	SE.	'S EXHIBIT 41
DATE:	9	-11-06

07/31/90

APPLICATION NO. 54021

GEORGE ELDRIDGE & SONS, INC. LAS VEGAS FLY FISHING CLUB THE CITY OF CALIENTE U.S. GOVERNMENT, BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT EASTERN UNIT, NEVADA CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATION AHIVERS, JANELL BENSON, WALTER J. BIDART BROTHERS COLLARD, STEVE ELDRIDGE, HELEN FACKRELL, DONALD TERRY HARBECKE, ROBERT L. and FERN A.	07/11/90 07/11/90 07/11/90 07/11/90 07/10/90 07/09/90 07/09/90 07/09/90 07/09/90
THE CITY OF CALIENTE U.S. GOVERNMENT, BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT EASTERN UNIT, NEVADA CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATION AHIVERS, JANELL BENSON, WALTER J. BIDART BROTHERS COLLARD, STEVE ELDRIDGE, HELEN FACKRELL, DONALD TERRY	07/11/90 07/11/90 07/10/90 07/09/90 07/09/90 07/09/90
U.S. GOVERNMENT, BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT EASTERN UNIT, NEVADA CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATION AHIVERS, JANELL BENSON, WALTER J. BIDART BROTHERS COLLARD, STEVE ELDRIDGE, HELEN FACKRELL, DONALD TERRY	07/11/90 07/10/90 07/09/90 07/09/90 07/09/90 07/09/90
EASTERN UNIT, NEVADA CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATION AHIVERS, JANELL BENSON, WALTER J. BIDART BROTHERS COLLARD, STEVE ELDRIDGE, HELEN FACKRELL, DONALD TERRY	07/10/90 07/09/90 07/09/90 07/09/90 07/09/90
AHIVERS, JANELL BENSON, WALTER J. BIDART BROTHERS COLLARD, STEVE ELDRIDGE, HELEN FACKRELL, DONALD TERRY	07/09/90 07/09/90 07/09/90 07/09/90
BENSON, WALTER J. BIDART BROTHERS COLLARD, STEVE ELDRIDGE, HELEN FACKRELL, DONALD TERRY	07/09/90 07/09/90 07/09/90
BIDART BROTHERS COLLARD, STEVE ELDRIDGE, HELEN FACKRELL, DONALD TERRY	07/09/90 07/09/90
COLLARD, STEVE ELDRIDGE, HELEN FACKRELL, DONALD TERRY	07/09/90
ELDRIDGE, HELEN FACKRELL, DONALD TERRY	
FACKRELL, DONALD TERRY	07/09/90
HARBECKE, ROBERT L. and FERN A.	07/09/90
	07/09/90
MAES, DANIEL	07/09/90
MARY GOERINGER	07/09/90
MOAPA BAND OF PAIUTE INDIANS	07/09/90
OXBORROW, EDNA	07/09/90
SHEW, LARRY	07/09/90
TERRY, VIRGINIA B.	07/09/90
THE COUNTY OF WHITE PINE and THE CITY OF ELY	07/09/90
U.S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE	07/09/90
WEAVER, LOIS	07/09/90
WIEDMEYER, KELLY	07/09/90
COUNTY OF NYE	07/06/90
LINCOLN COUNTY, BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS	07/06/90
U.S. DEPT. OF INT., NATIONAL PARK SERVICE	07/06/90
THE UNINCORPORATED TOWN OF PAHRUMP	07/05/90
	
	<u> </u>
-	
	

W/D-7-16-03

2 of 2 Date Filed OCT 17 1989 No. 54021 Indexed under Well Log Name of applicant Map 10 - 184Basin SPRING VALLEY Stream Township Range WHITE PINE County Point of diversion 1/4 1/4 Section AS VEGAS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT **Applicant UNDERGROUND** Source of Water Returned for correction Abrogated by Corrected application received Map filed Sent for publication Proof of publication filed Investigated on ground by **Protested** Ready for action **Approved** Denied PROOF OF COMPLETION PROOF OF PROOF OF BENEFICIAL USE **CULTURAL MAP** COMMENCEMENT Date due 1st extension 2nd extension Date filed Filed under map CERTIFICATE NO. ISSUED **AMOUNT** Use COMPUTER

65 SP74108

ADDRESS

Permit

Certificate

Publication

CHECK

File Entry

In the Matter of Application Number 54 Filed by Las Vegas Valley Water District				
ON October 17, 1989, TO APPROPRIAT	3	PRO	TEST	
WATERS OFUnderground Sources				
by the Las Vegas Valley Water District	Printed or typed name of applicant	89301 Box, City, 5 On	October 17	and protests the granting, 19_89 to appropriate to White Pine
Please See Attachments.	~~			
)				
		··-		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the		(Dec	iled, issued subject to prior r	lghta, etc., as the case may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief as the State	e Engineer deer	ns just an	d proper.	
	Signed	Au.	dar o	Jama
	Name	Richa	Agent or protestant ard W. Forman	. Agent
	Address	P. O.	Printed or typed name, if a Box 150	
	Address	Ely,	Street No. or P. O. B Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip C	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	_ day of	July	,	19 <u>90</u> .
RENEE E. KNUTSON Notary Public - State of Nevada	State of	Neva	Notary Public	muter
Appointment Recorded in White Pine County MY APPOINTMENT EXPIRES DEC. 14, 1982	County of		te Pine	

- The granting of this application, in conjunction with any other applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District in this basin, will impair, conflict and interfere with all existing water rights, sources and uses.
- 2. If granted, the allocation of ALL unappropriated waters in this ground water basin would adversely affect all agricultural operations, including but not limited to the following:
 - a. It will adversely affect the economic welfare of all farms and ranches.
 - b. It will destroy the environmental balance by eliminating the natural surface moistures and reducing the humidity levels which creates the natural growing environment of the surrounding areas, thereby destroying the grazing lands, wetlands and farm lands.
 - c. It will halt all potential agricultural growth.
 - d. It will destroy each agricultural operation because they will be unable to continue to operate or expand.
- 3. Eastern Nevada has had severe drought conditions for the past three (3) years which has created the following hardships on all cattlemen:
 - a. The grazing areas do not have sufficient feed to support the cattle.
 - b. The surface waters are insufficient for irrigation and stockwatering.
 - c. The water tables are lowering making it very difficult and expensive to pump any water.
 - d. The cattlemen will have to cut their herds, which affects the economic welfare of everyone within the State of Nevada, especially the surrounding communities.

If the drought creates this many hardships, the continual removal of the periennial yield by the Las Vegas Valley Water District WILL destroy all ranching operations as well as the whole environment of each basin.

- 4. There are different flow systems that underlie the State of Nevada. "These flow systems link the ground water beneath many of the hydrolgic basins over distances greater than 200 miles. The implications of this linkage are immense. While the water taken from a basin may be within the perennial yield of that basin, areas as far away as 200 miles may experience drawdown, and the negative impacts associated with this phenomenon (Intertech Consultants, Inc. 1990).
- 5. Clark County must grow only within the limits of their natural resources or the environmental and socioeconomic balance of the State of Nevada will be destroyed.
- 6. The State Engineer must consider all of the future environmental and socioeconomic ramifications of the trans-basin transfer of ground waters in order to protect the State of Nevada by not allowing these transfers.
- 7. The State Engineer has a responsibility to all of the people of Nevada and must consider all adverse affects which the granting of these applications will have on all areas in the State of Nevada.

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the
 public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water
 exploration project would:
 - a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

30 164 -0 815:34

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works:
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFILT

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 54021 Valley FILED BY LAS VEGAS WATER DISTRICT	RECEIVED
ON OC + 17 1989, TO APPROPRIATE THE	PROTEST JUL 0 6 1990
WATERS OF Spring Valley Basin	Div. of Water Resources Branch Office Las Yegas, NV
of Application Number. 54021, filed on by Las Vegas WATER DISTR Printed or typed name of age at least 19 and 19 an	CF. Las Vegas, NV 89117 et No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code AND CONSERVATION SPOR, and protests the granting OCT 17, 19.89 LICT to appropriate the options situated in White Pixe
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State In Signed	Agent or protestant
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of JANETTE K. COX Notary Public - State of Nevada Accordance Received in Cost County of	Selle - Lack Notary Public Clark

S10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE.
ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

J.J. 2454 (Revised 6-80)

PROTEST

The Las Vegas Fly Fishing Club protests water rights application number **54021**, in White Pine County, Nevada, Spring Valley Basin, filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District. The water rights should be denied based on the following provisions.

- 1. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and existing uses in the Virgin River Basin will exceed the annual recharge and safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use in this magnitude will sanction water mining and lower the static water level which will degrade the **quality** and quality of water in the Spring Valley Wash which will effect the reservoir and streams of Great Basin National Park, Echo Canyon Reservoir, Eagle Valley Reservoir, and Schroeder Reservoir.
- 2. This application is one of the applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriations of over 800,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water primarily for municipal use in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the area of origin of water needed to protect and enhance its environment and economic well being, and the diversion will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the state holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 3. In the cumulative areas being protested, the Las Vegas Fly Fishing Club has contributed in excess of \$150,000. through volunteer time and personal expenses; club funds; Southwest Council, Federation of Fly Fishers funds; and private donations of materials to improve fish and related habitat in the affected areas. This was done for the public interest and to protect the fragile water resources in the effected areas. The Las Vegas Valley Water District's mining of these resources will negate the recreational and fish habitat benefits provided through these voluntary contributions under Nevada Department of Wildlife directed projects.
- 4. In a report dated June 7,1990, the Reno Field Station of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service listed species as Endangered or Threatened and four species as candidates for Endangered or Threatened status. The endangerment or threat caused by degrading the water quality and/or quantity of this basin will extend the threat to any species that depends on the existent habitat. Therefore, no additional water can be mined from the area.

- 5. The granting or approving of the subject application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, cost considerations, socio-economic considerations, and a water resource plan (such as required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water) for the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above referenced application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it, individually and together with the other applications of the Las Vegas Valley Water District importation project, would:
- a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the federal Endangered Species Act and related state statutes. Two species of trout have become extinct and four other species of trout are candidates for extinction in the state of Nevada. The public interest will not be served if the state allows any more species of fish to become extinct.
- b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those Threatened or Endangered species.
- c. Take or harm those Threatened or Endangered species.
- The approval of subject application will sanction and encourage the willful waste of water that has been allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District. For example, in March of 1990, vandals tampered with an automatic watering system in the green belt between Crane Lake and Swan River roads on Lake North Drive in the Las Vegas subdivision known as the Lakes. The damage included broken valves and sprinklers which were seen and reported to the Las Vegas Valley Water District on Friday night. The Las Vegas Valley Water District representative at the emergency phone number said that the water in the area was not their responsibility and they did not know who to call. The person reporting the damage made several other unsuccessful attempts to get help. The water ran unchecked into the street for 62 hours until Monday morning. It was apparent from the response that even though technically the water district was not involved, their lack of concern and failure to take any action demonstrated their policy towards waste of water.

- 8. The above referenced water rights, individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water import project, will perpetuate and may increase the inefficient use of water and frustrate efforts at water demand management in the in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 9. Previous and current conservation programs instituted by the Las Vegas Valley Water district are ineffective public relations-oriented efforts that are unlikely to achieve substantial water savings. Public policy and public interest considerations should preclude the negative environmental and socio-economic consequences of the proposed transfer of water resources on areas of origin when the potential water importer has failed to make a good-faith effort to efficiently use currently available supplies.
- 10. Therefore, The Las Vegas Fly Fishing Club, on behalf of the public good of all Nevada citizens and on behalf of the disastrous consequences on fish habitat that approval would have, requests that the above referenced water rights application be denied and that the order be entered by the state engineer to protect this water resource in perpetuity from water rights applications not in the public interest and detrimental to sound conservation practices. In addition, The Las Vegas Fly Fishing Club incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned application filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.

(7)

- Anna

Ş

In the Matter of Application Number 54021, Filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District on October 17, 1989, to appropriate the waters of White Pine County.

PROTEST

Comes now THE CITY OF CALIENTE whose post office address is POST OFFICE BOX 158, CALIENTE, NEVADA 89008 whose occupation is MUNICIPALITY/WATER PURVEYOR, and protest the granting of Application Number 54021, filed on October 17, 1989 by the Las Vegas Valley Water District to appropriate the waters of underground situated in White Pine County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and on the following grounds, to wit:

(See Attachment)

THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application be DENIED and that an order be entered for such relief as the State Engineer deems just and proper.

Signed

George D. Rowe, Mayor

Address P.O. Box 158

Caliente, Nevada 89008

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of

State of Nevada

County of Lincoln

APPLICATION NO. 54021

LIST OF REASONS TO PROTEST THE LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT APPLICATIONS TO APPROPRIATE GROUND AND SURFACE WATER FROM CENTRAL, EASTERN AND SOUTHERN NEVADA

- 1. This Application is one of 145 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate 804,195 acre feet of ground water primarily for municipal use within Clark County. Diversion and export of such quantity of water will: lower the static water level in Spring Valley Basin; adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water; and further threaten springs, seeps and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife and grazing livestock.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and existing uses in the Spring Valley Basin will exceed the annual recharge and safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will: lower the static water level and degrade the quality of water from existing wells and cause negative hydraulic gradient influences as well as other negative impacts.
- 3. This Application is one of 146 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of some 864,195 acre feet of ground and surface water primarily for municipal use in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the area of origin of the water needed to protect and enhance its environment and economic well being, and the diversion will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, cost considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and a water resource plan (such as is required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water) for the Las Vegas Valley Water District Service area is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would conflict with or tend to impair existing rights in the Spring Valley Basin because if granted it would exceed the safe yield of the subject basin and unreasonably lower the static water level and sanction water mining.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it, individually and together with the other applications of the water importation project, would:
 - (a) Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered

and threatened species recognized under the federal Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;

- (b) Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
- (c) Take or harm those endangered or threatened species; and
- (d) Interfere with the purpose for which the federal lands are managed under federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 7. The approval of the subject application will sanction and encourage the willful waste of water that has been allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 8. The subject Application seeks to develop and transport water resources on and across lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained necessary legal interest (e.g., right-of-way) in the federal land such that the applicant may extract, develop and transport water resources from the proposed point of diversion to the proposed place of use.
- 9. The Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water importation project will perpetuate and may increase the inefficient use of water in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area and frustrate efforts at water demand management in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 10. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability for developing and transporting water under the subject permit which is a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use.
- 11. The above-referenced Application should be denied because it fails to include the statutorily required:
 - (a) Description of the place of use;
 - (b) Description of the proposed works;
 - (c) The estimated cost of such works; and
 - (d) The estimated time required to put the subject water to beneficial use.
- 12. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the proposed project will exceed the safe yield of the Spring Valley Basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and creating air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal

Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.

- 13. The Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to safeguard the public interest properly. The adverse effects of this Application and related applications associated with the proposed water appropriation and transportation project (largest appropriation of ground water in the history of the State of Nevada) cannot properly be evaluated without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - (a) cumulative impacts of the proposed extraction;
 - (b) mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extraction;
 - (c) alternatives to the proposed extraction, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and aggressive implementation of all proven and cost-effective water demand management strategies.
- 14. The subject application should be denied because the population projects upon which the water demand projections are based are unrealistic and ignore numerous constraints to growth, including traffic congestion, increased costs of infrastructure and services, degraded air quality, etc.
- 15. The subject application should be denied because previous and current conservation programs instituted by the Las Vegas Valley Water District are ineffective public-relations oriented efforts that are unlikely to achieve substantial water savings. Public policy and public interest considerations should preclude the negative environmental and socio-economic consequences of the proposed transfers on areas of origin when the potential water importer has failed to make a good-faith effort to efficiently use currently available supplies.
- 16. The subject Application should be denied because the enormous costs of the project will result in water rate increases of such magnitude that demand will be substantially reduced, thereby rendering the water transfer unnecessary.
- 17. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental tot he public interest and not made in good faith since it would allow the Las Vegas Valley Water District to lock up vital water resources for possible use sometime in the distant future beyond current planning horizons.
- 18. The subject Application should be denied because current and developing trends in housing, landscaping, national plumbing fixture standards and demographic patterns all suggest that the simplistic water demand forecasts upon which the proposed transfers are based substantially overstate future water demand needs.

- 19. The subject application should be denied because the current per capita water consumption rate for the Las Vegas Valley Water District is double that of similarly situated southwestern municipalities. This suggests enormous potential for more cost-effective supply alternatives, including demand management and effluent re-use. These alternatives have not been seriously considered by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 20. Inasmuch as a water extraction and transbasin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further information and study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they may develop as a result of further information and study.
- 21. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the subject application filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 54021

ON_OCTOBER 17, 19	PPROPRIATE THE	
Comes now U.S. Government,	, Bureau of Land Management	
whose post office address is Star Rout	Printed or typed name of protestant ce 5, Box 1, Ely, Nevada 89301	
	Street No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code	************
	ent Agency , and protests th	
of Application Number 54021	, filed on October 17,	19
I ac Vecce Valley Veter Da		
Underground Source (Well) P	rinted or typed name of applicant	opriate i
Underground or name of	ec. 33, SW4NE4 Situated in White Pine	••••••
County, State of Nevada, for the follow	ving reasons and on the following grounds, to wit:	
See Attachment for Appli		
110	CGCLOII 427021	
***************************************		*******

	***************************************	**********

THEREFORE the protestant request	s that the application be	***********************
THEREFORE the protestant request	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case of	nay be)
	s that the application be(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case nelief as the State Engineer deems just and proper.	nay be)
	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case of	Lay be)
	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case in elief as the State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed	nay be)
	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case in elief as the State Engineer deems just and proper.	nay be)
	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case in clief as the State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed Kenneth G. Walker, District Manager Printed or typed name, if agent	nay be)
	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case in elief as the State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed	lay be)
	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case in elief as the State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed	lay be)
	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case nelief as the State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed	nay be)
	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case in clief as the State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed Agent or protestant Kenneth G. Walker, District Manager Printed or typed name, if agent SR 5, Box 1 Street No. or P.O. Box No. Ely, Nevada 89301	nay be)
and that an order be entered for such re	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case in clief as the State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed Agent or protestant Kenneth G. Walker, District Manager Printed or typed name, if agent SR 5, Box 1 Sirect No. or P.O. Box No. Ely, Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip Code No.	lay be)
and that an order be entered for such re	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case in clief as the State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed Agent or protestant Kenneth G. Walker, District Manager Printed or typed name, if agent SR 5, Box 1 Street No. or P.O. Box No. Ely, Nevada 89301	lay be)
and that an order be entered for such re	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case in elief as the State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed	
and that an order be entered for such re	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case in elief as the State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed	
and that an order be entered for such re-	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case in elief as the State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed	
and that an order be entered for such re	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case in clief as the State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed Agent or protestant Kenneth G. Walker, District Manager Printed or typed name, if agent SR 5, Box 1 Sirect No. or P.O. Box No. Ely, Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip Code No.	

\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST, PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE, ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

ATTACHMENT FOR FILING #54021

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM), United States Department of the Interior has been directed by Congress through law to protect and manage certain public lands of the Unites States. Specifically, Congress instructed the BLM in the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) "...that management be on the basis of multiple use and sustained yield...public lands be managed in a manner that will protect the quality of scientific, scenic, historical, ecological, environmental, air and atmospheric, water resource, and archeological values; that, where appropriate, will preserve and protect certain public lands in their natural condition; that will provide food and habitat for fish and wildlife and domestic animals; and that will provide for outdoor recreation and human occupancy and use..."

The multiple uses mentioned in FLPMA include, but are not limited, to recreation, range, timber, minerals, watershed, wildlife and fish, and natural scenic, scientific and historical values.

In addition to FLPMA, the Taylor Grazing Act, The Recreation and Public Purposes Act, The Wild and Free Roaming Horse and Burro Act, The Endangered Species Act, The Public Rangelands Improvement Act, The Water Resources Act, and various other laws give the BLM the authority to manage the public lands and their various resources so that they are utilized in the combination that will best meet the present and future needs of the American people.

The application of the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) to the State Engineer of Nevada to appropriate water on BLM administered land, if approved, will prove to be detrimental to the public interest by eliminating the capability to fulfill the legislated management responsibilities and is being protested under NRS 533.365.

SPECIFIC IMPACTS FROM APPLICATION #54021

There are Thirty seven (36) waters that will be impacted if this application is granted and results in the lowering of the water table which will eliminate available watering sources within the well field. The demand which the BLM has recognized on these waters where the BLM has a responsibility to manage is: 1) 1097 AUMs for deer, 2) 341 AUMs for antelope, 3), 16 AUMs for elk, and 12 AUMs for bighorn. The total AUM demand is 529.

Of these 36 waters deer use 17, antelope use 28, elk use 8, bighorn sheep use 8, sage grouse use 1, chuckar use 1 and blue grouse use 1. In addition this application will adversely effect the Spring Valley Waterfowl Area and the candidate T/E ferruginous hawk (8) nest sites. The ability of the BLM to meet this demand will be impaired by the granting of an appropriation to LVVWD; therefore, it threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.

CUMULATIVE AFFECTS OF APPLICATION #54021

- 1. Application number 54021 in conjunction with applications 54003, 54004, 54005, 54006, 54007, 54008, 54009, 54010, 54011, 54012, 54013, 54014, 54015, 54016, 54018, 54019, 54020, and 54017 will withdraw 91,218 acre feet (AF) of water if pumping occurs at the rates applied for, 24 hours per day, 365 days per year. This withdrawal rate is 14,218 AF per year more than occurs through natural recharge from precipitation and inflow from the Antelope Valley hydrographic area (Harrill 1988). According to Dettinger (1989) the perennial yield of an aquifer is the quantity of water which can be extracted for use each year without depleting the groundwater reservoir. The perennial yield is no greater than the total rate of flow through the aquifer and is probably less (Dettinger 1989). Because more water will be withdrawn from the Spring Valley hydrographic area than is recharged ,a slow but continuous decline in groundwater levels will occur. Also, groundwater withdrawal from the Spring Valley hydrographic area that exceeds natural recharge will preclude the underground flow of 4,000 AF per year from the Spring Valley hydrographic area to the Snake Valley hydrographic area (Upper Hamblin Valley). Numerous large artisan springs are found in upper Hamblin Valley (Hood and Rush 1965, Pupacko et al. 1989) and elimination of the 4,000 AF flow from Spring Valley to Hamblin Valley will, at the minimum, result in decreased flows, and may dry up the springs entirely. Because of these impacts and others not identifiable at this time, this application threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 2. Application 54021 in conjunction with applications 54005, 54010, 54009, 54012, 54013, 54013, 54014, 54015, 54016, 54018, 54019, 54020, and 54017 is positioned within the fringe of or just outside of a phreatic zone. The point of diversion of application 54017 allows the Las Vegas Valley Water District to obtain groundwater before it flows into the underground reservoir and is transpired by the phreatic vegetation. Phreatic vegetation is present on about 325,000 acres of bottomland in Spring Valley. Groundwater modeling in Spring Valley for the White Pine Power Project Environmental Impact Statement indicates that removal of 25,000 AF of groundwater per year for 36 years will cause a general drawdown of up to 40 feet throughout a large portion of Spring Valley. Drawdown at individual points of diversion would be as great as 240 feet. The proposed withdrawal by the Las Vegas Valley Water District is substantially greater than 25,000 AF, therefore, the potential cumulative and specific well drawdowns will be substantially greater. Groundwater withdrawal of this magnitude, both at individual points of diversion and cumulative from all the points of diversion mentioned above will lower the water table below the rooting zone of the phreatic vegetation. Soils in the basin floor of Spring Valley are very alkaline; therefore, little or no vegetation will replace the salt tolerant phreatophytes. Desertification will reduce the forage and habitat base for livestock and wildlife. Also, the aesthetic and biologic quality of the air resource will decline because desertification increases airborne particulates. Acute problems will occur during periods of high winds. Because of these impacts and others not identifiable at this time, this application threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 3. The cumulative impact of application 54021 in conjunction with the applications mentioned in the above paragraphs will have a negative impact on the Pahrump Killifish, an endangered species found in the Shoshone Ponds. According to the White Pine Power Project Environmental Impact Statement withdrawing only 25,000 AF of water per year from Spring Valley could decrease

the water temperature in the ponds to less than optimum during the winter and spring months. It is believed that decreased water flows, because of extensive withdrawal, and cold atmospheric temperatures during the winter months will work together to drop the water temperature below the optimum level needed for survival of the Killifish. The aforementioned EIS also states that the United States Fish and Wildlife Service believes that pumping 25,000 AF of groundwater per year in Spring Valley will jeopardize the continued existence of the Pahrump Killifish. Because of these impacts and others not identifiable at this time, this application threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION MANDATORY

At this time, there is insufficient information available to completely analyze and determine the full impacts to the various resources that the BLM is responsible to protect and manage. The actual impacts of the pumping of this well in conjunction with the cumulative impacts of the Las Vegas Valley Water Districts' other proposed wells cannot be fully determined until sufficient data has been collected and analyzed.

We, therefore, protest the granting of the water appropriation because neither the State Engineer nor the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) has prepared an analysis of all anticipated impacts associated with LVVWD's applications. If an analysis has been done, it has not been made available to the public and affected parties, and the failure to do so is not in the public interest as per NRS 533.370.3. Because it is impossible to anticipate all impacts at this time, the BLM reserves the right to amend this protest as other issues develop and as additional studies provide further information.

The Bureau is preparing notices of PWRs within the area of protest. These notices will be based only on the needs appropriate under PWR-107 and will be sent to the State Water Engineer over the next several months prior to adjudication.

In the Matter of Application Number 540	21
FILED BY Las Vegas Valley Water District	<u>t</u>
ON October 17, 1989, TO APPROPRIATE	PROTEST E THE
WATERS OF Underground Sources	·
Comes now Marcia Forman, agent for	or Eastern Unit, Nevada Cattlemen's Association
whose post office address is P. O. Box 1077, M	AcGill, Nevada 89318
whose occupation is Ranching, Private Land O	Owners, and Grazing Permittees and protests the granti
• • • •	, filed onOctober 17, 19_89
by the Las Vegas Valley Water District Printed or typed to	to appropriate t
waters ofUnderground Sources	ame of applicant situated in White Dine
Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or other County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and	situated in White Pine
, for the following reasons and	u on the following grounds, to wit:
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the	application be DENIED (Doubled, Issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief as the State	Engineer deems just and proper.
	Signed Marcia Joana
	Name Marcia Forman, Agent
	Address P. O. Box 150
	Street No. or P. O. Box No.
	Address Ely, Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip Code No.
CHL	
Subscribed and man + 1 5	day of, 19_90
	Beneo & Bruten
RENEE E. KNUTSON Notary Public - State of Nevada	Notary Public State of National August 1997
Appointment Recorded in White Pine County	State of Nevada
MY APPOINTMENT EXPIRES DEC. 14, 1992	County of White Pine

- The granting of this application, in conjunction with any other applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District in this basin, will impair, conflict and interfere with all existing water rights, sources and uses.
- 2. If granted, the allocation of ALL unappropriated waters in this ground water basin would adversely affect all agricultural operations, including but not limited to the following:
 - a. It will adversely affect the economic welfare of all farms and ranches.
 - b. It will destroy the environmental balance by eliminating the natural surface moistures and reducing the humidity levels which creates the natural growing environment of the surrounding areas, thereby destroying the grazing lands, wetlands and farm lands.
 - c. It will halt all potential agricultural growth.
 - d. It will destroy each agricultural operation because they will be unable to continue to operate or expand.
- 3. Eastern Nevada has had severe drought conditions for the past three (3) years which has created the following hardships on all cattlemen:
 - a. The grazing areas do not have sufficient feed to support the cattle.
 - b. The surface waters are insufficient for irrigation and stockwatering.
 - c. The water tables are lowering making it very difficult and expensive to pump any water.
 - d. The cattlemen will have to cut their herds, which affects the economic welfare of everyone within the State of Nevada, especially the surrounding communities.

If the drought creates this many hardships, the continual removal of the periennial yield by the Las Vegas Valley Water District WILL destroy all ranching operations as well as the whole environment of each basin.

- 4. There are different flow systems that underlie the State of Nevada. "These flow systems link the ground water beneath many of the hydrolgic basins over distances greater than 200 miles. The implications of this linkage are immense. While the water taken from a basin may be within the perennial yield of that basin, areas as far away as 200 miles may experience drawdown, and the negative impacts associated with this phenomenon (Intertech Consultants, Inc. 1990).
- Clark County must grow only within the limits of their natural resources or the environmental and socioeconomic balance of the State of Nevada will be destroyed.
- 6. The State Engineer must consider all of the future environmental and socioeconomic ramifications of the trans-basin transfer of ground waters in order to protect the State of Nevada by not allowing these transfers.
- 7. The State Engineer has a responsibility to all of the people of Nevada and must consider all adverse affects which the granting of these applications will have on all areas in the State of Nevada.

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water
 resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts
 socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove
 detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Burcau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - d. The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFILT

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 54021

	s valley water Dist	,	PROTEST	
on October 17	1989 , то Аррков	PRIATE THE		
WATERS OF Under	ground		}	
		T	1	
Comes now	Janell Ahlver			
whose post office add	ress is 38 Connor	Printe rs Ct.	d or typed name of protestant Elv NV 89301	
	District (Stree	I No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip	Code
whose occupation is		DITTCE M	anager-Nv State	W.D., and protests the grant
of Application Numb	er54021	, filed on	October 17	, 19.8
by	Las Vegas Valley	Water Dis	trict	to appropriate
	Underground or name of stream, is	ake, spring or othe	r source	lin White Pine County
County, State of Ne	ada, for the following re	easons and o	on the following grounds,	to wit:
***************************************			***************************************	***************************************
see atta	ched			

***************************************	1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	***************************************	***************************************	
***************************************	***************************************			
			4 7,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
***************************************			***********************************	

***************************************	***************************************	******************		
THEREFORE th	e protestant requests that t	the applicatio	n be Denied	to prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
and that an order be	entered for such relief as	s the State E	ngineer deems just and p	to prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
	emerca for such feller as	s the State E	ngineer deems just and pi	oper.
			1-1-00-40	,
		Signed	Agent or pro	ev
		**************	Janell Ahlvers	
			10 a	me, if agent
		Address	38 Connors Ct.	Has No
		E	Cly NV 89301	. BOX 14G.
		***************************************	City, State and Zi	Code No.
	/		\bigcirc A	0.7
Subscribed and sworn	to before me this	day of	July 19	90
			/ //	
		0	γ , γ	
		Dason	Descro	SI Illahor
CAROL N	DRCROSS VI AUGO	gaso	Descro	SI Ellahos
CAROL N Notary Pul White or	ORCROSS VLAHOS	State of	Descro Notagy Pul Descrida	SS Wahos
White Pin	Course of Nevada		Description Notagy Pul	SI Wahos
White Pin	ORCROSS VLAHOS plic - State of Nevada County - Nevada County - Nevada	State of	Description Notagy Pul Description Augustic A	ss Elahos Inc ene

BY LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT

The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.

This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.

The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.

The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.

The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:

- a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
- b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
- c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
- d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.

The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.

The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Burcau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.

This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.

The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.

..... 2úl. 10 -d 305 MO

In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 54021	
Filed By Las Vegas Valley Water District	PROTEST
ON October 17 1989, TO APPROPRIATE THE	PROTEST
WATERS OF Underground	
WATERS OF CHICE ELECTION	
Comes now WALTER J. BENSON	
whose post office address is 1100 13th STREET ELY, NE	yped name of protestant VADA 89301
	or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code
of Application Number54021 , filed on	October 17
	ct to appropriate the
	•
Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or other sou	situated in White Pine County
County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and on the	ne following grounds, to wit:
SEE ATTACHED	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application be	Denied
and that an order be entered for such relief as the State Engir	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
to the state Engil	deen deens just and proper.
Signed	Walter Benson
WALTE	R. J. BENSON
	Printed or typed name, if agent STREET
	Sireel No, or P.O. Box No. NEVADA 89301
	City, State and Zip Code No.
	,
Subscribed and	.)
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of	1970
	920000000000000000000000000000000000000
garot) Notary Public
Notary Public - State of Nounds State of	evada
White Pine County · Nevada Appt. Exp. Jan. 9, 1994 County of	Shita fina
County or	The second of th

\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE.
ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Burcau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

30 W -0 HV:34

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - d. The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives
 of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD
 service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFILT

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 54021			
FILED BY Las Vegas Valley Water District	•		
ON October 17 , 19 89 , TO APPROPRIATE THE) PR	OTEST	
WATERS OF Underground Sources			
Comes now Marcia Forman, agent f	for Bidart Bro	others	
whose post office address is 34741 Seventh Standard	Road, Baker	sfield, Californi	a 93308
whose occupation is Ranching		, State and Zip Code	
of Application Number54021			
Printed or typed name of ap	plicant	situated in	to appropriate the
Waters of Underground Sources Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or other source County State of Neurals See the S.M.		situated in	winte Pine
County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and on t	he following gr	ounds, to wit:	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the applications of the protest of the pro	eation be I	DENIED	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the applicand that an order be entered for such relief as the State Engi	eation be	DENIED Denied, Issued subject to prior and proper.	rights, etc., as the case may be)
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the applicand that an order be entered for such relief as the State Engin	neer deems just	DENIED Denied, issued subject to prior and proper.	rights, etc., as the case may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief as the State Engi	neer deems just	Agent or protestant	Forman
and that an order be entered for such relief as the State Engi Sig	ned Ma	Agent or protestant rcia Forman, A Printed or typed name, if	Forman
said that an order be entered for such relief as the State Engil Sig Nai Add	neer deems just need Ma ne Ma dress P.	Agent or protestant rcia Forman, A Printed or typed name, if O. Box 150 Street No. or F. O. 1	Joannau gent
and that an order be entered for such relief as the State Engi Sig	neer deems just need Ma ne Ma dress P.	Agent or protestant rcia Forman. A Printed or typed name, if O. Box 150	Formore gent agent Box No.
Sig Nat Add Add	neer deems just need Ma ne Ma dress P.	Agent or protestant rcia Forman, A Printed or typed name, if O. Box 150 Street No. or F. O. 1 Nevada 89301	Formore gent agent Box No.
said that an order be entered for such relief as the State Engil Sig Nai Add	ned Ma ne Ma lress P,	Agent or protestant rcia Forman. A Printed or typed name, if O. Box 150 Street No. or P. O. 1 Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip 6	Formon gent agent Bax No.
Subscribed and warms to be entered for such relief as the State Engin	ned Ma ne Ma lress P,	Agent or protestant rcia Forman. A Printed or typed name, if O. Box 150 Street No. or P. O. 1 Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip 6	Formon gent agent Bax No.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day o	ned Ma ne Ma iress P. iress Ely f July	Agent or protestant rcia Forman. A Printed or typed name, if O. Box 150 Street No. or P. O. I Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip of Notary Public	Formore gent agent Bax No.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	neer deems just need Ma ne Ma dress P. dress Ely f July	Agent or protestant rcia Forman, A Printed or typed mame, if O. Box 150 Street No. or P. O. 1 Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip of Notary Public	Formore gent agent Bax No.

- The granting of this application, in conjunction with any other applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District in this basin, will impair, conflict and interfere with all existing water rights, sources and uses.
- If granted, the allocation of ALL unappropriated waters in this ground water basin would adversely affect all agricultural operations, including but not limited to the following:
 - a. It will adversely affect the economic welfare of all farms and ranches.
 - b. It will destroy the environmental balance by eliminating the natural surface moistures and reducing the humidity levels which creates the natural growing environment of the surrounding areas, thereby destroying the grazing lands, wetlands and farm lands.
 - c. It will halt all potential agricultural growth.
 - d. It will destroy each agricultural operation because they will be unable to continue to operate or expand.
- 3. Eastern Nevada has had severe drought conditions for the past three (3) years which has created the following hardships on all cattlemen:
 - a. The grazing areas do not have sufficient feed to support the cattle.
 - b. The surface waters are insufficient for irrigation and stockwatering.
 - c. The water tables are lowering making it very difficult and expensive to pump any water.
 - d. The cattlemen will have to cut their herds, which affects the economic welfare of everyone within the State of Nevada, especially the surrounding communities.

If the drought creates this many hardships, the continual removal of the periennial yield by the Las Vegas Valley Water District WILL destroy all ranching operations as well as the whole environment of each basin.

- 4. There are different flow systems that underlie the State of Nevada. "These flow systems link the ground water beneath many of the hydrolgic basins over distances greater than 200 miles. The implications of this linkage are immense. While the water taken from a basin may be within the perennial yield of that basin, areas as far away as 200 miles may experience drawdown, and the negative impacts associated with this phenomenon (Intertech Consultants, Inc. 1990).
- Clark County must grow only within the limits of their natural resources or the environmental and socioeconomic balance of the State of Nevada will be destroyed.
- The State Engineer must consider all of the future environmental and socioeconomic ramifications of the trans-basin transfer of ground waters in order to protect the State of Nevada by not allowing these transfers.
- 7. The State Engineer has a responsibility to all of the people of Nevada and must consider all adverse affects which the granting of these applications will have on all areas in the State of Nevada.

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 8. The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Burcau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - d. The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFILT

In the Matter of Application Number 54021

Filed by Las Vegas Valley Water District	PROTEST
ON October 17 1989, TO APPROPRIATE THE	
WATERS OF Underground	<u>. </u>
	- ·
Comes now STEVE COLLARD	
Processor Control of the Control of	inted or typed name of protestant ST ELY, NEVADA 89301 treet No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code
whose occupation isMINER	, and protests the granting
of Application Number	October 17 , 19.89
	istrict to appropriate the
. Undanama 1	
Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or	situated in White Pine County
County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and	on the following grounds, to wit:
SEE ATTACHED	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the applica	tion be Denied (Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief as the State	Engineer deems just and proper.
Signed	Agent of protestall
STEV	/E_COLLARD
Address	Printed or typed name, if agent1.350. AVENUE: C
	Street No. or P.O. Box No. EAST ELY, NEVADA 89301
	City, State and Zip Code No.
Subscribed and sworn to before me thisday o	1 July 1990
\wedge	
CAROL NORCROSS YLAHOS	Notary Public Notary Public
Notary Public • State of Nevada White bling of new - boyada State of	y Levela
County of	ship to time
County	11 minutes 1 min

\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE.
ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 8. The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Burcau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as an prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives
 of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD
 service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFF ILL

	<u>_</u>
In the Matter of Application Number 54021	_,
FILED BYLas Vegas Valley Water District,	
ON October 17, 19.89, TO APPROPRIATE THE	} PROTEST
	•
WATERS OF Underground Sources	
Comes now Marcia Forman agent	for Helen Eldridge
Comes now Marcia Forman, agent	
whose post office address is P. O. Box 46, Baker	et No. or P. O. Box, City, State and Zip Code
whose occupation is Ranching	and protests the granting
of Application Number 54021	
by the Las Vegas Valley Water District	to appropriate the
	situated inWhite Pine
Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or other source County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and on	
county, otate of reevada, for the following reasons and on	the following grounds, to wit:
Please See Attachments	
THEREGORE 4	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the appl	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief as the State Eng	ineer deems just and proper.
	Marsa of Farmer
Si	gnedAgent or protestant
Na	ame Marcia Forman, Agent Printed or typed name, if agent
Ac	idressP. O. Box 150
A .	Street No. or P. O. Box No. Elv. Nevada 89301
Ac	City, Nevaua 09301 City, Shate and Zip Code No.
Subscribed	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day	of <u>July</u> , 19 <u>90</u> .
	(Kener & Brutter
RENEE F KNITSON	Notary Public
Notary Public - State of Nevada Sta	te of Nevada
Appointment Recorded in White Pine County	ounty of White Pine
Appointment Recorded in White Pine County	•

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - d. The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 7. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 54021

FILED BY Las Vegas Valley Water District	PROTEST
ON October 17 1989, TO APPROPRIATE THE	
WATERS OF Underground	
Comes now	r. nd or typed name of protestant
whose post office address is. P.O. BOX 454 RUT	TH., NEVADA 89319 It No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Cude
whose occupation is MINER	and protests the granting
of Application Number	October 17 , 1989
by Las Vegas Valley Water Dis	trict
	situated in White Pine County
Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or othe County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and or	
	on the following grounds, to wit:
SEE ATTACHED	
	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application	on be Denied
	on be Denied
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E	on be Denied
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application	on be Denied
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E	On be Denied (Denicy issued applied to prior rights, etc., as the case may be) Engineer deems just and propes Agent or protestant NALD TERRY FACKRELL
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E	Denied (Denicy issued of bject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be) Engineer deepts just and proper Agent or protestant NALD TERRY FACKRELL Printed or typed name, if agent
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E Signed DO Address	On be Denied (Denical issued applied to prior rights, etc., as the case may be) Engineer deepes just and proper Agent or protestant NALD TERRY FACKRELL Printed or typed name, if agent P.O. BOX 454 Street No. or P.O. Boa No.
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E Signed DO Address	Denied (Denied issued of bject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be) Engineer deepes just god proper Agent or protestant NALD TERRY FACKRELL Printed or typed name, if agent P.O. BOX 454
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E Signed DO Address	on be
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E Signed	on be
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E Signed DO Address R	on be
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E Signed	Denied (Denied issued applect to prior righty, cic., as the case may be) Engineer deeper just and proper Agent of protestant NALD TERRY FACKRELL Printed or typed name, if agent P.O. BOX 454 Street No. or P.O. Box No. UTH, NEVADA 89319
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E Signed	Denied (Denied issued applect to prior righty, cic., as the case may be) Engineer deeper just and proper Agent or protestant NALD TERRY FACKRELL Printed or typed name, if agent P.O. BOX 454 Street No. or P.O. Box No. UTH, NEVADA 89319
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E Signed	Denied (Denied/issued-off)ect to prior righty, etc., as the case may be) Engineer deems just and proper Agent or protestant INALD TERRY FACKRELL Printed or typed name, if agent P.O. BOX 454 Street No. or P.O. Boa No. UTH, NEVADA 89319 City, State and Zip Code No.

\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE.
ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

...,

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the
 public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water
 exploration project would:
 - Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Burcau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFILE

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 54021,

Filed By Las Vegas Valley Water District	PROTEST
OCTOBER 17 1989, TO APPROPRIATE THE	
Waters of Underground	
Comes now	Fern A. Harbecke
Prin	ned or typed name of protestant
whose post office address is SR 5 Box 27, Ely, Ne	VACIA 89301 eet No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code
Farman - Danahan	and protests the gran
of Application Number, filed on	October 17 , 19
by Las Vegas Valley Water Di	strict to appropriate
IIndonoment d	situated in White Pine County
Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or other	her source
County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and	•
This application should be denied become	ause the extraction of water would lower
the depths of water in my own wells a	nd adversely affect my personal existing
rights. Also see the attached reason	s and grounds for further protest.

THEREFORE the protestant requests that the applicati	ion beDenied
	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief as the State I	
	Robert I Harhuha
Signed	Sura a Harlecke
Ro	Agent or protessant bert L. Harbecke and Fern A. Harbecke
	Printed or typed name, if agent
Address	SR 5 Box 27
	Street No. or P.O. Box No.
	Ely, Nevada 89301
	City, State and Zip Code No.
The state of the s	
Subscribed and sworn to before me thisday of	July 1990
3.6	
	600 6 Weaver
LOIS E. WEAVER	Notary Public Nevada
White Pine County, Navade	
County of	r <u>White Pine</u>

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Burcau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works:
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - d. The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFICE

In the Matter of Application Number 54021

Filed By Las Vegas Valley Water District ,	PROTEST
ON October 17 1989, TO APPROPRIATE THE	
WATERS OF Underground	
Comes now DANIEL WEAVED ACENT FOR DANIE	EL MAES
Printed	for typed name of protestant
	Y. NEVADA 89301 No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code
54001	and protests the granting
of Application Number, filed on,	October 17 , 1989
trinted or typed name of app	
waters of Underground Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or other	situated in White Pine County
County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and o	
SEEATTAHCED	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application	on be Denied
and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be) Ingineer deems just and proper.
Signed	Agent or protestant
DANIE	L WEAVER Printed or typed name, if agent
Address	S.R. 1 BOX 5
	Street No. of P.O. Hoa No. ELY, NEVADA 89301
	City, State and Zip Code No.
. Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2	Johy 1990
- loar	of Tercross Wakes
CAROL NORCROSS VLAHOS	Notary Public NZJAJA
Notary Public • State of Nevada State of White Pine County • Nevada Appt. Exp. Jan. 9, 1994	
County of	W 11 // Z /~/ Z

\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE.
ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

03

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Burcau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works:
 - The estimated cost of such works;
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

SIVIE ENGINEERS OFFITT

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER S. TUZI	1
FILED BY Las Vegas Valley Water District	PROTEST
ON October 17 1989, TO APPROPRIATE THE	, . NO.EST
WATERS OF Underground	
	1
Comes now Terry Fachrell, agent for Printe	Mary Goeringer
whose post office address is 5th & High Street. El	Cy. NV 89301
whose occupation is <u>Motel Owner</u>	No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code
of Application Number 54021 filed on	October 17
by Las Vegas Valley Water Dis	
** *	
Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or other	situated in White Pine County
County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and o	n the following grounds, to wit:
SEE ATTATCHED	
	n be Denied
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the applicatio	n be
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the applicatio	n be
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State En	n be
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the applicatio	n be Denied (Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be) ngineer deems Ass, and proper. Agent or protestant
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State En	n be
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State En	n be Denied (Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be) ngineer deems Ass, and proper. Agent or protestant
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the applicatio and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E Signed	n be Denied (Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be) ngineer deems Ass, and proper. Agent or protestant
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the applicatio and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E Signed	n be Denied (Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be) ngineer deems Ass, and proper. Agent or protestant
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State En	n be
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State English Signed	n be
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State English Signed	n be
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State English Signed	n be
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the applicatio and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E Signed	n be Denied (Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be) Ingineer deems first and proper. Agent or protestant Agent or protestant Plinted or typed name, if agent DOX 454 Street No. or P.O. Uoa No. WHY City, State and Zip Code No. Julya 19.90.
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State English Signed	n be
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application and that an order be entered for such relief as the State English and Signed	n be

- \$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE.
ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

OK JF -

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 8. The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - d. The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFICE SECTION

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 54021 FILED BY Las Vegas Valley Water District ON October 17 1989, TO APPROPRIATE TO MATERS OF Underground	PROTEST
Comes now Edna 0:	Printed or typed name of protestant
whose post office address is	npton St., Ely, Nevada 89301 Street No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code
	october 17, and protests the granting
by Las Vegas Valley Water	District to appropriate the
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ne of applicantsituated in White Pine County g or other source
Underground or name of stream, lake, spring County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons	
See Attachment	,
<u></u>	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the same	Nented Dented
and that an order be entered for such relief as the S	lication be Denied (Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
and that an order be effected for such feller as the s	and Engineer deems just and proper.
Sign	ed Cha Horrore Agent or protessant
	Edna Oxbowow Printed or typed name, if agent
Add	ress 409 Campton Street Street No. or P.O. Box No.
	Ely. Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip Code No.
	City, state and Zip Code No.
CAROL NORCROSS YLAHOS Notary Public - State of Nevada White Pine County - Nevada Appt. Exp. Jan. 9, 1994 County - Count	White Dine
<i>30</i>	

d ,

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District sceking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 8. The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - d. The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives
 of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD
 service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFILE

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 54021

	FILED BY Las Vegas Valley Water District PROTEST
	ON OCT. 17. 1989., TO APPROPRIATE THE
	WATERS OF Underground Sources
	T 51
	Comes now Larry Shew Printed or typed name of protestant
`	whose post office address is SR 1 Box 011 E1y, Nevada 89301 Street No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code
	whose occupation is Miner, Ranch Hand, Construction Hand , and protests the granting
	of Application Number 54021 , filed on 0ct. 17, , 1989.
	by Las Vegas Valley Water District to appropriate the
	waters of Underground Sources Situated in White Pine Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or other source
	Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or other source County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and on the following grounds, to wit:
	How can you justify taking water from WP, Nye and Lincoln Counties when all of
	Clark County is nothing but a playground for people. We in White Pine County have
	ranching, farming, mining and wildlife that depend on this water that we depend
	on to live! You leave no room for growth in any of these counties. How can you
	justify 24 man-made lakes andwater parks and the waste of water?
	THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application be
	and that an order be entered for such relief as the State Engineer deems just and proper.
	La Starten
	Signed ANG Agent or protestant
	Larry Shew Printed or typed name, if agent
	Address SR 1 Box 011 Street No. or P.O. Box No.
	Ely, Nevada 89301
	City. State and Zip Code No.
	Subscribed and sworm to before much! 5
	Subscribed and sworn to before me this
-	Rence 8- Kneeton
	RENEE E. KNUTSON Notary Public Notary Public - State of Nevada State of Nevada
7	Appointment Recorded in White Pine County
	MY APPOINTMENT EXPRES DEC. 14, 1992 County of White Pine

\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE.
ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.



- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 8. The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as apprerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - d. The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFILT

In the Matter of Application Number 54021

Filed by Las Vegas Valley Water District

WATERS OF Underground	.1989, TO APPROPRIATE THE		
WATERS OF	4	. 1	
	ginia B. Terry		***************************************
whose post office address is	P.O. Box 1363 M	need or typed name of protestant IC Gill, NV 893	18
	Sir	eet No. or P.O. Box, City, State and	Zin Cuda
			, 19.89
			to appropriate the
	Frinted or typed name of a	applicant	
waters ofUnder	ground or name of stream, lake, spring or or	her source	ted in White Pine County
County, State of Nevada, f	for the following reasons and	on the following ground	s, to wit:
the Las Veg	cation is one of overalley Water Di	er 140 appilcat strict seeking	ions filed by a combined
appropriati	ion of over 860,000	acre-feet of g	round and surface
water for m	unicipal use in th	e Las Vegas Val	ley Artesian
the county	ersion and export and area of origin	of such a quant	ity of water will depreeded for
its environ	ment and economic	well being and	will unnecessarily
destroy env	vironmental, ecol og cate holds in trust	ical, scenic an	d recreational values
	ace noids in crust	, ion air ios ci	cizens.
	estant requests that the applicated for such relief as the State Signed	(Denied, issued subject of the control of the contr	B. Tevry protestant y d name, if agent

*				
IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION I	Number <u>54021</u>			
FILED BY Las Vegas Valley	Water District			
ON October 17, 1989,	TO APPROPRIATE T	HE }]	PROTEST	
WATERS OF Underground	Sources			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Comes now the County of	of White Dine on	d the City of	Tiles Care Car	
Comes now the County of whose post office address in P. O.	Pow 1002 Fi	Printed or typed name o	Fly, State of Neva	da
whose post office address is P. O.	DUX 1002, E	Street No. or P. O. Box,	S9301 City, State and Zip Code	
whose occupation is Political Sub				
of Application Number54	021	, filed on _	October 17	, 19 <u>_89</u>
by the Las Vegas Valley Wat	ter District Printed or typed name of	Capplicant		to appropriate th
waters of Underground : Underground or name of size	Sources		situated in	White Pine
County, State of Nevada, for the follow	wing reasons and or	a the following	grounds to with	
			grounds, to wit.	
Con Association				
See Attached	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	,			
THEREFORE the protection to				
THEREFORE the protestant r		lication be	DENIED Gerlied, launed authors to review of	
THEREFORE the protestant r		lication be	DENIED Gerlied, launed authors to review of	
	elief as the State Phy	lication be	DENIED Gerlied, launed authors to review of	
	elief as the State Phy	lication be	DENIED Gerlied, launed authors to review of	
	elief as the State Phy	lication be	DENIED (Denied, issued subject to prior rist and proper. Agent or protestight an L. Papez, Age	ghts, etc., as the case may be)
	elief as the State Phy Si	lication be	DENIED (Denied, issued subject to prior rist and proper. Agent or protestylt an L. Papez, Age Printed or typed nam. O, Box 240	ghts, etc., as the case may be)
	elief as the State Eng Si N	lication be gineer deems ju gned ameD ddressP	DENIED (Denied, issued subject to prior rist and proper. Agent or protestalt. In L. Papez, Agent or typed named to the control of typed named to the contr	ghis, etc., as the case may be)
	elief as the State Eng Si N	lication be gineer deems ju gned ameD ddressP	DENIED (Denied, issued subject to prior rist and proper. Agent or protestylt an L. Papez, Age Printed or typed nam. O, Box 240	ghts, etc., as the case may be)
	elief as the State Eng Si N	lication be gineer deems ju gned ameD ddressP	DENIED (Denied, issued subject to prior rest and proper. Agent or protestal tan L. Papez, Age Printed or typed nam. O. Box 240 Street No. or P. O. Bot. Iv. Nevada 89301	ghts, etc., as the case may be)
and that an order be entered for such re	elief as the State Phy Si N Ad	lication be gineer deems ju gned ame ddressP	DENIED (Denied, issued subject to prior rist and proper. Agent or protestalt an L. Papez, Age Printed or typed nam. O. Box 240 Street No. or P. O. Bo ly, Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip Co	ghts, etc., as the case may be)
	elief as the State Eng Si N Ac	lication be gineer deems ju gned ame ddressP	DENIED (Denied, issued subject to prior rest and proper. Agent or protestall. an L. Papez, Age Printed or typed num. O. Box 240 Street No. or P. O. Bo Ly, Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip Co	ghts, etc., as the case may be)
and that an order be entered for such re	elief as the State Phy Si N Ad	lication be gineer deems ju gned ame ddressP	DENIED (Denied, issued subject to prior rest and proper. Agent or protestall. an L. Papez, Age Printed or typed num. O. Box 240 Street No. or P. O. Bo Ly, Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip Co	ghts, etc., as the case may be) Set (If agent) x No. de No.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	Si N. A. A. A. day	lication be gineer deems ju gned ame ddress eddress of Ju Yaxin	DENIED (Denied, Issued subject to prior rist and proper. Agent or protestalt an L. Papez, Age Printed or typed nam, O. Box 240 Street No. or P. O. Bo ly, Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip Co	ghts, etc., as the case may be) If agent X No.
and that an order be entered for such re	Si N. A. A. A. day	lication be gineer deems ju gned ame ddress eddress of Ju Yaxin	DENIED (Denied, issued subject to prior rest and proper. Agent or protestall. an L. Papez, Age Printed or typed num. O. Box 240 Street No. or P. O. Bo Ly, Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip Co	ghts, etc., as the case may be) If agent X No.

The City of Ely and The Board of County Commissioners, White Pine County, State of Nevada, do hereby protest the above referenced application upon the following grounds:

- 1. Upon information and belief Protestant asserts that there is not sufficient unappropriated groundwater in Spring Valley to provide the water sought in Application Number 54021 and all other pending applications involving the utilization of surface and ground water from that Basin.
- 2. Upon information and belief Protestant asserts that the appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations to dedicated users in the Spring Valley Basin will exceed the annual recharge and safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. That the groundwater sought in Application Number 54021 will conflict with and interfere with groundwater sought in previously filed Applications in the Spring Valley Basin as set out a State Engineer's abstract which is hereto as Exhibit "A" fully incorporated herein, said Applications being prior in time to the instant Application and which have not been acted upon by the State Engineer.
- 4. The granting or approval of the instant Application would conflict with or tend to impair existing water rights in the Spring Valley Basin in that it would exceed the safe yield of the subject basin and unreasonably lower the static water level and sanction water mining which is contrary to public policy in the State of Nevada.
- 5. That the appropriation of the water sought in the instant Application, when added to the other pending Applications and to the already approved appropriations and dedicated uses in the Spring Valley Basin, will lower the static water level in Spring Valley Basin, will adversely affect the quality of the remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeps and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the use and survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface existing uses.

- 6. This Application is one of approximately 147 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of approximately 860,000 acre feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy or damage environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 7. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and a water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 8. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts, socioeconomic impact, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 9. Granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - (1) Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statues;
 - (2) Prevent or interfere with the conservation and management of those threatened or endangered species;
 - (3) Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - (4) Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 10. That the withdrawal of the ground water sought in this Application and/or in conjunction with withdrawal of groundwaters sought in other Applications in Spring Valley included in the water importation project will exceed the annual recharge and safe yield of the basin and will cause the loss of surface plant communities that provide forage and habitat for wildlife and forage for livestock, thus eliminating those uses of the basin.

- 11. That the granting of this Application together with the companion Applications filed as part of the water importation project will necessitate the Applicant to locate well sites, build road and power lines to each well site, causing surface disturbance and degradation of the environment, including loss of wildlife habitat, wildlife populations, and grazing lands for livestock.
- 12. The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District, and that such waste of water is contrary to public policy in the State of Nevada.
- 13. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained or demonstrated that it can obtain right-of-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County, and therefore cannot show that the water will ever be placed in beneficial use.
- 14. The Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications of the water importation project will perpetuate and may increase the inefficient use of water and frustrate efforts of water demand management in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 15. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to placing the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.
- 16. The above-reference Application should be denied because the Application fails to adequately include the statutorily required information, to wit;
 - (1) Description of proposed works;
 - (2) The estimated cost of such works;
 - (3) The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - (4) The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 17. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of the Spring Valley Basin thereby adversely affect phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in

violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.

- 18. The Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to guard the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal of groundwater out of the basin cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative environmental and socioeconomic impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - mitigation measures that will reduce such impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- Applicant has failed to provide to Protestant relevant information regarding this Application and other Applications which comprise this project as required by N.R.S. 533.363. That the failure to provide such relevant information denies Protestant due process of law under Chapter 533, N.R.S., in that said relevant information may provide Protestant with further meaningful grounds of protest, and that Protestant may be forever barred from submitting such further grounds of protest because the protest period may run before Applicant provides such required information. That the failure of Applicant to provide such information denies Protestant with meaningful opportunity to submit protests to this Application and other Applications included in this project as allowed by Chapter 533, N.R.S.
- 20. The subject Application should be denied because the population projections upon which the water demand projections are based are unrealistic and ignore numerous constraints to growth, including traffic congestion, increase costs of infrastructure and services, degraded air quality, etc.
- 21. The subject Application should be denied because previous and current conservation programs instituted by the Las Vegas Water District are ineffective, public-relations oriented efforts that are unlikely to achieve substantial water savings. Public policy and public interest considerations should preclude the negative environmental and socioeconomic consequences of the proposed transfers on areas of origin when the potential water importer has failed to make a good-faith effort to efficiently use currently available supplies.
- 22. The subject Application should be denied because the enormous costs of the project likely will result in water rate increases of such a magnitude that demand will be substantially reduced, thereby rendering the water transfer unnecessary.

- 23. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest and is not made in good faith since it would allow the Las Vegas Valley Water District to lock up vital water resources for possible use sometime in the distant future beyond current planning horizons.
- 24. The subject Application should be denied because current and developing trends in housing, landscaping, national plumbing fixture stands, and demographic patterns all suggest that the simplistic water demand forecasts upon which the proposed transfers are based substantially overstate future water demand needs.
- 25. The subject Application should be denied because the current per capita water consumption rate for the Las Vegas Valley Water District is double that of similarly situated southwestern municipalities. This suggests enormous potential for more cost-effective supply alternatives, including demand management and effluent re-use. These alternatives have not been seriously considered by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 26. The subject Application should be denied because the enormous costs of the project likely will result in water rate increases of such a magnitude that demand will be substantially reduced, thereby rendering the transfers unnecessary.
- 27. The subject Application should be denied because the current per capita water consumption rate for the the Las Vegas Valley Water District currently is double that of similarly situated southwestern municipalities. This suggests enormous potential for more cost-effective supply alternatives, including demand management and effluent re-use, which avoid the negative impacts on rural areas of origin and have not been considered.
- 28. That the State Engineer has previously denied other groundwater Applications submitted by other Applicants in the subject basin, said Applications having been prior in time to the instant Application and those associated with the water importation project. That the grounds of denial for prior Applications should apply equally to the instant Application and if appropriate, should provide grounds to deny the instant Application.
- 29. Inasmuch as water extraction and the trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the Protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develope as a result of further study.
- 30. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to this Application and/or to any Application filed that is included in this project and filed pursuant to N.R.S. 533.365.

A T F R R E S O U R C E S

HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN ABSTRACT SROUND WATER

<i>()</i>				Do / 1 / 1 / 2 /	43435	1580 P
41B	1.000 IND	NE VE 35 16N 66E	kFA ∪G	06/15/82	43435	45808
1	1.000 IND	SW SE 14 15N 67E	RFA UG	06/16/82	43435	45807
	1.000 IND	SW SE 11 154 67E	RFA UG	06/16/32	43435	45806
'A'	1.000 IND	VE SE 2 15N 67E	RFA UG.	. 06/16/82	43435	45805
)	1.007 IND	SE SE 34 154 57E	RFA_UG		43435	45804
	1.000 IND	VH SW 23 16N 67E	RFA UG	26/16/32	43434	45863
	1.000 IND	NE SW 14 16N 67E	RFA UG	06/15/92	43434	45802
• •	1.00' IND	W SE 11 16N 67E	XFA US	06/15/32	43434	45861
	1.000 IND	SE SE 2 16N 67E	KFA_UG	G6/16/32	43434	45800
	1.000 IND	LT 03 1 16N 67E	RFA UG	06/16/82	43434	45799
	1.000 END	SW VE 35 174 67E	AFA UG	06/16/32	43454	£979£
270.00 1,080.00	4.500 IRR	NW SE 16 13N 67E	PEP UG	05/10/82		84664
	0.120 STK	SE Nr. 23. 5N. 68E	CER US	11202 24/22/8/		45496
9.00	0.000 IRD	SE 7 7 134 57E	xεk ∪G	02/10/32		45312
A C RES IRRI GATED ANNUAL	RATE OF U	POINT_DE_DIVERSION	STAT SRC	CERT# DATE	CHANGE OF APP#	A G

#F23851

HATER RIGHTS SYSTEM

HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN ABSTRACT GROUND WATER

HYDROGRAPHIC AREA: 10-134 SPRING J

45823	22857	45821)	45820	45819	45818	45817	45815	45815	45814	45813	45812	45811	45813	P P P
43438	43438	43437	43437	43637	43437	43457	43437	43436	43436	43436	43436	43430	63635	CHANGE OF APP#
06/16/32	05/15/82	. 06/15/82	06/16/82		06/15/32	06/15/82	05/15/82	06/16/82	06/15/82	C6/16/84	06/16/82	95/15/92	00/16/92	CERT# FI-ING
RFA UG . NW. VW 11 13N . 65	RFA UG SE SE 34 14N 66E	RFA UG NW SE 25 154 66E	RFA UG VE SE 25 15N 66E	KFA UG SE NE 23 154 66E	RFA UG "14 SW 13 154 66E	RFA UG SE SW 12 15N 65E	REAUG SE SW 1 154 66E	RFA UG SW.SW 26 14N 65	RFA UG SE SW 23 144 65E	RFA UG SE SE 14 144 65E	RFA UG SE SE 11 14N 66E	RFA UG SE SE 2 144 64E	REA UG SE SE 35 154	STAT SRC Q Q SET TWP R
1.000 IND	1.000 IND	1.000 IND .	1.000 IND	E1.000 IND	E 1.000 IND	E 1.007 IND	E 1.007 IND	5E1.000 IND	E 1.000 IND	1.000 IND	1.000 IND	1.000 IND	65E 1.007 IND	RSION FATE OF U ACRES RNG (CFS) USE P IRRIGATED
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,)9	0.00	0.00	9.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	RES ANNUAL !

アンメント

72750

A T E R R E S O U P C E S

HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN ABSTRACT SROUND WATER

10-134	
SPRING	
€,	
	:

	69169	66404	44100	40075	1460.97	60X55	52865	60001	#283U	100 N	45020	45827	45825	45825	# 44 ¥
42250	42249	16224	87227		•	43439	43439	63459	43439	43439	62725	43458	43433	63653	CHANGE OF APP#
58127182	05/27/82	08/27/32	08/27/92	. 08/27/82	08/27/8:	06/15/82	06/16/82	C6/16/82	C6/16/32	06/16/82	06/15/92	06/16/82	£5/16/82	06/15/82	CERT# FITING
REA UG NE NE 23 114	RFA UG SW SW 23.11N	RFA 116 SE SE 23 1	XFA UG YW VW 23 1	RFA UG . LT 02 7 1	EFA_UGLT337_14%	KFA US SE SE 9 1	RFA_UG NE NE 9 1	REA UG NE VW 1 1	RFA UG NH VE 24.12N	FFA UG SW NW 13 1	RFA UG NW SW 12	RFA UG SW SW 25	RFA_UGSW_SW_24_	-F4 US SW SE 14	STAT SRC Q Q SEC
14 67E	IN 67E	114 67E	114 67E	4N 67E	4× 67=	13N 67E	13N 67E	34 67E	2N 66E	12N 65E	12N 65E	13N 66E	13N 55E	134 65E	DIVERSION TWP RNG
5.407 IRD	5.400 IRD	5.400 IRD	5.400 IPO	2.700 IRD	_2.703 IRD	1.007 IND	1.000 IND	1.007 IND	1.000 IND	1.009 1	1.003 נאז	1.000 I	1.000 I	1.003 I	GESS U
D 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	160.00	RD150.00	4D	NO	NO	NO	IND	20	IND	I CN I	IND	TYPE S OF U ACRES USE P IRRIGATED
n.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	640.00	640-00-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.00	ANNUAL

ATER RIGHTS SYSTEM S

HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN ABSTRACT GROUND WATER

43435 CHG BY	1	CHG BY	42301	42300	66227	86227	16774	66227	42295	42294	42293	A P P R	HYDROGRAPHIC
\$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200	Union	45801 45801 45801	•		 		<u>\</u>	×				CHANGE OF APPE	7264:
03/30/81		p3/30/31	08/28/9	08/28/	09/28	08/28/93	08/28/30	03/28	08/23/	<u> </u>	7 53/28/	CERT# FILI	10-134 SPRING
91 RFA UG		/31RFA_U3	RFA UG	18) RFA UG	8/°) RFA US	/3) RFA UG	/30 RFA U6	18, REA UG	/8. KFA UG	183 RFA UG	730 RF3 115	E STAT SPC	6
NE NE 2 14N		NE NE 1 149	NS 1 08 MM MS	SW SW 30 12N	SW SE 30 12	SW NE 30 124	SE SE 12 11	SE NE 12 114	SW VE 5 12N	SW SE 5 1	NE VE 5 1	POLNT OF DIV	
66E (65 E	675	4 67E	4 67E	4 67E	N 66E	4 65E	N 67E	24 67E	N 67:	RNG	02.00 40 44 FX
4 ONI 000.9		4.000 IND	0.000 IRR	0.000 IRR	0.000 IRR	0.000 IRR	0.000 IRR	0.000 IRR	0.903 IRR	0.001 R	0.000 IRR	RATE OF USE P	n x
			0.00	. 0.00	ŋ. 00 ·	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	A CRES IRRIGATED	
0.00		0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.	ANNUAL	

	HYDROG	GROUND WATER
APP# CHANG	_m¦ ⊖	SIV TYPE S RATE OF U ACRES (CFS) USE P IRRIGATED ANNIAL
CLCC 22	03/30/91) RFA UG NE NE 11 144 668	5.002 IND
45813 45814 45815		
100	73/30/81 RFA UG NE NE 12 14N 65E	5.000 IND 9.00
45820 45820 45820		
101 100 101	03/37/31 RFA UG NE VE 13 14N 66E	5.000 IND 0.03
150000 150000 150000 150000 150000 150000 150000 150000 150000 150000 150000 15000 1		
75823 75824 75828 75828 75828 75828	C3/30/31 RFA UG NE NE 14 14N 65E	000.00 cni 000.3
05.05		
45287 29967	1101Z 02/35/82CER_UG_NE_SW 12 124 67E .	5.560 IRR 320.00 0.00

	PPLICATION N	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	'
	· ·	Water District	/ PRUIESI
on October 17	1989	, to Appropriate the	, (
WATERS OF Under	ground	·	. 🕽
			- ·
Comes now II.	S. Fish an	d Wildlife Servi	
		Pr	inted or typed name of protestant
whose post omce addi	ress isLUUZ	NE HOLIAday Sir	eet Portland OR 97232-4181
	F # 0 0 1		and enhancement of fish, wildlife and their habit
	er		October 17 ,1989
by Las Vegas	Valley Wa	ter District Printed or typed name of	applicant to appropriate the
waters of Under	ground		situated in White Pine
County, State of New			d on the following grounds, to wit:

***************************************		72	
4		**************************************	
***************************************	***************************************	***************************************	
***************************************		······································	
1711-1711-1711-1711-1711-1711-1711-171	***************************************	***************************************	
	19724 - #00077 - \$4400		
THEREFORE the	e protestant r	equests that the applica	ution be
THEREFORE the	e protestant r	equests that the applica	tion be
THEREFORE the	entered for s	equests that the applica	tion be
THEREFORE the	entered for s	such relief as the State	Engineer deems just and proper.
THEREFORE the	entered for s	such relief as the State	Engineer deems just and proper. Maun Lalenut Agent or protestant
THEREFORE the	entered for s	such relief as the State	Engineer deems just and proper. Manual Claude Agent or protestant Marvin L. Plenert, Regional Director
THEREFORE the	entered for s	such relief as the State	Marvin L. Plenert, Regional Director U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
THEREFORE the	entered for s	Signed	Engineer deems just and proper. Manual Colorador Agent or protestant Marvin L. Plenert, Regional Director Printed or typed name, if agent U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1002 NE Holladay St Street No. or P.O. Box No.
THEREFORE the	entered for s	Signed	Marvin L. Plenert, Regional Director U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
and that an order be	entered for s	Signed Address	Engineer deems just and proper. Manual Colorador Agent or protestant Marvin L. Plenert, Regional Director Printed or typed name, if agent U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1002 NE Holladay St Street No. or P.O. Box No.
THEREFORE the and that an order be	entered for s	Signed Address	Engineer deems just and proper. Manual Colorador Agent or protestant Marvin L. Plenert, Regional Director Printed or typed name, if agent U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1002 NE Holladay St Street No. or P.O. Box No.
and that an order be	entered for s	Signed Address	Engineer deems just and proper. Manual Colorador Agent or protestant Marvin L. Plenert, Regional Director Printed or typed name, if agent U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1002 NE Holladay St Street No. or P.O. Box No.
and that an order be	entered for s	Signed Address	Engineer deems just and proper. Manual Colorador Agent or protestant Marvin L. Plenert, Regional Director Printed or typed name, if agent U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1002 NE Holladay St Street No. or P.O. Box No.
and that an order be	entered for s	Signed Address	Marvin L. Plenert, Regional Director U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1002 NE Holladay St. Street No. or P.O. Box No. Portland OR 97232-4181 City, State and Zip Code No. Marvine Q. Holladay St. Marvine Q. Holladay St. Portland OR 97232-4181 City, State and Zip Code No.
and that an order be	entered for s	Signed Address this 25th day of State	Marvin L. Plenert, Regional Director Priested or typed name, if nagest U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1002 NE Holladay St Portland OR 97232-4181 City, State and Zip Code No. 1920 Marvine G. Holladay Notary Public Oregon
and that an order be	entered for s	Signed. Address te this 25th day of County o	Engineer deems just and proper. Manual Claud Agent or protestant Marvin L. Plenert, Regional Director U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1002 NE Holladay Street No. or P.O. Box No. Portland OR 97232_A181 City, State and Zip Code No. 1920 Marulya G. Hollway Notary Public Oregon Multnomah
and that an order be	entered for s	Signed. Address te this 25th day of County o	Marvin L. Pienert Regional Director Priested or typed name, if nages U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1002 NE Holladay St Portland OR 97232-4181 City, State and Zip Code No. 1920 Marvine G. Holladay Notary Public Oregon

456 (Revined 6-88)

OK.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) protests water right applications 53947 through 54036, 54038 through 54066, 54068 through 54092, 54105, and 54106, of which this protest is a part, which were filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD). Granting the above applications would not be in the public interest and, in addition, would injure the Service's senior water rights.

The currently available information indicates that the impacts, both short and long term, which would result from withdrawal (extraction) of underground water as proposed by LVVWD, would adversely affect the water rights held by the Service and the water available to wildlife and plants in general.

The "underground source" of the water proposed to be appropriated by LVVWD will intercept the source of the water that now maintains the numerous springs, seeps, marshes, streams, and riparian and mesquite habitats that support the wildlife and plant resources including endangered and threatened species in the state of Nevada. These water resources are dependent on the ground water systems from which applicant proposes to tap.

The Service's mission is to conserve, protect, and enhance fish, wildlife, and their habitats for the continuing benefit of the American people. In southern Nevada, the Service manages four National Wildlife Refuges (NWR):

- Ash Meadows NWR. This refuge was established in June 1984 and comprises approximately 23,500 acres of spring-fed wetlands and alkaline desert uplands that provide habitat for numerous plants and animals found nowhere else in the world. Five species at the refuge are listed under the Endangered Species Act, and seven species are threatened. Twenty other species are candidates for listing.
- Desert National Wildlife Range. This refuge was established in 1936 and encompasses over 2,200 square miles. The most important objective is perpetuating the desert bighorn sheep and its habitat. Dependable, year-round water sources located throughout bighorn habitat enable the sheep to use all available habitat which reduces competition for food, cover, water, and space. The Corn Creek Spring ponds on the refuge are the home of the endangered Pahrump poolfish.
- Moapa NWR. This refuge was established in 1979 to secure habitat for the Moapa dace, an endangered minnow endemic to the headwaters of the Muddy River. Historically, the dace was common throughout the headwaters of the Muddy River but in the last decade populations have declined sharply due to habitat destruction and alterations and competition with introduced non-native species.

 Pahranagat NWR. This refuge was established in 1964 to provide a stopping point for waterfowl and other migratory birds as they migrate south in the fall and back north in the early spring. These waterfowl are attracted by the refuge's 5,380 acres of marshes, open water, native grass meadows, and cultivated croplands. The refuge is the home of the endangered bald eagle and five candidate species.

These four southern Nevada refuges support migratory birds, endangered and threatened species, and other plant and wildlife species. Loss of sufficient water supply to the refuges would eliminate or degrade critical wildlife habitat and could eliminate some or all of the migratory birds, endangered and threatened species, and other wildlife the refuges have been established to protect. This would defeat the very purposes of the refuges and interfere with the Service's mandated responsibilities under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, 16 U.S.C. § 703 et seq., (MBTA) and the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq., among other federal laws. Reducing the refuges' water supply through approval of the applications could also constitute violations of the ESA and MBTA.

In addition to the endangered and threatened species found on the refuges, endangered and threatened species are found at numerous other sites in southern Nevada. Significantly reducing water supplies at these locations would also adversely affect these species. The preamble to the Endangered and plants are of aesthetic, ecological, educational, historical, recreational and scientific value to the Nation and its people. Congress, through enactment of the Endangered Species Act, has clearly expressed a national public interest in preserving endangered and threatened plant and animal species.

The Service also has water rights for surface and ground water at each of the four southern Nevada National Wildlife Refuges. Approval of the applications would significantly reduce the water available at the refuges and injure the Service's water rights.

The Fish and Wildlife Service strongly urges the State Engineer to undertake a comprehensive study of the environmental impacts to southern Nevada that the withdrawing of approximately 860,000 acre-feet of water, the amount applied for by the Las Vegas Valley Water District, would have on the hydrologically connected basins in this area of the state prior to approving any of the

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION N	UMBER 54021		
Filed By Las Vegas Valley	Water District	DROTEST	
October 17 19 8	•	> PROTEST	
WATERS OF Underground S			
WATERS OF		1	
Comes now			
whose post office address is		ed or typed name of protestant 5, Ely, NV 89301	
	Stree	5, ELY, NV 89301 et No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code, and pro	
		October 17	, 19
by Las Vegas Valley	Printed or typed name of ap		
waters of Underground :	Source	situated in White Pi	ne
County, State of Nevada, for the			
		the following grounds, to wit.	
C - A - 1 1 01			
See Attached Shee	t .		
		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
***************************************	***************************************		

THEREFORE the protestant	requests that the application	on be DENIED (Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as	(the case may be)
and that an order be entered for			,,
		Lois E. Weaver	
	Signed	Agent of projections	
	Signed	Agent or protestant Lois Weaver	
		Lois Weaver Printed or typed name, if agent	
	Signed Address	Lois Weaver Printed or typed name, if agent	
		Agent or protestant Lois Weaver Printed or typed name, if agent S. R. 1, Box 5 Street No. or P.O. Box No. Ely, NV 89301	
		Agent or protestant Lois Weaver Printed or typed name, if agent S. R. 1, Box 5 Street No. or P.O. Box No.	
	Address /-th	Agent or protestant Lois Weaver Printed or typed name, if agent S. R. 1, Box 5 Street No. or P.O. Box No. Ely, NV 89301	
Subscribed and sworn to before t	Address //h	Agent or protestant Lois Weaver Printed or typed name, if agent S. R. 1, Box 5 Street No. or P.O. Box No. Ely, NV 89301	
Subscribed and sworn to before to	Address	Agent or protestant Lois Weaver Printed or typed name, if agent S. R. 1, Box 5 Street No. or P.O. Box No. Ely, NV 89301	
Subscribed and sworn to before t	Address	Agent or protestant Lois Weaver Printed or typed name, if agent S. R. 1, Box 5 Street No. or P.O. Box No. Ely, NV 89301	
Subscribed and sworn to before to	Address	Agent or protestant Lois Weaver Printed or typed name, if agent S. R. 1, Box 5 Street No. or P.O. Box No. Ely, NV 89301 City, State and Zip Code No.	
Subscribed and sworn to before to	Address me this 6th day of	Agent or protestant Lois Weaver Printed or typed name, if agent S. R. 1, Box 5 Street No. or P.O. Box No. Ely, NV 89301 City, State and Zlp Code No. 19 90 Oncille Notary Public	
Subscribed and sworn to before to	Address me this day of State of	Agent or protestant Lois Weaver Printed or typed name, if agent S. R. 1, Box 5 Street No. or P.O. Box No. Ely, NV 89301 City, State and Zip Code No. 19 90 Omelle Anguary Public Please CAMILLE J. NIMAN	
Subscribed and sworn to before	Address me this day of State of	Agent or protestant Lois Weaver Printed or typed name, if agent S. R. 1, Box 5 Street No. or P.O. Box No. Ely, NV 89301 City, State and Zip Code No. July Ngury Publik Persoda Make Aine	

AUL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

(1-2035 mal (1) Date

2414 (Revised & MI)

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

30 4 -0 85:34

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFILT

IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 5402 1

On October 17 1989 , To A	District , PROTEST	
WATERS OF Underground	· ·	
TATEKS OF		
_ KELLY WIEI	DMEYER	
Comes now	Printed or typed name of protestant	
whose post office address is	RRY STREET ELY, NEVADA 89301 Street No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code	······
whose occupation is STUDENT		., and protests the granti
of Application Number5402	, filed on October 17	
by Las Vegas Val	ley Water District	to appropriate t
•••	inted or typed name of applicant	
	ing reasons and on the following grounds, to wit:	
		•

······································		***************************************
<u>) </u>		

TUEDEFORE		
THEREFORE the protestant requests	(Denied, issued subject to prior ri	ghts, etc., as the case may be)
	that the application be <u>Denied</u> (Denied, issued subject to prior to	ghis, etc., as the case may be)
	(Denied, issued subject to prior the liter as the State Engineer deems just and proper.	ghts, etc., as the case may be)
	(Denied, issued subject to prior ri	ghis, etc., as the case may be)
	(Denied, issued subject to prior the lief as the State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed Kelly Wiederstant Kelly Wiederstant	yer
	Signed KELLY WIEDMEYER KELLY WIEDMEYER 846. MIIPPY STEET FOR THE STEET	yer
	(Denied, issued subject to prior the lief as the State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed Kelly Wiederstant Kelly Wiederstant	yer
	Signed KELLY WI EDMEYER 846 MURRY STREET Agent or protestant Street No. or P.O. Hop No.	YET
	Signed. Signed Agent or protestant KELLY WIEDMEYER Address Street No. or P.O. Box No. ELY, NEVADA 89301	YET
	Signed State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed Agent or protestant KELLY WIEDMEYER 846 MURRY STREET Address Street No. or P.O. Box No ELY, NEVADA 89301 City, State and Zip Code No.	YET
and that an order be entered for such rel	Signed State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed Agent or protestant KELLY WIEDMEYER 846 MURRY STREET Address Street No. or P.O. Box No ELY, NEVADA 89301 City, State and Zip Code No.	YET
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	Signed State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed Agent or protestant KELLY WIEDMEYER 846 MURRY STREET Address Street No. or P.O. Box No ELY, NEVADA 89301 City, State and Zip Code No.	YET
Subscribed and sworn to before me this CAROL NORCROSS YLAHOS Notary Public - State of Nevada	Signed State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed Agent or protestant KELLY WIEDMEYER 846 MURRY STREET Address Street No. or P.O. Box No ELY, NEVADA 89301 City, State and Zip Code No.	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	Signed State Engineer deems just and proper. Signed State Engineer deems just and proper. Agent or protestant KELLY WIEDMEYER 846 MURRY STREET Address Street No. or P.O. Box No ELY, NEVADA 89301 City, State and Zip Code No.	YET

\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE.
ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

0

REASONS AND GROUNDS FOR PROTEST

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the
 public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water
 exploration project would:
 - Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Burcau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFILE

IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

·	
In the Matter of Application Number 540	021
FILED BY the Las Vegas Valley Water I	District PROTEST
ON October 17, 1989 TO APPROPRIATE THE	
Waters of Underground	
Comes now the County of Nye, State of	f Nevada, whose post office address is P.O. Box 1767, Tonopah, NV, 89049,
whose occupation is Political Subdivision, Stat	te of Nevada, and protests the granting of Application Number 54021, filed on
October 17, 1989, by the Las Vegas Valley W	ater District to appropriate the waters of Underground situated in White Pine
County, State of Nevada, for the following reas	sons and on the following grounds, to wit:
See attached.	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that	the application by DENIED and that an order be entered for such relief as the
State Engineer deems just and proper.	Signed Hopley & Bucherat
	Stephen T. Bradhurst, Agent
	Address: P.O. Box 1510, Reno, NV 89505
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 64	L day of July
	Notary Gublic
	State of Nevada MARY SEERLEY
	County of Washoe NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEVADA WASHOE COUNTY My Appnt. Expires JAN. 13, 1991



REASONS AND GROUNDS FOR PROTEST BY NYE COUNTY

The Nye County Board of Commissioners, State of Nevada, does hereby protest the above-referenced Application for the following reasons and on the following grounds, to wit:

- 1. Upon information and belief protestant asserts that there is not sufficient unappropriated ground water in host water basin to provide the water sought in the above-referenced Application and all other pending applications involving the utilization of surface and ground water from the basin.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and existing uses and water rights in host water basin will exceed the annual recharge and safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table; degrade the quality of water from existing wells; cause negative hydraulic gradient influences; and threaten springs, seeps and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat that are critical to the survival of wildlife and grazing livestock.
- 3. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would unreasonably lower the water table and sanction water mining, which is contrary to Nevada law and public policy.
- 4. This Application is one of 146 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of some 864,195 acre-feet of ground and surface water primarily for municipal use in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the area of origin of the water needed to protect and enhance its environment and economic well-being; and the diversion will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 5. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application in the absence of comprehensive water-resource development planning, including, but not limited to, environmental-impact considerations, socioeconomic-impact considerations, cost/benefit considerations, water-resource evaluation by an independent entity, and a water-resource plan for the Las Vegas Valley Water District (such as is required by the Public Service Commission of water purveyors) is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it, individually and together with other applications of the water importation project, would:
 - a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the federal Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;

- b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
- c. Take or harm those endangered or threatened species; and
- d. Interfere with the purpose for which the federal lands are managed under federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 7. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application will sanction and encourage the willful waste of water that has been allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District. Said waste of water is contrary to Nevada law and public policy.
- 8. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained or demonstrated that it can obtain the necessary legal interest (right-of-way) on said lands to extract, develop and transport water from the point of diversion to the point of use in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area. Therefore, the Las Vegas Valley Water District cannot show that the water will ever be placed in beneficial use.
- 9. The Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water importation project will perpetuate and may increase the inefficient use of water in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area and frustrate efforts at water-demand management in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 10. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability for developing and transporting water under the subject permit, which is a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use; and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.
- 11. The above-referenced Application should be denied because it fails to adequately include the statutorily required information, to wit:
 - Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use;
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the future requirement;
 and
 - e. The dimensions and location of proposed water-storage reservoirs, the capacity of the proposed reservoirs, and a description of the lands to be submerged by impounded waters.

- 12. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the proposed project will exceed the safe yield of host water basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and creating air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including, but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 13. The Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to properly safeguard the public interest. The adverse effects of this Application and related applications associated with the proposed water appropriation and transportation project (largest appropriation of ground water in the history of the State of Nevada) cannot properly be evaluated without an independent, formal and publicly reviewable assessment of the following:
 - a. The water resources of the proposed area of diversion and the cumulative effects of the proposed diversions;
 - b. Mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extraction; and
 - c. Alternatives to the proposed extraction, including, but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and aggressive implementation of all proven and cost-effective water-demand management strategies.
- 14. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the applicant has failed to provide the protestant relevant information regarding this Application and other applications which comprise the proposed importation project (works) as required by N.R.S. 533.363. The failure to provide such relevant information denies protestant due process of law under Chapter 533, N.R.S., in that said relevant information may provide protestant with further meaningful grounds of protest, and that protestant may be forever barred from submitting such further grounds of protest because the protest period may end before Applicant provides such required information. The failure of applicant to provide such information denies protestant the meaningful opportunity to submit protests to this Application and other applications associated with the water importation project as allowed by Chapter 533, N.R.S.
- 15. The subject Application should be denied because the population projections upon which the water-demand projections are based are unrealistic and ignore numerous constraints to growth, including traffic congestion, increased costs of infrastructure and services, degraded air quality, protection of rare and endangered species, etc.
- 16. The subject Application should be denied because previous and current conservation programs instituted by the Las Vegas Valley Water District are inefficient public-relations-oriented efforts that are unlikely to achieve substantial water savings. Public-policy and public-interest considerations should preclude the negative environmental and socioeconomic consequences of the proposed transfers on areas of origin when the potential water importer has failed to make a good-faith effort to efficiently use currently available supplies.

- 17. The subject Application should be denied because the enormous costs of the project likely will result in water-rate increases of such a magnitude that demand will be substantially reduced, thereby rendering the water transfer unnecessary.
- 18. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest and not made in good faith since it would allow the Las Vegas Valley Water District to lock up vital water resources for possible use sometime in the distant future beyond current planning horizons.
- 19. The subject Application should be denied because current and developing trends in housing, landscaping, national plumbing-fixture standards and demographic patterns all suggest that the simplistic water-demand forecasts upon which the proposed transfers are based substantially overstate future water-demand needs.
- 20. The subject Application should be denied because the current per capita water-consumption rate for the Las Vegas Valley Water District is double that of similarly situated southwestern municipalities. This suggests enormous potential for most cost-effective supply alternatives, including demand management and effluent re-use. These alternatives have not been seriously considered by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 21. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the State Engineer has previously denied other applications for water from the host water basin, said applications having been prior in time to the instant Application and those applications associated with the water importation project. The grounds for denial (e.g., applicant does not own or control the land on which the water is to be diverted, approval would be detrimental to the public welfare, etc.) of the prior applications should apply equally to the instant Applicant and provide grounds to deny the instant Application.
- 22. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application and the other applications associated with the water-importation project will most likely have a negative impact on Nevada's environment (see the report entitled Las Vegas Water Importation Project Technology Assessment by Baughman and Finson). Therefore, the subject Application should be denied by the State Engineer since it is the public policy of the State of Nevada, per Governor Bob Miller's January 25, 1990, State of the State Address, to protect Nevada's environment, even at the expense of growth (see page 11 of the Address).
- 23. The State Engineer is a member of the State of Nevada Environmental Commission (N.R.S. 445.451). This entity has the duty to prevent, abate and control air pollution in the State of Nevada, including Las Vegas Valley. Air pollution in Las Vegas Valley is so bad that the Valley has been classified a non-attainment area for national and state ambient air-quality standards for CO and PMIO. The Las Vegas Valley Water District applications for water from central, eastern and southern Nevada are for the purpose of securing water to encourage and support future growth in Las Vegas Valley. The State Engineer should deny the above-referenced Application and the other applications associated with the water-importation project since more water means more growth—therefore, more air

problem in Las Vegas Valley, not exacerbate it. The State Engineer, along with the other members of the Environmental Commission, has the legal and moral responsibility to prevent air pollution in Las Vegas Valley. Therefore, the Commission should protest the subject application and the other applications associated with the growth-inducing project.

- 24. The above-referenced Application should be denied because economic activity in the area of the proposed point of diversion is water-dependent (e.g., grazing, recreation, etc.); and a reduction in the quantity and/or quality of water in the area would adversely impact said activity and the way of life of the area's residents.
- 25. The above-referenced Application and the other applications associated with the water-importation project should not be approved if said approval is influenced by the State Engineer's desire or need to ensure that there is sufficient water for those lots and condominium units created in Las Vegas Valley by subdivision maps. These maps were approved by the State Engineer, and he certified that there is sufficient water for the lots and units created by the maps. If there is not sufficient water for these lots and units, then Clark County water resources (e.g., water created by conservation, water saved by re-use, etc.) should be developed and assigned to the water-short lots and units.
- 26. On information and belief the Las Vegas Valley Water District applications to appropriate water from central, eastern and southern Nevada should be denied since the District has not shown a need for the water and the feasibility (technical and financial) of the water-importation project. The District's need for the water and the feasibility of the water-importation project should be components of a water-resource plan approved by the Public Service Commission of Nevada (see N.R.S. 704.020(2)(b)).
- 27. Las Vegas Valley Water District public statements and written material indicate that approximately 61 percent of the water rights sought by the District (via the 146 applications) are to be temporary water rights. But, the applications (146) state the water is to be used on a permanent basis. Therefore, the subject applications, including the above-referenced Application, should be denied because the public has been denied relevant information and due process.
- 28. The above-referenced Application and the other applications associated with the water-importation project should be denied since removing water from central, eastern and southern Nevada to Las Vegas Valley will adversely impact economic activity (current and future) of the water-losing area. Some of the economic impacts are as follows:
 - a. Agriculture: The combination of sunlight, water resources (ground water and geothermal sources), technology for intensified forms of agriculture, and growing markets (particularly in Las Vegas and Los Angeles) might create conditions for new agricultural development. A lack of water resources that can be developed would foreclose these additions to the economy of the region and the state:

- Fish farming using thermal springs
- Truck gardens or cotton crops
- Greenhouses for flowers or hydroponic vegetables, either alone or in conjunction with electric cogeneration plants.

In addition, the removal of ground water might damage the existing agricultural economy of the area by decreasing grazing available for cattle and sheep and decreasing crops like hay. Water rights are often gained by the purchase of agricultural land that has the water rights attached; then the purchaser takes the land out of agricultural production and removes the water to another, non-agricultural use. The three counties most affected by the granting of Las Vegas Valley Water District's applications—Nye, White Pine and Lincoln—had combined sales of cattle of over \$7,000,000 in 1987 and combined sales of other agricultural products of \$3,500,000 in the same year, according to the U.S. Department of Commerce. Removal of ground water could affect existing water sources for irrigating hay, and decrease forage available for cattle and sheep to the detriment of the agricultural segment of the economy of the three counties.

- b. Power Generation and Transmission: The removal of ground water could inhibit or preclude opportunities for power production, which generally uses water for cooling and in steam generation. The transmission lines developed to connect the White Pine and Thousand Springs Power Plants to the regional grid (with connection point in Henderson from White Pine), linked to electric-power-hungry markets in Las Vegas and southern California, might offer economic development potentials:
 - Production of electric power from geothermal sources could be connected to the transmission line for sales in the region or outside the state
 - Electric generation from locally produced natural gas or oil, or from natural gas from the Kern River Pipeline, could also be connected to the grid
 - Costs of solar power are declining and, under certain circumstances, are similar to other power production. Nevada's climate and open spaces, combined with access to a transmission line, could make solar-power production attractive.

Just as importantly, solar-, geothermal- and thermal-power production could provide inexpensive power for new dispersed activities in the three counties that are not now close enough to the electric grid for economic tie-in.

c. Mineral Extraction: Oil and natural gas offer major (though as yet highly uncertain) prospects. There is informed speculation that this area is the last major unexplored resource in the continental United States. Dwindling supplies elsewhere, in combination with reduction of imports, could produce important opportunities in Nevada. The development of other mineral resources is likely, and some could be of significant scale (e.g., Bond Gold), either as now, transported to linked industries, or as an attraction for colocation (see below).

Gold, however, is not the only mineral found in minable quantities and qualities in the region. Silver, molybdenum, and copper also are an important part of the economies of the three counties and so, to a lesser degree, is the extraction of mercury, fluorspar, calcium borate, zinc, lead and perlite. Each of these minerals is currently being produced in the region. As demand in the world changes for minerals, these and others may make important contributions to the region's and the state's economy. The effect on mining of removal of ground water from the region should be fully understood before the applications are approved.

- d. Manufacturing: Space-requiring industries (e.g., Aero-Jet, Southern California Aerospace, etc.), which are increasingly constrained in the Los Angeles metroplex, could choose locations in the Nevada desert, particularly if other infrastructure (rail, highways, electric power, water, etc.) were available. Those interested could include:
 - Manufacturers requiring Nevada's clean air or large expanses of uninhabited land
 - Industry serving the U.S. Departments of Defense and Energy
 - · Producers of gaming devices or photovoltaic equipment
 - Manufacturers dependent upon minerals extracted in Nevada, or serving those industries.
- e. Tourism: Though slow to develop, tourism and travel could increase between Interstate Highways 80 and 15. Development could include facilities such as attractions for those enjoying Nevada's laws on gaming, and health spas centered around thermal hot springs and Nevada's clean air and quiet, empty landscapes.

Geothermal wells deserve particular mention regarding tourism. The region has many documented geothermal sources with varying temperatures suitable for a variety of uses. It is widely believed that the extraction of ground water will decrease the flow of these springs before their potential is fully developed. The Japanese, for instance, especially enjoy thermal waters and often make them a part of their vacations as well as daily life; Europeans have flocked to health spas for centuries. It is possible that geothermal springs could be developed into a lucrative tourist attraction, but not if the ground water is so depleted that it reduces or eliminates geothermal sources.

Wildlife could also be adversely affected. The National Park Service, in a publication about outside threats to Death Valley, says that "Environmental impacts are probable to . . . Sunnyside/Kirch Wildlife Management Area, Railroad Valley wetlands areas, Key Pittman Wildlife Management Area, Pahranagat National Wildlife Refuge, and the Ash Meadows National Wildlife Refuge if the [LVVWD] applications are approved." Damage to or loss of wildlife areas could cause a decline in tourist visits to the region and prevent expansion.

An unpublished assessment of Las Vegas Valley Water District's project by Mike L. Baughman reports that the three counties "contained 275 [water-

related recreational] sites . . . estimated to support in excess of 700,000 resident recreation visitor days." Nevadans, as well as tourists from other areas, may mourn damage to these recreational sites.

- f. Concentration of Population: The state of Nevada should consider the important public-policy issues concerning dispersal of population, which are an inherent, if unspoken, part of the debate on appropriation of the region's water. Some of those issues are:
 - Whether foreclosure (because of insufficient water) of economic prospects outlined above preclude a more effectively and efficiently organized state of Nevada, from both an economic and a political point of view
 - Whether a large (\$1.5 billion) investment in infrastructure in rural Nevada could be used to encourage a growth pattern different from and superior to the current concentration in Reno and Las Vegas
 - Equity issues in the lack of representation of the state's rural population in state decision-making
 - Beneficial use of sparsely populated land areas.
- g. Interrelationships: Many of the economic potentials are interrelated to, and even dependent upon, each other:
 - If sufficient water is unavailable for electric-power generation, not only is electric power not produced and sold, but dispersed manufacturing or development of tourist attractions will not occur.
 - If the water table is lowered sufficiently to reduce or stop the flow of thermal springs, fish farming will not develop, and related industries such as manufacturing of packing materials or frozen-food packing plants will not be built
 - Without sufficient water for growth in residential use, even industries that use little or no water may be unable to locate in central and eastern Nevada. Any impact assessment that projected increases in population would trigger a requirement for additional water resources, a requirement that could not be met.

When water that has remained underground for 10,000 years is removed at a rate that is (even temporarily) faster than it can be recharged, that action will change the future of Nevada unalterably. It is critical that the decision-making process that concerns exporting water from rural to urban counties fully addresses the complex nature of a region's economic potentials.

29. Inasmuch as a water extraction and transbasin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse effects without further information and study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they may develop as a result of further information and study.

30. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to this Application and/or any application filed that is associated with the water-importation project and filed pursuant to N.R.S. 533.365.

21mg 120 200 gr

Mile & W. C.

IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 54021 FILED BY LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT ON OCTOBER 17, 1989, TO APPROPRIATE THE WATERS OF UNDERGROUND

PROTEST

Comes now Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service, whose post office address is 301 S. Howes Street, Room 353, Fort Collins, Colorado, 80521, whose occupation is Chief, Water Rights Branch, Water Resources Division, National Park Service, and protests the granting of Application Number 54021, filed on October 17, 1989, by Las Vegas Valley Water District to appropriate the water of Underground Basin 184, SPRING VALLEY, situated in WHITEPINE County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and on the following grounds, to wit:

e Exhibit

See Exhibits A through B attached.
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application be denied (Sec., attached).
Signed Agent or protestant
Owen R. Williams Printed or typed name, if agent
Address 301 South Howes St., Room 353 Street No. or P.O. Box No.
Fort Collins, CO 80521 City, State and Zip Code No.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5 day of July, 1990
Notary Public State of Colorado
County of <u>Larimer</u>
by Commission expires $3/0/9/$.

CARREST POR IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION 54021

CONTRACT EXHIBIT A

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

The mission of the National Park Service (NPS) may be paraphrased from 16 U.S.C. I as conserving the scenery, natural and historic objects, and wildlife, and providing for enjoyment of the same in such a manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations. Great Basin National Park (Great Basin NP) was created by Congressional Act in 1986, "...to preserve for the benefit and inspiration of the people a representative segment of the Great Basin of the Western United States possessing outstanding resources and significant geologic and scenic values...".

Water resources at Great Basin NP include lakes, streams, springs, seeps, and ground water. Associated with these are various water-related resource attributes. Two examples are described. (1) Pine and Ridge Creeks which headwater within Great Basin NP and flow into Spring Valley, provide habitat for the Bonneville Cutthroat trout (Oncorhynthus clarki Utah). This fish species is considered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as a candidate species for threatened status under the Endangered Species Act, and is listed by the Nevada Department of Wildlife as a state sensitive species. (2) In addition to Lehman Caves, discussed in more detail in II. below, there are approximately 30 known caves within Great Basin NP. There may well be cave systems within Great Basin NP which have not yet been discovered. Ground water is important in maintaining cave features and is thought to play an important role in cave ecology.

The public interest will not be served if water and water-related resources in the nationally important Great Basin NP are diminished or impaired as a result of the appropriation proposed by this application.

II. In the legislation establishing Great Basin NP, Congress explicitly excluded the establishment of any new Federal reserved water right, but stated that the United States was entitled to reserved rights associated with the initial establishment and withdrawal of Humboldt National Forest and Lehman Caves National Monument. The priority dates for these reserved rights are the dates of initial establishment of national forest lands and Lehman Caves National Monument, and are senior to the appropriation sought by this application. These reserved rights have not been judicially quantified.

Ground water plays an important role in maintaining the features of Lehman Caves. The caves contain living limestone formations, such as stalactites, stalagmites, plate-like shields, cave coral, rimstone dams,

EXHIBIT A (Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

curling helictites, flowstone, and draperies. However, little is known about the ecology of the caves and the role played by water.

If the diversion proposed by this application causes ground-water levels in the vicinity of Lehman Caves to drop and/or alters the direction of ground-water movement, ground-water flow in Lehman Caves will be reduced or eliminated. The senior NPS reserved water rights, water resources, and water-related resource attributes will thus be impaired.

III. The NPS holds a water right to Cave Springs (proof 01065), with a priority date of 1890, which was decreed October 1, 1934. By Application Number 20794, Certificate Record No. 7573, the point of diversion, manner and place of use were changed. The point of diversion is within the SW1/4 NE1/4 Sec. 9, T13N R69E, MDBM. This right provides water for the current visitor center, picnic area, maintenance area, trailer dump station, and park housing; and for the watering of lawns and a historic orchard.

If the diversion proposed by this application causes ground-water levels in the vicinity of Cave springs to drop and/or alters the direction of ground-water movement, ground-water flow to Cave Springs will be reduced or eliminated. The senior NPS water right for Cave Springs will thus be impaired.

IV. Located near the town of Baker, in the E1/2 NW1/4 Sec. 9 T13N R70E, MDBM, is an administrative site on public domain land which was withdrawn from entry for use by the United States Forest Service (USFS). The NPS currently uses the site as a ranger station, office and residence, with water supplied by a well developed when the USFS occupied the site.

This site is under consideration for development by the NPS in the General Management Plan for Great Basin NP, a draft of which is scheduled for release in January 1991. The site would likely include administrative offices, a park maintenance facility, and residences for park staff including up to 6 single-family dwellings and an apartment unit housing 30 people. Adequate facilities of this kind are vital to the protection and management of the nationally important Great Basin NP for the benefit and inspiration of the people.

By virtue of the primary USFS withdrawal still in effect for this site, the United States has Federal reserved water rights for the purposes of the withdrawal, which include use as a ranger station with supporting

EXHIBIT A (Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

facilities. The priority dates for the reserved rights are the dates upon which land was withdrawn for use by the USFS. These reserved rights have not been judicially quantified.

The United States also holds a portion of proof 01066, assigned on June 29, 1945. Proof 01066 is a water right decreed on October 1, 1934. The United States entitlement to this right is 0.38 cubic feet per second in summer and 0.13 cubic feet per second in winter.

If the water supply for this administrative site is diminished or impaired as a result of the appropriation proposed by this application, the public interest will not be served and the United States senior Federal reserved and decreed water rights will be impaired.

As mentioned in item IV. above, the NPS is preparing a General Management Plan for Great Basin NP, scheduled for release in January 1991. The plan contemplates the construction of a visitor center in Great Basin NP, to be located between Baker and Lehman Creeks, within T14N R69E, MDBM. It is anticipated that the water supply for the new visitor center will be from a well. As the Baker and Lehman Creek stream system is not presently within a designated ground-water basin and the plan has not yet been finalized, the NPS has not applied for a water right permit.

If this application and Las Vegas Valley Water District's (LVVWD) other applications within Snake Valley and Spring Valley Basins are approved, there will be no water available for future appropriations. The new facilities planned for Great Basin NP are for the benefit and inspiration of the people. In addition, the park attracts tourists to the area and is important to the local economy. Thus, it would not be in the public interest to approve this and other applications within Snake Valley and Spring Valley Basins.

VI. The diversion proposed by this application is located in the carbonate-rock province of Nevada. The carbonate-rock province is typified by complex interbasin regional flow systems that include both basin-fill and carbonate-rock aquifers (Harrill, et al., 1988, Sheet 1). Ground water flows along complex pathways through basin-fill aquifers, carbonate-rock aquifers, or both, from one basin to another. Ground-water flow system boundaries, and thus interbasin ground-water flows, are poorly defined for most of the carbonate-rock province (Harrill, et al., 1988, Sheet 1).

EXHIBIT A (Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

The proposed diversion is located in Snake Valley or Spring Valley. Great Basin NP encompasses part of the Snake Range which separates the two valleys. Lehman Caves and the administrative site near Baker, Nevada, are along the eastern flank of the range. Part of the range is composed of carbonate rocks which have been strongly deformed by folding and repetitive faulting. Some water is transmitted through pore space in the carbonate rock. However, connected solution cavities and fractures in the carbonate rock provide conduits for more rapid transmission of ground water.

The basin-fill and carbonate-rock aquifers in Snake, Hamlin, and Spring Valleys are part of a regional ground-water flow system which discharges in the Great Salt Lake Desert (Hood and Rush, 1965; Dettinger, 1989; and Harrill, et al., 1988, Sheet 2). A regional ground-water potential map prepared by Harrill, et al. (1988, Figure 5, Sheet 1), indicates general regional ground-water movement from Spring Valley to Snake Valley.

Rush and Kazmi (1965) estimated that about 4,000 acre-feet of ground water per year flows from Spring Valley to Hamlin Valley through the carbonate rocks in the Snake Range separating these two valleys. Ground water beneath Hamlin Valley is discharged into aquifers beneath Snake Valley (Hood and Rush, 1965, Plate 1; Harrill, et al., 1988, Sheet 2). The quantity of discharge is only a rough estimate, and may be much larger or smaller. Where carbonate rocks separate Spring Valley and Snake Valley, other potential areas for the movement of ground water between Spring and Snake Valleys occur.

Available scientific literature is not adequate to reasonably assure that the ground-water appropriation proposed by this application will not impact water resources and water-related resources of Great Basin NP and the United States senior water rights. Scientific literature does indicate, however, that the aquifers beneath Hamlin, Snake, and Spring Valleys are hydraulically connected. Large diversions, such as that proposed by this application, may impact the water resources of Great Basin NP and the United States water rights in Snake and Spring valleys.

- VII. Besides this application, the LVVWD has submitted 18 additional applications to appropriate ground water in Basin 184, SPRING VALLEY (Exhibit B).
 - A. Diversions proposed by these applications would be about 91282 acre-feet per year.

Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

- B. As of December 1988, committed diversions of 35800 acre-feet per year and an estimated perennial yield of 100000 acre-feet per year were reported for Basin 184, SPRING VALLEY (Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, 1988).
- C. The sum of the committed diversions and the diversions proposed by the LVVWD applications in this basin exceeds the estimated recharge of 75000 acre-feet per year (Harrill, et al., 1988, Sheet 2; Eakin et al., 1976) by 52082 acre-feet per year and the estimated perennial yield by 27082 acre-feet per year.

An overdraft of ground-water resources is expected to occur. The overdraft will cause ground-water levels to decline, alter the direction of ground-water flow, dry up playas, reduce or eliminate spring and stream flows, and cause land subsidence and fissuring. The cumulative effects of these diversions in this basin are expected to cause impacts at Great Basin NP and at the administrative site near Baker, Nevada, to occur more quickly and/or to a greater degree than diversions under this application alone. The diversions proposed by LVVWD in this basin exceed the water available for appropriation. The impacts described above are not in the public interest.

- VIII. It should be noted also, that the LVVWD has submitted 28 applications which propose the appropriation of 196 cubic feet per second (141994 acre-feet per year) of ground water from the aquifers beneath Snake Valley and Spring Valley Basins (Exhibit B). The diversions proposed by LVVWD in these basins exceed the water available for appropriation. The cumulative effects of these diversions is expected to cause the impacts described in VII. above, to appear more quickly and/or to a greater degree than diversions within the subject ground-water basin, or under this application alone. This conclusion is supported by the following.
 - A. Harrill, et al. (1988, sheet 2) show an estimated ground-water recharge of 177000 acre-feet per year for the Spring Valley, Hamlin Valley, and Snake Valley Basins. This estimate includes ground-water recharge for Basin 194, Pleasant Valley. Eakin, et al. (1976, Table 8) show an estimated ground-water recharge of 129000 acre-feet per year for these basins.
 - B. As of December 1988, the latest available estimate of committed diversions for the basins was 41535 acre-feet per year (Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, 1988).

EXHIBIT A (Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

- C. The sum of the committed diversions and the diversion rate proposed by the applications in these basins--183529 acre-feet per year-exceeds the estimated recharge rate shown by Harrill, et al., (1988, Sheet 2) by 6529 acre-feet per year, and the estimated recharge rate shown by Eakin, et al., (1976, Table 8) by 54529 acre-feet per year.
- IX. In this application, the point(s) of discharge for return flow (treated effluent) has or have not been specified. However, the possibility exists that the return flow may be discharged into a hydrologic basin other than the basin of origin. This being the case, depletions to ground-water basins tributary to aquifers beneath Snake and Spring valleys, and hence impacts to Great Basin NP (including Lehman Caves) and the water supply for the administrative site, will occur more quickly and/or in greater magnitude if return flow (or treated effluent) is not discharged in the basin of origin.
- X. According to NRS 533.060, "Rights to the use of water shall be limited and restricted to so much thereof as may be necessary, when reasonably and economically used for irrigation and other beneficial purposes..."
 Further, NRS 533.070 states that "The quantity of water from either a surface or underground source which may hereafter be appropriated in this state shall be limited to such water as shall reasonably be required for the beneficial use to be served." Implicit in these statements is a prohibition against waste and unreasonable use of water. It is unclear whether the quantity of water contemplated by this application, individually and in combination with applications 53947 through 54036, 54038 through 54066, 54068 through 54076, 54105, and 54106 by the LVVWD, is necessary and is an amount reasonably required for municipal and domestic purposes. Past open and notorious practices would indicate otherwise.
- XI. The application does not clearly indicate the place of use, the description of proposed works, estimated cost of works, number and type of units to be served, or annual consumptive use. Nor, as described in X. above, is it clear that the appropriation sought is necessary and is in an amount reasonably required for the beneficial use to be served. Therefore, the application is defective and should be summarily rejected by the State Engineer.
- XII. In sum, the NPS protests the granting of Application Number 54021, submitted by the LVVWD to appropriate and divert ground water, on the following grounds.

EXHIBIT A (Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

アンテンタンプン もみと ラット

- A. The public interest will not be served if water and water-related resources in the nationally important Great Basin NP are diminished or impaired as a result of the appropriation proposed by this application.
- B. If the diversion proposed by this application causes ground-water levels in the vicinity of Lehman Caves to drop and/or alters the direction of ground-water movement, ground-water flow in Lehman Caves will be reduced or eliminated. The senior NPS reserved water rights will thus be impaired.
 - C. If the diversion proposed by this application causes ground-water levels in the vicinity of Cave springs to drop and/or alters the direction of ground-water movement, ground-water flow to Cave Springs will be reduced or eliminated. The senior NPS water rights for Cave Springs will thus be impaired.
- D. If the water supply for the administrative site near Baker, Nevada, is diminished or impaired as a result of the appropriation proposed by this application, the public interest will not be served and the United States senior Federal reserved and decreed water rights will be impaired.
 - E. If this application and LVVWD's other applications within Snake Valley and Spring Valley Basins are approved, there may be no water available for future appropriations. Facilities at Great Basin NP for the benefit and inspiration of the people will not be possible without a dependable water supply. It is not in the public interest to approve this and other applications within Snake Valley and Spring Valley Basins.
 - F. Available scientific literature is not adequate to reasonably assure that the ground-water diversion proposed by this application will not impact the senior water rights of the United States at Great Basin NP and the administrative site near Baker, Nevada. The State Engineer will, therefore, be unable to make a determination that injury will not be manifest upon other water users, including the NPS.
 - G. The cumulative effects of the diversion proposed by this application and other applications within this basin (Exhibit B) will impair the senior water rights of the United States more quickly and/or to a greater degree than diversions under this

EXHIBIT A (Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

application alone. The diversions proposed by LVVWD in this basin exceed the water available for appropriation. These impacts are not in the public interest.

H. The cumulative effects of the diversion proposed by this application and other applications in Basins 184 and 196 will impair the senior water rights of the United States more quickly and/or to a greater degree than diversions within the subject ground-water basin, or under this application alone. The diversions proposed by LVVWD in these basins exceed the water available for appropriation.

115

- I. Depletions to ground-water basins tributary to aquifers beneath Snake and Spring valleys, and hence impacts to Great Basin NP (including Lehman Caves) and the water supply for the administrative site, will occur more quickly and/or in greater magnitude if return flow (or treated effluent) is not discharged in the basin of origin.
- J. It is unclear whether the quantity of water claimed by this application, individually and in combination with applications 53947 through 54036, 54038 through 54066, 54068 through 54076, 54105, and 54106, is necessary and is an amount reasonably required for municipal and domestic purposes.
- K. The application does not clearly indicate the place of use, the description of proposed works, estimated cost of works, number and type of units to be served or annual consumptive use. Nor is it clear that the appropriation sought is necessary and is in an amount reasonably required for the beneficial use to be served. Therefore the application is defective and should be summarily rejected by the State Engineer.
- XIII. The NPS reserves the right to amend this exhibit as more information becomes available.

EXHIBIT B

Protest by Owen R. Williams on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

The following applications were submitted by the Las Vegas Valley Water District for appropriations in Basins 184 and 195 (Nevada Division of Water Resources, 1990).

Appli-			Proposed diversion
cation Basi	n		rate,
no. no.	Basin Name		ft ³ /s
=======================================	**************************************		
54003 184			6
	SPRING VALLEY	•	6
54005 184	SPRING VALLEY		6
54006 184	SPRING VALLEY		6
54007 184	SPRING VALLEY		6
54008 184	SPRING VALLEY		6
54009 184	SPRING VALLEY		6 6
54010 184	SPRING VALLEY		6
54011 184	SPRING VALLEY		6
54012 184	SPRING VALLEY		6
	SPRING VALLEY		6 6 6 6
	SPRING VALLEY		6
54015 184	SPRING VALLEY		6
54016 184	SPRING VALLEY		6
54017 184	SPRING VALLEY		6
54018 184	SPRING VALLEY		6
54019 184	SPRING VALLEY		10
54020 184	SPRING VALLEY		10
54021 184	SPRING VALLEY		10
54022 195	SNAKE VALLEY	•	6
54023 195	SNAKE VALLEY		6
54024 195	SNAKE VALLEY		6
54025 195	SNAKE VALLEY		6
54026 195	SNAKE VALLEY	•	10
54027 195	SNAKE VALLEY		10
54028 19 5	SNAKE VALLEY		10
54029 195	SNAKE VALLEY		10
54030 195	SNAKE VALLEY		6
		Total	196

EXHIBIT C

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of Interior, National Park Service

The National Park Service (NPS) requests that the application be denied. Further, none of the information which follows should be construed to indicate that the NPS asks for anything less than denial of the application.

If the application is approved, the NPS requests the following.

美国运动 电阻性管 电电路

I. The NPS does not wish to impede any legitimate ground-water development in the State of Nevada, which will not impair the senior water rights, water resources and water-related resource attributes of Great Basin National Park (Great Basin NP) and the administrative site near Baker, Nevada. However, reports by Hood and Rush (1965), Rush and Kazmi (1965), Harrill, et al. (1988, Sheet 1), and Dettinger (1989) indicate that Basins 184, 185, 195, and 196 are hydraulically connected. Therefore, the NPS requests that the State Engineer establish the above-listed ground-water basins as one designated ground-water basin.

The designation would assist in protecting the interests of the NPS, the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD), the people of the United States, and the people of the State of Nevada. If this request is denied, the NPS requests that the State Engineer establish the above-mentioned basins as separate designated ground-water basins.

- II. The NPS further requests that, if the application is approved, the permit be conditioned by the following.
 - A. The LVVWD shall conduct a scientific ground-water investigation of basin-fill, volcanic, and carbonate-rock aquifers to determine the hydrologic relationship between Basin 184, SPRING VALLEY, and the water resources of Great Basin NP and the administrative site near Baker, Nevada.
 - B. The LVVWD shall establish and operate a long-term monitoring program designed to detect any potential impacts to water resources of Great Basin NP and the administrative site near Baker, Nevada, directly or indirectly incident to the appropriation described by the application.
 - C. The LVVWD plans for monitoring and investigating ground-water resources shall be subject to the approval of the NPS and the State Engineer and shall include quality assurance protocol acceptable to the above-mentioned parties.

EXHIBIT C (Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

- D. The LVVWD shall quarterly, or at another mutually acceptable frequency, provide all data collected and analyses compléted to the NPS and the State Engineer.
- E. The LVVWD shall cease pumping ground water, or reduce the level of pumping to the no impact level, in the event that analyses by the NPS or the State Engineer create a reasonable expectation that the senior water rights of the United States at Great Basin NP and/or the administrative site near Baker, Nevada, will be impaired by pumping permitted under this application.
- III. The NPS reserves the right to amend this exhibit as more information becomes available.

REFERENCES CITED

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of Interior, National Park Service

Dettinger, M.D., 1989. Distribution of carbonate-rock aquifers in southern Nevada and the potential for their development, Summary of Findings, 1985-88: Program for the Study and Testing of Carbonate-Rock Aquifers in Eastern and Southern Nevada Summary Report No. 1, 37 p.

Eakin, T.E., Price, D., and Harrill, J.R., 1976. Summary of the Nation's Ground-water Resources-Great Basin Region. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 813-G, pp. G1-G37.

Harrill, J.R., Gates, J.S., and Thomas, J.M., 1988. Major ground-water flow systems in the Great Basin region of Nevada, Utah, and adjacent states: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Investigations Atlas HA-694-C, 2 sheets.

Hood, J.W., and Rush, F.E., 1965. Water-resources appraisal of the Snake Valley area, Utah and Nevada: Utah State Engineer Technical Publication 14, 43 p.

Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, 1988. Hydrographic Basin Statistical Summary, Ground Water Basins 001-232: unpublished report, Division of Water Resources and Water Planning, Carson City, Nevada.

Nevada Division of Water Resources, 1990. Abstract of Filings of Las Vegas Valley Water District, dated May 9, 1990.

Rush, F.E., and Kazmi, S.A.T., 1965. Water resources appraisal of Spring Valley, White Pine, and Lincoln Counties, Nevada: Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources Water Resources Reconnaissance Series Report 33, 36 p.

30 AL -6 P3:42

IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

54021

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER	······································	RECEIVEL
FRED by Las Vegas Valley Water	District PROTE	CT.
ON October 17, 1989, TO APPROPRI	/	JUL 0 5 1990
WATERS OF 184-3R, SPRING VAL.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Div. of Water Resources Branch Office - Las Vegas, NV
	•	
Comes now The Unincorporat	ed Town of Pahr	
whose post office address is P.O. Box 31		
whomenessminning holds the trust	for the people	of Pahrump, and protests the grantin
of Application Number 54021		
by Las Vegas Valley Water Di	strict	to appropriate th
	4 A A Af	VHITE PINE
waters of BASIN NO. 184-3R, SPRIN	ike, spring or other source	situated in
County, State of Nevada, for the following re-		ing grounds, to wit:
(SEE A	DDENDUM)	

		· ····

TUEDECORE ALCONOMICA		DENTED
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the	ي (De	rnied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief as	the State Engineer deen	ns just and proper.
	Signed 1770	av Ilem
		Agent or protestant n, Town Board Chairman
		Printed or typed name, if agent
	Address P.O. Bo	X 3140 Street No. or P.O. Box No.
	Pahrump	, Nevada 89041 City, State and Zip Code No.
Subscribed and among the base of 9 G	2	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day of freme	19 <u>.70.</u>
	Unio	m Rowland
	State of	Notary Public
	State of	Notary Public-State Of Nevada
	County of	COUNTY OF NYE IRIS M. ROWLAND My Commission Expires
		April 23, 1994

\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE.
ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

"ADDENDUM"

THE UNINCORPORATED TOWN OF PAHRUMP PROTEST THE AFOREMENTIONED APPLICATION FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS AND ON THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS. TO WIT:

- 1. This Application is one of 146 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of some 864,195 acre feet of ground and surface water primarily for municipal use in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the area of origin of the water needed to protect and enhance its environment and economic well being, and the diversion will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 2. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, cost considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and a water resource plan (such as is required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water) for the Las Vegas Valley Water District Service area is detrimental to the public welfare in interest.
- 3. The approval of the subject application will sanction and encourage the willful waste of water that has been allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 4. The subject Application seeks to develop and transport water resources on and across lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained the necessary legal interest (e.g., right-of-way) in the federal land such that the applicant may extract, develop and transport water resources from the proposed point of diversion to the proposed place of use.
- 5. The Application should be denied because it individually and comulatively with other applications of the water importation project will perpetuate and may increase the inefficient use of water in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area and frustrate efforts at water demand management in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 6. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability for developing and transporting water under the subject permit which is a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use.
- 7. The above-referenced Application should be denied because it fails to include the statutory required:
 - (a) Description of the place of use;
 - (b) Description of the proposed works;
 - (c) The estimated costs of such works; and
 - (d) The estimated time required to put the subject water to beneficial use.
- 8. The Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineet to safeguard the public interest properly. The adverse effects of this Application and related applications associated with the proposed water appropriation and transportation project (largest appropriation of ground water in the history of the State of Nevada) cannot properly be evaluated without an in-

dependent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:

- (a) cumulative impacts of the proposed extraction;
- (b) mitigation measures that will reduct the impacts of the proposed extraction;
- (b) alternatives to the proposed extraction, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and aggressive implementation of all proven and cost-effective water demand management strategies.
- 9. The subject Application should be denied because the population projections upon which the water demand projections are based are unrealistic and ignore numerous constraints to infrastructure and services, degraded air quality, etc.
- 10. The granting of approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest and not made in good faith since it would allow the Las Vegas Valley Water District to lock up vital water resources for possible use sometime in the distant future beyond current planning horizons.
- 11. The subject Application should be denied because current and developing trends in housing, landscaping, national plumbing fixture standards and demographic patterns all suggest that the simplistic water demand forecasts upon which the proposed transfers are based substantially overstate future water demand needs.
- 12. Inasmuch as a water extraction and transbasin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further information and study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they may develop as a result of further information and study.
- 13. We, the Town of Pahrump know first hand the economic hardship caused by over appropriation of water. Currently the growth of the Pahrump Valley is threatened because of technical over allocation of water. If the Las Vegas Valley Water District is allowed to obtain all remaining available water rights in the various water basins as they have requested, then all these areas will be growth stunted at their current levels. We protect the acquisitions that the Las Vegas Valley Water District has requested. The current request would destroy the economic and growth potential of each basin affected.
- 14. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the subject Application filed pursuant to NSR 533.365.