Appendix F3.17

Native American Traditional Values

Table F3.17-1 Tribal Consultation, Communication, and Coordination Efforts for the Proposed SNWA GWD Project

Utah) X <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Letters fron</th> <th>BLM</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Let</th> <th>ters from BLM's Triba</th> <th>l Relations Consult</th> <th>tant</th>										Letters fron	BLM									Let	ters from BLM's Triba	l Relations Consult	tant
The State of Affished Property of the Control of Affished Property of	Name of the Tribe		Invitation to Informational	for Tribal Sensitive Species	Notification of Ethnographic	Request for Continuing Consultation on		Invitiation to Information Meeting on Post Field Work on Ethnographic	Transmittal of Ethnographic Study and Request for	Distribute Notes from Ethnographic Information	Informal Invitation to NEPA Tribal	Follow-up to NEPA	for Tribal Sensitive Species	of draft PA and Request	Distribution of Enthnographic	Distribution of PA and Request for	Invitation to Las Vegas Post-	Transmittal of Post-	Request for Identification of Tribal	Invitation to Informational	Transmittal of Meeting Notes, Presentation Slides,	to Share Meeting Voice Recordings	Summarizing Tribal Consultation
March Service March Control March Service March Servic						_		_							_								
No. 100 May 10			X	X	X			X		X	X		X		X		X			X	X	X	X
Section Sect							X					X						X					
State Stat			X	X	X			Х		X	X		X		X		X	X		X	X	X	X
Company Comp					1					- 11			- 1										
Name			X	X	X			X		X	X		X		X		X	X		X	X	X	X
No.	Reservation (CTGR)		Х	х	Х		V	х		Х	Х	V	Х		Х		Х			Х	Х	Х	Х
Manufaction N							X					X						X					
The contribute of the contri	Idaho and Nevada			-	1								X X										
Martin	Eastern Shoshone Tribe Wind River		Α		Α		V	Α		Α	Α	v	А		A		A			Α	Α	A	Α
Published File N.			V		V.		X	V		V	v	X	V		V		V			V.	v	V	v
Mode March					1																+		
Margin Closed	-																						
Second Principal Control of Con					1																+		
California No.	Indian Peaks Band (Paiute Indian Tribe																						
No.										y	v		v		v		v				y		
Section Field Tripe	Kanosh Band (Paiute Indian Tribe of																						
See	Koosharem Band (Paiute Indian Tribe																						
Section Sect	,																						
Participation of the control of the	Moapa Band of Paiutes		X	X	X			X		X	X		X		X		X	X		X	X	X	X
Parts India Time of Ulah N	Northern Arapaho						X					X						X					
Shodher Bemock Tribes Showing Band (Painer Indian Tribe of Los)			X	X	X			X		X	X		X		X		X	X		X	X	X	X
Silve file and Chinary Title of Unity			X	X	X			X		X	X		X		X		X			X	X	X	X
Unit Western Stockhore							X					X						X					
Shull Alley Band of the Goshue Globale G			v	v	v			v		v	v		v		v		v	v		v	v	v	v
Skull Valley Band of the Goshute Inclinas Skull Valley Band (Te-Mosk Title of Western Shokone Skull Valley Band (Te-Mosk Title of Val			Λ	Λ	Λ			Λ		Λ	Λ		Λ		Λ		Λ			Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ
South Fork Band (Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone) X	Skull Valley Band of the Goshute																						
Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone X	South Fork Band (Te-Moak Tribe of		Х	х	Х			Х		Х	Х		х		X		X			Х	Х	X	Х
Timbisha Shoshone Tribe					X					Х	Х		Х		X		Х				Х	X	-
Wells Band (Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone) X<													X										
Ule Indian Tribe X	Wells Band (Te-Moak Tribe of Western	1		X				Х		X			Х		X		X					X	
Yomba Shoshone Tribe X	Winnemucca Indian Colony																	X					
Western Shoshone Defense Project X <	Ute Indian Tribe						X					X						X					
Western Shoshone National Council X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Yomba Shoshone Tribe		Х	X	X			Х		Х	Х		Х		X		X	X		X	Х	X	X
	Western Shoshone Defense Project	X	X	X		X		X	X			X	X		X	X	X	X					
Inter-Tribal Council of Nevada X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Western Shoshone National Council	X	X	X		Х		X	X			X	X		X	X	X	X					
	Inter-Tribal Council of Nevada	X	X	X		X		X	X			X	X		X	X	X	X					

Natas

ndicates Section 106 concultation under NUBA

Table F3.17-1 Tribal Consultation, Communication, and Coordination Efforts for the Proposed SNWA GWD Project (Continued)

	Pan-Tribal Meetings/Workshops with BLM Management Representative				Meetings with BLM M	Ianagement Re	presentative	Tribal Council Meetings with BLM Management Representative				
Name of the Tribe	1-23-2008 Informational Meeting Elko Project Presentation	2-20-2008 Informational Meeting Ely - Project Presentation	2-26-2008 Informational Meeting Las Vegas - Project Presentation	12-8-2009 Informational Meeting Ely - Ethnographic Study	12-9-2009 Informational Meeting Las Vegas - Ethnographic Study	3-11 and 12- 2010 NEPA Training Ely	1-12-2011 NEPA Follow- up Workshop Ely		Initial Consultation Meeting	Follow-up Update Meeting	Follow-up Update Meeting	Follow-up Update Meeting
Battle Mountain Band (Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone)				X		X			2/11/2009			
Blackfeet Nation				Λ		Λ			2/11/2009			
Cedar Band (Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah)				v		v						
Chemehuevi Indian Tribe				X	X	X			9/7/2006	7/20/2009		
Colorado River Indian Tribes					Λ				9/1/2000	1/20/2009		
Confederated Tribes of the Goshute Reservation (CTGR)				X		X	X		12/7/2007	3/14/2008	6/8/2008**	4/1/2011
Crow Tribe - Apsaalooke Nation				Λ		Λ	Λ		12/1/2007	3/14/2008	0/8/2008	4/1/2011
Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Tribes of Idaho and Nevada												
Duckwater Shoshone Tribe				v		v	V		4/9/2009	7/27/2000		
Eastern Shoshone Tribe Wind River Indian Reservations				X		X	X		4/8/2008	7/27/2009		
Elko Band	X					X						
Ely Shoshone Tribe	Λ			X		X	X		4/11/2008	8/27/2008	3/26/2009	11/9/2009
Fort Mojave Indian Tribe				Λ		Λ	Λ		4/11/2008	8/21/2008	3/20/2009	11/9/2009
Hualapai Tribal Council												
Indian Peaks Band (Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah)									4/30/2008			
Kaibab Paiute					X				7/16/2009			
Kanosh Band (Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah)												
Koosharem Band (Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah)					X							
Las Vegas Paiute Tribe					X				6/11/2007			
Moapa Band of Paiutes					X	X			2/12/2008	7/7/2009		
Northern Arapaho												
Pahrump Paiute Tribe					X	X						
Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah					X				11/2/2009			
Shoshone-Bannock Tribes						X						
Shivwits Band (Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah)					X		X		7/15/2009			
Shundahai/Western Shoshone												
Skull Valley Band of the Goshute Indians												
South Fork Band (Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone)	X			X			X					
Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone									2/3/2010*			
Timbisha Shoshone Tribe												
Wells Band (Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone)	X											
Winnemucca Indian Colony												
Ute Indian Tribe												
Yomba Shoshone Tribe												
Western Shoshone Defense Project	X											
Western Shoshone National Council												
Inter-Tribal Council of Nevada												

^{*}TeMoak Tribe disagrees that this meeting constituted tribal consultation because they were not involved in discussions early during the NSE's water rights process

**This meeting was held with the State Director at the request of CTGR

Indicates Section 106 consultation under NHPA

BLM June 2011

Table F3.17-2 Tribal Concerns in Response to Initial BLM Consultation Letter (dated February 23, 2007)

Name of Tribe	Tribal Concerns								
Battle Mountain Band of the Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone	The tribe is concerned about the proposed Project drying up the springs, creeks, and other water sources.								
Cedar Band of Paiute Indians	No comments received to date.								
Chemehuevi Indian Tribe	The tribe is concerned about the potential effects to water and tribal water rights, cultural sites (including the Honeymoon Trail), and Corn Creek. Additionally concerned that the proposed plan might not be sufficient to support future growth in Las Vegas. The tribe opposes the proposed Project and requested some authority in the process, and funding to aid in consultation with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM).								
Colorado River Indian Tribes	No comments received to date.								
Confederated Tribes of the Goshute Reservation	The tribe is concerned about effects to water, plants, and animals; disruption of the hydrological and ecological systems; treatment of burials on private land; and water recharge. They requested that the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) analyze: 1) effects to all aspects of the environment; 2) growth-related impacts due to increased supply of water to southern Nevada; and 3) impacts to cultural sites and socioeconomic effects on Great Basin tribes. Additionally requested to have cooperating agency status. The tribe opposes plans to pipe water away from Snake and Spring valleys. Additionally, the tribe stated that by supporting the proposed project, the federal government is ignoring their trust responsibilities.								
Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Tribes of Idaho and Nevada	No comments received to date.								
Duckwater Shoshone Tribe	The tribe is concerned about water drying up; effects to tribal areas of concern, water babies, and water spirits; contamination of groundwater; and, overall impact on plants and animals. They requested involvement in the proposed project; tribal monitors during project construction; and, preparation of their own ethnographic assessment.								
Elko Band of the Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone	The tribe has concerns about incomplete baseline studies, mitigation, and changes to the landscape.								
Ely Shoshone Tribe	The tribe is concerned about removal of water from the basins and how the agencies will deal with monitoring and mitigation issues. They requested cooperating agency status.								
Fort Mojave Indian Tribe	No comments received to date.								
Hualapai Tribal Council	The tribe is concerned about water rights. They requested tribal consultation prior to cultural resources surveys, and tribal monitors during surveys.								
Indian Peaks Band of Paiute Indians	The tribe is concerned about the loss of water and springs drying up. They requested the BLM to meet collectively with all Nevada tribal leaders about the proposed Project, and would like to prepare their own ethnographic assessment.								
Kaibab Paiute Tribe	No comments received to date.								
Kanosh Band of Paiutes	No comments received to date.								
Koosharem Band of Paiute Indians	No comments received to date.								
Las Vegas Paiute Tribe	The tribe would like to meet again after alternatives are determined. They asked about mitigation in case of earthquakes.								
Moapa Band of Paiutes	The tribe is concerned about water drying up; potential effects to the Moapa dace; and, potential effects to petroglyphs in the area. They requested review of hydrology study; future pan-tribal meetings; involvement in the technical committee for the hydrological studies; tribal monitors during construction; funding to enable tribe to participate more; and, tour of proposed alignment.								
Pahrump Paiute Tribe	No comments received to date.								
Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah	The tribe is concerned about the loss of water and springs drying up. They requested the BLM to meet collectively with all of Nevada tribal leaders; tribal monitoring during construction, and that a percentage of the proposed Project budget be donated and used for an intertribal museum to house artifacts collected during field inventories.								
Shivwits Band of Paiute Indians	No comments received to date.								

Appendix F3.17, Page F3.17-3

June 2011 BLM

Table F3.17-2 Tribal Concerns in Response to Initial BLM Consultation Letter (dated February 23, 2007) (Continued)

Name of Tribe	Tribal Concerns							
South Fork Band of the Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone	The tribe opposes the proposed project and will not participate in associated studies.							
Te-Moak Tribe of the Western Shoshone	The tribe is concerned about springs and water sources drying up and water taken from the basins. They oppose the proposed project and will not participate in associated studies. The tribe requested open consultation with all tribes involved in the proposed Project; the BLM to address protection of natural resources in the EIS; preparation of their own ethnographic assessment; and the BLM to merge holistic view and scientific data in the EIS.							
Timbisha Shoshone Tribe	The tribe expressed concerns about water rights; the BLM trust responsibilities regarding protection of water; increased use of water by Las Vegas; and, effects to plants and animals. They requested tribal monitors during archaeological surveys; Traditional Cultural Properties surveys during archaeological surveys; water monitoring; and, consideration of the Treaty of Ruby Valley.							
Wells Band of the Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone	The tribe expressed concern about lack of Bureau of Indian Affairs and State Engineers involvement with the tribes.							
Yomba Shoshone Tribe	No comments received to date.							