

**IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATIONS 53987 )  
THROUGH 53992, INCLUSIVE, AND 54003 )  
THROUGH 54021, INCLUSIVE, FILED TO )  
APPROPRIATE THE UNDERGROUND )  
WATERS OF SPRING VALLEY, CAVE )  
VALLEY DRY LAKE VALLEY, AND )  
DELAMAR VALLEY, (HYDROGRAPHIC )  
BASINS 180, 181, 182 AND 184), LINCOLN )  
COUNTY AND WHITE PINE COUNTY, )  
NEVADA. )

**INTERIM ORDER  
ON PRE-HEARING SCHEDULING**

On September 14, 2016, the State Engineer held a Status Conference regarding the above-referenced water right applications. The Status Conference was held in reference to the December 13, 2013, decision issued by Senior Judge Robert E. Estes, sitting for the Seventh Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for the County of White Pine, in the matter of *White Pine County et al. v. Jason King*, Case No. CV1204049. The decision remanded the matter of Applications 53987 through 53992, inclusive, and Applications 54003 through 54021, inclusive, held in the name of the Southern Nevada Water Authority (SNWA), to the State Engineer for further consideration. No action was taken by the State Engineer on the above-referenced water right applications during the course of various appeals and writ petitions to the Nevada Supreme Court.

Judge Estes' decision remanded certain portions of the State Engineer's Ruling Nos. 6164, 6165, 6166 and 6167 concerning the granting of water rights to the SNWA in Spring Valley, Cave Valley, Dry Lake Valley, and Delamar Valley to the State Engineer for:

1. The addition of Millard and Juab counties, Utah in the mitigation plan so far as water basins in Utah are affected by pumping of water from Spring Valley Basin, Nevada;
2. A recalculation of water available for appropriation from Spring Valley assuring that the basin will reach equilibrium between discharge and recharge in a reasonable time;
3. To define standards, threshold or triggers so that mitigation of unreasonable effects from pumping of water are neither arbitrary nor capricious in Spring Valley, Cave Valley, Dry Lake Valley, and Delamar Valley, and;

4. To recalculate the appropriations from Cave Valley, Dry Lake Valley, and Delamar Valley to avoid over appropriations or conflicts with down-gradient, existing water rights.

The Status Conference was held to discuss the following issues:

1. Whether an additional administrative hearing is necessary or whether the matters can be reconsidered based on the evidence already in the record;
2. Whether additional work needs to be accomplished or evidence developed prior to reconsideration by the State Engineer or any additional administrative hearing;
3. Timing for the exchange of additional evidence, if necessary; and
4. Scheduling of any additional administrative hearing, if necessary.

The following appearances were made during the Status Conference: Dana Walsh, Paul Taggart, and Robert Dotson appeared on behalf of SNWA; Paul Echo Hawk and Scott Williams appeared on behalf of the Confederated Tribes of the Goshute Reservation; Paul Echo Hawk appeared on behalf of the Duckwater Shoshone Tribe, and Ely Shoshone Tribe; Simeon Herskovits and Iris Thornton appeared on behalf of Great Basin Water Network, et al., White Pine County, and Nye County; Severin Carlson and Paul Hejmanowksi appeared on behalf of the Corporation of Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (CPB); and Mark Ward and John Rhodes appeared on behalf of Millard County, Utah, and Juab County, Utah (Utah Counties). Jerald Anderson on behalf of the Eskdale Center was in attendance, but did not formally participate in the Status Conference. No appearance was entered on behalf of the Long Now Foundation or any other Protestants. All parties present were heard and given an opportunity to discuss the four above-mentioned Status Conference issues.

By letter dated September 12, 2016, counsel for the Great Basin Water Network, et al., White Pine County, and Nye County asserted that no additional hearing is necessary to fulfill the directives of the District Court concerning the State Engineer's responsibilities on remand. Other parties at the Status Conference were not in agreement that an additional hearing was unnecessary.


The State Engineer finds and orders the following:

1. An additional administrative hearing is necessary to provide the parties the opportunity to fully address the issues remanded.

2. The scope of the remand hearing will be limited to the specific issues identified in the Judge Estes' Ruling, and only new evidence relating to those issues will be considered in addition to the existing record.
3. **On or before October 14, 2016**, the parties shall identify documents that are not currently admitted exhibits in the administrative record that the parties propose should be admitted into the record as exhibits for the upcoming hearing. For example, copies of decisions by the Nevada Supreme Court in the various appeals and writ petitions. **Any objections to admission of the identified documents are due on or before October 24, 2016.** If no objections are received regarding an identified document, the State Engineer will assign the document an exhibit number in numerical sequence to the existing exhibit list and admit the document into evidence. If there are objections to any document or the State Engineer determines any said document will not be admitted, the parties will be notified of the State Engineer's determination on admission of the remaining identified documents by November 30, 2016. If such notice is required, it will only be served on those parties who made an appearance at the Status Conference.
4. The State Engineer will schedule the hearing for a time period within the last week of September 2017 and the first two weeks of October 2017. The State Engineer will endeavor to provide notice of the hearing schedule and evidentiary exchange dates by November 30, 2016.
5. The parties shall submit proposed schedules for initial and rebuttal evidentiary exchange dates (including for SNWA's proposed Monitoring, Management and Mitigation Plan) **on or before October 14, 2016.**
6. The Confederated Tribes of the Goshute Reservation, Duckwater Shoshone Tribe, and Ely Shoshone Tribe may file a motion regarding federal agency hearing participation and party status **on or before October 14, 2016.**
7. The SNWA may file a motion **on or before October 14, 2016**, regarding whether a protestant who did not appear or participate in the proceedings before Judge Estes or in the Nevada Supreme Court proceedings can participate in the remand hearing. SNWA conceded that the Utah Counties are proper parties to participate in this proceeding.
8. The CPB may file a motion regarding the availability of pre-hearing depositions **on or before October 14, 2016.** The State Engineer notes that NAC § 533.160 provides that prehearing discovery may be conducted in compliance with a stipulation between the parties or upon order of the State Engineer. The CPB may also file a motion regarding presentation of written direct testimony of expert witnesses in lieu of live direct testimony during the hearing **on or before October 14, 2016.**

9. Oppositions to these motions are to be filed in the Office of the State Engineer and served on the other parties **on or before October 24, 2016**. Replies to any Oppositions are due to be filed in the Office of the State Engineer and served on the other parties **on or before November 3, 2016**.
10. The parties are encouraged to agree on initial and rebuttal evidentiary exchange dates (including for SNWA's proposed Monitoring, Management and Mitigation Plans), and will **notify the State Engineer regarding any agreements reached by November 15, 2016**.

Respectfully submitted,



Susan Joseph-Taylor, Hearing Officer  
Deputy Administrator

Dated this 3rd day of  
October, 2016.

SERVICE LIST

Dana Walsh  
Southern Nevada Water Authority  
1001 S. Valley View Blvd., MS# 485  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89153  
Certified Mail  
#7106 7808 0630 0064 3733

Paul Taggart  
Taggart & Taggart  
108 North Minnesota Street  
Carson City, Nevada 89703  
Certified Mail  
#7106 7808 0630 0064 3740

Rob Dotson  
Dotson Law  
One East First Street  
City Hall Tower, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Reno, Nevada 89501  
Certified Mail  
#7106 7808 0630 0064 3757

Paul R. Hejmanowski  
Hejmanowski & McCrea LLC  
520 So. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 320  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Certified Mail  
#7106 7808 0630 0064 3764

Severin A. Carlson  
Keampher, Crowell, Renshaw,  
Gronauer & Fiorentino  
50 West Liberty Street, Suite 700  
Reno, Nevada 89501  
Certified Mail  
#7106 7808 0630 0064 3771

Paul Echo Hawk  
Echo Hawk Law Office  
P.O. Box 4166  
Pocatello, Idaho 83205  
Certified Mail  
#7106 7808 0630 0064 3788

Scott W. Williams  
Berkey Williams LLP  
2030 Addison Street, Suite 410  
Berkeley, California 94704  
Certified Mail  
#7106 7808 0630 0064 3801

Simeon Herskovits  
Iris Thornton  
Advocates for Community & Environment  
P.O. Box 1075  
El Prado, New Mexico 87529  
Certified Mail  
#7106 7808 0630 0064 3818

Utah Association of Counties  
c/o J. Mark Ward  
3004 Sweet Blossom Drive  
South Jordan, UT 84095  
Certified Mail  
#7106 7808 0630 0064 3825

John Rhodes  
Rhodes Law Offices, Ltd.  
P.O. Box 18191  
Reno, Nevada 89511  
Certified Mail  
#7106 7808 0630 0064 3832

EskDale Center  
Attn: Jerald Anderson  
1100 Circle Drive  
EskDale, Utah 84728  
Certified Mail  
#7106 7808 0630 0064 3849