participation in this matter. Alternatively the Board asks the State Engineer to refer the issue of the Board's participation to the United States District Court. The Tribe agrees with this alternate process, as the Federal Court has entered orders related to the Board's duties and responsibilities that affect more than this pending change application.

**THE BOARD'S ROLE IN ANY MATTER RELATED TO THE WALKER RIVER IS
GOVERNED BY FEDERAL COURT ORDERS**


In the late 1980's, the United States and the Tribe filed pleadings in the Walker River action contesting whether one attorney could represent both the Board and a water right owner in the Walker River Basin. At that time, attorney Gordon DePaoli was representing the Board and the Walker River Irrigation District ("WRID"). On February 13, 1990, Judge Reed entered an order that states one attorney could not represent both the Board and WRID. C-125 Doc. 162 (copy attached hereto). The order states that the Board functions in a ministerial, as well as a quasi-judicial, capacity. "The Court-appointed Board of Water Commissioners acts as a special master in the

1 Walker River action. Clearly, then the Board of Water Commissioners is bound by the Code of
2 Judicial Conduct, and is obligated to conduct itself in an impartial, unbiased manner.” Order at 4.
3 The Order also states: “Not only does our system of justice seek to prevent actual bias, but also ‘to
4 prevent even the probability of unfairness.’ . . . The Code of Judicial Conduct reflects this interest
5 in avoiding the appearance of impropriety or partiality, and specifically guards against it by
6 requiring a judicial officer to step down where such an appearance is given.” Order at 4 -5 (citations
7 deleted). This order governs all actions taken by the Board, the Water Master and their attorney.

8 Despite this clear directive by the federal court and the clear requirements of the Code of
9 Judicial Conduct, the Board continues to request that it be treated similar to any other party in
10 actions before the State Engineer. See Board’s Motion for Clarification. The Board’s pending
11 motion refers to the Administrative Rules and Regulations governing the Walker River, but
12 completely ignores the Court’s directive addressed above. These two documents conflict and can
13 only be reconciled by the Federal Court, not by the State Engineer. Since the Board was appointed
14 by the Federal Court, and is considered an entity of the Court, the Federal Court must define the
15 Board’s role in matters before the State Engineer.

16 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 27th day of August 2012.

17 LAW OFFICES OF WES WILLIAMS JR.

18 By 
19 WES WILLIAMS JR., ESQUIRE
20 Nevada State Bar No. 6864
21 Law Offices of Wes Williams Jr.
22 A Professional Corporation
23 P.O. Box 100
24 3119 Lake Pasture Road
25 Schurz, Nevada 89427
26 (775)530-9789

27 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

28 I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Law Offices of Wes Williams Jr., a Professional
Corporation, and on August 27, 2012 I deposited for delivery the foregoing document titled “Walker
River Paiute Tribe’s Response to Motion for Clarification Filed by United States Board of Water
Commissioners” by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailed

1	on said date, following ordinary business practice, to the following:	
2	Don Springmeyer	Campbell Canal Co.
3	Christopher Mixson	c/o Rife and Associates
4	Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman	David Sceirine, Pres.
5	& Rabkin, LLP	22 Hwy. 208
6	3556 E. Russell Rd., Second Floor	Yerington, NV 89447
7	Las Vegas, NV 89120	
8	Karen A. Peterson, Esq.	D&GW Ditch Co
9	Allison, MacKenzie Law Firm	Louis Scatena, Sec.
10	402 N. Division St.	1275 Hwy. 208
11	Carson City, NV 89702	Yerington, NV 89447
12		
13	Gordon H. DePaoli	Tunnel Ditch Co.
14	Dale Ferguson	Louis Scatena, Sec.
15	Woodburn and Wedge	984 State Rte. 208
16	6100 Neil Rd., Ste. 500	Yerington, NV 89447
17	Reno, NV 89511	
18		
19	Christopher Watson, Esq.	Newhall Mutual Ditch Co.
20	U.S. Dept. of Interior	Darrell E. Pursel, Pres.
21	Office of Solicitor	42 McKenzie Ln.
22	1849 C St., NW, MS 6513 MIB	Yerington, NV 89447
23	Washington, D.C. 20240	
24		
25	George Benesch	Louis Scatena, Sec.
26	190 W. Huffaker Ln., Ste. 408	1275 Hwy. 208
27	Reno, NV 89511	Yerington, NV 89447
28		
29	Stephen B. Rye	David Sceirine
30	215 W. Bridge St., Ste. 3	P.O. Box 239
31	Yerington, NV 89447	Yerington, NV 89447
32		
33	Nevada Farm Bureau Federation	Joseph Sceirine
34	Doug Busselman	P.O. Box 1013
35	2165 Green Vista Dr., Ste. 205	Yerington, NV 89447
36	Sparks, NV 89431	
37		
38	Linda Bowman	Linda K. Rhodes
39	P.O. Box 10306	3320 Sandra Dr.
40	Reno, NV 89510-0306	Reno, NV 89503-3825
41		
42	Jim Snyder	
43	P.O. Box 550	
44	Yerington, NV 89447	
45		

