

Simulated Effects of Proposed Ground-Water Pumping in 17 Basins of East-Central and Southern Nevada

By DONALD H. SCHAEFER and JAMES R. HARRILL

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Water-Resources Investigations Report 95-4173

Prepared in cooperation with the
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE,
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE,
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, and
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS



Carson City, Nevada
1995

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BRUCE BABBITT, Secretary

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
GORDON P. EATON, Director

Any use of trade names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Government

For additional information
write to:

U.S. Geological Survey
333 West Nye Lane, Room 203
Carson City, NV 89706-0866

Copies of this report can be
purchased from:

U.S. Geological Survey
Information Services
Box 25286, MS 517
Denver Federal Center
Denver, CO 80225-0046

CONTENTS

Abstract	1
Introduction	2
Purpose and Scope	2
Acknowledgments	7
Description of Ground-Water Flow Model	7
Results of Simulations	8
Simulation of Conditions Prior to Proposed Pumping	8
Proposed Pumping and Stress Periods	9
Simulated Effects of Proposed Pumping	9
Simulated Pumpage and Drawdowns	9
Regional Springs	31
Evapotranspiration	32
Sensitivity of Model Results to Storage Values	36
Ultimate Source of Pumped Water	42
Limitations and Uses of the Model	42
Summary and Conclusions	46
References Cited	47
Appendix 1. Storage Values Used for Simulation	51
Appendix 2. Starting Heads Used for Simulation	55
Appendix 3. Pumpage Data Used in Simulation	67

FIGURES

1. Map showing location of study area and selected geographic features, Great Basin area, Nevada–Utah.....	3
2. Map showing starting ground-water levels simulated for upper and lower model layers and general direction of ground-water flow	4
3. Map showing location of 17 basins (hydrographic areas) in which pumping is proposed and outline of model grid used in simulations	6
4-10. Maps showing simulated water-level drawdowns for upper and lower model layers:	
4. Stress-period one, time-step two, after 7 years into simulation	14
5. Stress-period two, time-step two, after 11 years into simulation	16
6. Stress-period three, time-step two, after 18 years into simulation	18
7. Stress-period four, time-step three, after 30 years into simulation.....	20
8. Stress-period five, time-step five, after 100.7 years into simulation	22
9. Stress-period five, time-step ten, after 199.9 years into simulation.....	24
10. Final steady-state simulation	26
11. Map showing location of spring cells, pumping cells, and selected cells in model grid	28
12-13. Hydrographs for selected cells representing areas in:	
12. Northern Railroad Valley.....	29
13. Ash Meadows springs, Baker, and Moapa	30

14-18.	Graphs showing changes in:	
14.	Discharge of selected regional springs with changing pumpage.....	32
15.	Simulated evapotranspiration at cells in selected basins with changes in proposed pumpage.....	35
16.	Total model-simulated spring discharge with selected storage values and changing pumpage.....	36
17.	Total model-simulated evapotranspiration with selected storage values and changing pumpage.....	37
18.	Total model-simulated water removed from storage with selected storage values and changing pumpage.....	38
19-23.	Hydrographs of simulated water-level drawdowns associated with selected storage values for selected cells representing areas in:	
19.	Ash Meadows	39
20.	Baker	40
21.	Duckwater.....	41
22.	Northern Railroad Valley.....	43
23.	Moapa.....	44
24.	Graphs showing changes in model-budget components and sources of pumpage.....	45

TABLES

1.	Pumpage proposed by Las Vegas Valley Water District during first 20 years of pumping, by basin, east-central and southern Nevada	10
2.	Simulated stress periods and pumpage	12
3.	Estimated flow, simulated steady-state flow, and flow at selected times during simulated pumping, for selected springs.....	33
4.	Simulated pumpage and evapotranspiration rates in selected areas.....	34

CONVERSION FACTORS AND VERTICAL DATUM

Multiply	By	To obtain
acre-foot (acre-ft)	0.001233	cubic hectometer
acre-foot per year (acre-ft/yr)	0.001233	cubic hectometer per year
cubic foot per second (ft ³ /s)	0.02832	cubic meter per second
foot (ft)	0.3048	meter
mile (mi)	1.609	kilometer
pound per square inch (lb/in ²)	0.07031	kilogram per square centimeter
square mile (mi ²)	2.590	square kilometer

Equivalents: 1 acre-foot per year (acre-ft/yr) = 0.0014 cubic foot per second (ft³/s); 1 ft³/s = 724 acre-ft/yr.

Sea level: In this report, “sea level” refers to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD of 1929, formerly called “Sea-Level Datum of 1929”), which is derived from a general adjustment of the first-order leveling networks of the United States and Canada.

Simulated Effects of Proposed Ground-Water Pumping in 17 Basins of East-Central and Southern Nevada

By Donald H. Schaefer and James R. Harrill

ABSTRACT

The Las Vegas Valley Water District filed 146 applications in 1989 to pump about 800,000 acre-feet per year (acre-ft/yr) of ground water from 26 basins in east-central and southern Nevada, for use in the Las Vegas urban area. The quantity of water that they proposed to pump was eventually reduced to a maximum of 180,800 acre-ft/yr in 17 basins. A previously constructed, two-layer computer model of the carbonate-rock province was configured to simulate transient conditions and used to develop first approximations of the possible effects of these withdrawals. Simulations were made using the phased pumping schedule proposed by the water district that reaches a maximum pumpage rate of 180,800 acre-ft/yr after 18 years. No other pumping was simulated, so the results represent only effects of pumping proposed by the water district. Existing pumping was not simulated in the original model, so the effects simulated in this report are superimposed on conditions that are representative of the carbonate-rock province prior to any development.

The simulations indicate that the proposed pumping would cause water-level declines in many ground-water basins, decreased flow at several regional springs, and decreased discharge by evapotranspiration from the basins.

Ground-water levels ultimately could decline several hundred feet in the basins scheduled to supply most of the pumped ground water.

Model declines in the carbonate aquifer are somewhat larger than simulated declines in the overlying basin-fill deposits.

Simulated regional springflow decreased in several cells, including those representing the Muddy River springs, Hiko-Crystal-Ash Springs area, and the Ash Meadows springs area. Model simulations show flow decreases of about 11 percent, 14 percent, and 2 percent, respectively, at these springs after about 100 years of pumping.

Simulated evapotranspiration also decreased in many basins; the largest decreases are in basins where ground-water withdrawals are greatest. These basins include Railroad, Spring, and Snake Valleys. The largest decrease in simulated evapotranspiration occurred in southern Railroad Valley—about 33 cubic feet per second (64 percent) after about 100 years of pumping.

Model-sensitivity tests indicate that long-term results are relatively insensitive to variations in values used for aquifer storage. Model simulations were made using a 50-percent variation in upper-layer storage coefficients and a range of values for the lower layer. The analysis showed little deviation in model results of water-level changes, springflow, or evapotranspiration rates.

The simulation results are based on a computer model of regional ground-water flow that greatly simplifies the complex distribution of geology and, consequently, the hydraulic properties of many of the rocks in the Great Basin. The adequacy of the model to simulate the effects of

this proposed pumping cannot be tested until pumping stresses have been in place long enough to cause measurable effects within the system.

INTRODUCTION

The carbonate-rock province of the Great Basin is characterized by a series of generally north-to northeast-trending mountain ranges composed predominantly of carbonate rocks (limestone and dolomite) of Paleozoic age. The intervening valleys are filled with detritus (gravels, sands, silts, and clays) eroded from the adjacent mountain ranges. These basin-fill deposits may be several thousand feet thick (Plume and Carlton, 1988).

Virtually all types of rocks and deposits within the province contain ground water. The basin-fill deposits are the primary aquifer system, and most of the present ground-water pumpage is from these deposits. Carbonate rocks that form some of the mountain ranges and underlie the basin-fill deposits in many areas may also be significant ground-water reservoirs in some places. Where they are fractured or contain solution openings, the carbonate rocks commonly can act as conduits for regional ground-water flow. Most of the larger regional springs in the province issue from carbonate rocks or from basin-fill deposits overlying or adjacent to carbonate rocks. These springs discharge ground water that has moved through the regional flow systems in the carbonate-rock aquifers from distant source areas.

As part of the Great Basin Regional Aquifer-System Analysis (RASA) project, the 100,000-mi² carbonate-rock province (fig. 1), also termed "the province" herein, was modeled using a digital, ground-water flow model to refine concepts of regional ground-water flow in the Great Basin (Harrill and others, 1983 and 1988; Prudic and others, 1993). The modeling is described in detail by Prudic and others (1993). In general, the simulated flow in the eastern and northern parts of the province is northward toward the Great Salt Lake and the Humboldt River; elsewhere in the province, flows are generally southward, toward either Death Valley or the Virgin and Colorado Rivers (fig. 2). A summary description of the various local and regional ground-water flow systems was reported by Harrill and others (1988).

In 1989, the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) filed 146 applications with the Nevada State Engineer for water rights in east-central and southern Nevada. These original applications were for 26 basins throughout the carbonate-rock province and totaled about 800,000 acre-ft/yr of ground-water withdrawals. The total amount of pumpage was eventually reduced to a maximum 180,800 acre-ft/yr from 17 basins, or hydrographic areas¹ (figs. 1 and 3; LVVWD, written commun., 1992).


In 1991, several Department of the Interior (DOI) bureaus requested that the U.S. Geological Survey rerun the regional-scale ground-water flow model to obtain first approximations of probable effects of increased ground-water pumping in the carbonate-rock province. The simulation was made using a phased pumping schedule, with ultimate pumpage totaling 180,800 acre-ft/yr. The agencies were particularly interested in the possible effects on regional flow, large regional springs, and evapotranspiration that could affect their water interests in the province.

The model used to simulate these effects has large grid spacing and is based on a regional-scale conceptualization of ground-water flow. The model is considered adequate to develop first approximations of probable regional-scale effects, but is not adequate to support detailed predictions. A more detailed representation of the system and more information about how the system will respond to pumping stresses would permit the assessment of estimated effects, but this would require more detailed delineation of the aquifers both laterally and vertically, as well as additional information on hydrologic properties of the aquifers.

Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this report is to document the results obtained using the regional ground-water flow model to estimate potential effects of implementing the proposed water-rights applications filed by LVVWD.

¹Formal hydrographic areas in Nevada were delineated systematically by the U.S. Geological Survey and Nevada Division of Water Resources in the late 1960's for scientific and administrative purposes (Rush, 1968). The official hydrographic area names, numbers, and geographic boundaries continue to be used in Geological Survey scientific reports and Division of Water Resources administrative activities.



Base modified from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000 and 1:250,000
 Albers Equal-Area Conic projection
 Standard parallels 29°30' and 45°30', central meridian -114°

From Prudic and others (1993, fig. 2)
 and Rush (1968)

EXPLANATION

- | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
|  | Carbonate-rock province study area —
Boundary is approximate |  | Boundary of Great Basin Regional Aquifer-System
Analysis (RASA) study area |
|  | Nevada Test Site |  | Boundary of hydrographic area or subarea |
|  | Hydrographic area where pumping
is proposed by Las Vegas Valley
Water District | | |

Figure 1. Location of study area and selected geographic features, Great Basin area, Nevada-Utah.





Figure 2. Starting ground-water levels simulated for (A) upper model layer and (B) lower model layer (from Prudic and others, 1993, fig. 19), and general direction of ground-water flow.



Base modified from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000 and 1:250,000
 Albers Equal-Area Conic projection
 Standard parallels 29°30' and 45°30', central meridian -114°

Figure 2. Continued.




Figure 3. Location of 17 basins (hydrographic areas) in which pumping is proposed and outline of model grid used in simulations.

The report includes a description of the simulated effects of the pumping on regional springflow, evapotranspiration (ET) rates, and ground-water levels in 17 basins in the carbonate-rock province of the Great Basin. The model results are conceptual in nature because the model used is conceptual (Prudic and others, 1993, p. 18).

The conceptual model used several assumptions (Prudic and others, 1993, p. 15). These include: (1) flow through fractures and solution openings is the same as flow through porous media and thereby conforms to Darcy's Law, (2) steady-state conditions were in effect prior to ground-water development in the area, and (3) transmissivity is heterogeneous throughout the study area but is homogeneous within each individual cell.

Data used in the model are highly generalized, and the assumptions are simplifications of the actual system. Furthermore, the locations of proposed wells and the proposed pumping schedule described in this report are likely to be revised. Consequently, results reported should be used only as indications of possible generalized effects.

Acknowledgments

This study was prepared in cooperation with the following Department of the Interior bureaus: National Park Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Land Management, and Bureau of Indian Affairs. The authors thank the members of the Hydrology Task Group for their valuable insight into the relevant issues of this study. This group was composed of: Paul K. Christensen, Alice E. Johns, and George M. "Mel" Essington, National Park Service (lead agency); Patricia J. Fielder and Paul J. Barrett, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Paul C. Summers and James C. McLaughlin, Bureau of Land Management; and Bernard DeRook, Bureau of Indian Affairs. The frequent discussions and meetings with this group contributed considerably to the successful completion of this project.

DESCRIPTION OF GROUND-WATER FLOW MODEL

The ground-water flow model used for this study was constructed to conceptualize regional flow in the

carbonate rock province of the Great Basin (Prudic and others, 1993). The model consists of two layers of 3,660 cells (60 columns by 61 rows; fig. 3); each cell is 5 mi wide by 7-1/2 mi long. Not all cells in the grid are used in the model simulation; each layer contains 2,456 active cells.

The program used to simulate regional ground-water flow is the modular three-dimensional finite difference ground-water flow model, MODFLOW, written by McDonald and Harbaugh (1988). The mathematics involved in using the model to simulate ground-water flow systems is described in detail in that reference. The specific use of MODFLOW to simulate the regional ground-water system in the Great Basin is described by Prudic and others (1993).

The data used in the model, such as transmissivity values, recharge values, and other data sets, are documented (Schaefer, 1993). Boundary conditions for the model are described in detail by Prudic and others, (1993, p. 18).

In general, the model boundaries of the province extend to mountain ranges that consist mostly of low-permeability consolidated rock and are assumed to be no-flow boundaries. General head boundaries exist along the northeast, northwest, southeast, and southwest borders of the model (Prudic and others, 1993, fig. 9). A no-flow boundary is simulated beneath the lower layer of the model representing the depth below which there is little ground-water flow.

Recharge to the model is simulated as a constant flux to the upper model layer in cells that correspond to mountain ranges. Discharge occurs primarily as evapotranspiration and is simulated as a head-dependent flow boundary in the upper model layer. Regional springs are simulated as drains from the lower layer of the model.

The SIP (Strongly Implicit Procedure) solver (McDonald and Harbaugh, 1988, p. 12-1) was used by the model to solve the ground-water flow equations. SIP is a method for solving large systems of simultaneous linear equations by iteration. A closure criterion of 0.1 ft and an acceleration parameter (a value that increases or decreases head change at each iteration) of 0.8 was chosen.

Four major assumptions were used for the transient simulations of the flow model. The first was that the only pumpage simulated was that proposed by LVVWD, to produce a representation of the overall effects that development of these applications might have on the regional ground-water flow systems.

In keeping with the conceptual nature of the model, the simulation provides information about the probable areas that may be affected, the general magnitude of possible water-level declines or other effects, and the general period of time over which changes may be expected to occur. Prediction of specific, detailed water-level changes throughout the area would require that effects of the proposed pumping be superimposed on the effects of existing and other anticipated future pumping. That was beyond the scope of this analysis.

The second assumption was that storage values used for transient simulations for the upper layer were based on the predominant aquifer material in each cell, determined from surficial maps. This distribution may not be totally correct because the material may be different at depth in the zone of saturation. Storage coefficients in the upper layer also assume dewatering of the sediments.

Rock and deposit types were divided into three categories—basin-fill materials, carbonate rocks, and other consolidated rocks. Distribution of these units is shown by Prudic and others (1993, fig. 15). Average values for storage coefficients in layer one were assigned to each of these materials. For basin-fill material, a value of 0.1 was assigned on the basis of average values of specific yield used in U.S. Geological Survey reconnaissance evaluations of ground-water resources in most basins of the study area. For carbonate rocks, a value of 0.05 was assigned on the basis of an average porosity value of 0.047 determined from geophysical logs of five wells in the Coyote Spring Valley area (Berger, 1992, p. 18). For other rocks, a value of 0.01 was assigned on the basis of a range of values for fractured rocks given by Snow (1979, table 1).

The storage coefficient for the lower layer was estimated on the basis of the probable average porosity of the rocks present (0.01 to 0.05), the effective thickness of aquifer material (probably between 5,000 and 10,000 ft), the bulk modulus of elasticity of water (3×10^5 lb/in²), and the bulk modulus of elasticity of the solid skeleton of the aquifer (for limestone, about 4.8×10^6 to 5.4×10^6 lb/in²; Krynine and Judd, 1957, table 2.5). The following equation from Lohman (1972, p. 9) was used to estimate the coefficients:

$$S = \theta\gamma b \left(\frac{1}{E_w} + \frac{C}{\theta E_s} \right), \quad (1)$$

where S is storage coefficient (dimensionless);
 θ is porosity, as a decimal fraction;
 γ is specific weight per unit, $62.4 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \div 144 \text{ in}^2/\text{ft}^2 = 0.434 \text{ (lb/in}^2\text{)/ft}$;
 b is thickness, in feet;
 E_w is bulk modulus of elasticity of water;
 C is a dimensionless ratio, which may be considered unity in an uncemented granular material; in a solid aquifer, such as limestone with tubular solution channels, C is apparently equal to porosity; and
 E_s is bulk modulus of elasticity of the solid skeleton of an aquifer.

Estimates of storage values based on the above numbers ranged from 7.6×10^{-5} to 1.2×10^{-3} . For purposes of this report, the storage coefficient for the lower layer was set at the midrange of these values, 6×10^{-4} , for the entire layer. The data set for storage values used in the model is listed in appendix 1.

The third major assumption used in the model is from the previous steady-state model and concerns the lower layer. The individual basin-fill aquifers underlying the various ground-water basins can be adequately described in the upper layer as a series of high-transmissivity zones (the basin-fill valleys) separated from each other by low-transmissivity zones (the intervening mountain ranges). The lower layer represents the distribution of carbonate-rock aquifers in the system in a limited way that may affect the calculated drawdowns in that layer.

The fourth and final assumption was that all input values used in the conceptual steady-state model remain constant during the transient simulations. No changes were made to transmissivity, leakance, recharge, or the other input data sets described by Prudic and others (1993) and Schaefer (1993).

RESULTS OF SIMULATIONS

Simulation of Conditions Prior to Proposed Pumping

The steady-state conditions simulated by Prudic and others (1993) represent a conceptualization of ground-water flow in the carbonate-rock province of the Great Basin before ground-water pumping within the province commenced. Figure 2 shows the general distribution of simulated steady-state heads (water

levels) that were used as the starting heads for the transient simulations. Also shown in figure 2 is the general direction of ground-water flow for both the alluvial and carbonate aquifers. The starting-head data used in the transient model are listed in appendix 2.

The highest simulated steady-state heads are generally in southwestern Utah and east-central Nevada. In these areas, altitudes of the valley floors are the highest and estimated recharge assigned to the mountains is the greatest. Heads generally decrease northward toward the Humboldt River and the Great Salt Lake, and southward toward the Colorado River and Death Valley. Ground-water flow follows a similar pattern—flow is away from areas of highest heads. Many geologic and hydrologic barriers compartmentalize flow into several regions. Flow within each region is discussed in detail by Prudic and others (1993).

Proposed Pumping and Stress Periods

The proposed pumpage was to increase for about 18 years, from a rate of 24,500 acre-ft/yr to 180,800 acre-ft/yr, in four phased steps. Table 1 shows the overall pumping schedule and the amount of pumpage from each basin. These data are the basis for the pumpage simulated in the model. The model stress periods coincide with the proposed pumping phases of LVVWD, and the simulated pumpage in the model duplicates the areal distribution of the proposed well locations. Table 2 shows how these pumping periods relate to the model stress periods. Appendix 3 contains the pumpage data set used in the model.

Simulated Effects of Proposed Pumping

The simulated effects of pumping large quantities of ground water from east-central and southern Nevada include water-level declines, reductions in evapotranspiration and discharge from regional springs, and changes in flow to or from rivers, lakes, and the Death Valley playa. These results were calculated by the model, but because existing data are not adequate to allow the simulated results to be calibrated against observed changes, they contain a high degree of uncertainty. They should not be considered exact predictions of change but rather indications of possible generalized effects. The trends and magnitudes of the calculated

changes are considered first approximations that can give valuable insight into possible regional effects of long-term, high-volume pumpage in the province.

Simulated Pumpage and Drawdowns

At selected time steps for all five stress periods of the simulation, water-level declines (drawdowns) were calculated for both layers by comparing water-level arrays of successive stress periods. Drawdown patterns for both model layers then were mapped and are shown for selected time periods in figures 4-10. The drawdown values were computed by subtracting the original starting head for each model cell from the corresponding head simulated at the end of each selected time step. Lines of equal drawdown for each time step were then produced using the Golden Software Company "Surfer" computer contouring package. Locations of the proposed pumping wells in each stress period are also plotted on the maps to show their relation to the simulated declines. Each map shows simulated drawdowns for a layer, and only those wells designated to produce from that layer during that stress period are shown.

A pumping well represents discharge at a point, but the model distributes the pumpage over a 5-mi by 7-1/2-mi cell. Because both aquifer properties and changes in water level are averaged over the entire grid cell, some error is introduced. Furthermore, the model "pumps" the cell for the entire stress period at the constant rate. In reality, this may not be so, as some type of site-specific pumping schedule might be used to minimize local effects. That level of detail was beyond the scope of the study.

The original applications for water rights by LVVWD included a list of proposed well locations, and indicated whether each well was to be completed in the basin fill or the carbonate aquifer. Also included was a list of total withdrawals in each ground-water basin. To create the pumpage data set for the model, it was necessary to determine the pumping rate for each well within each basin by dividing the total pumpage from that basin by the total number of wells. If a well was completed in the basin-fill aquifer, pumpage for the model was assigned to the upper layer. An identical process was used for wells proposed to be completed in the carbonate aquifer (and assigned to the lower layer).

Table 1. Pumpage proposed by Las Vegas Valley Water District during first 20 years of pumping, by basin, east-central and southern Nevada

[Location of basins, by hydrographic area, is shown in figure 3]

Proposed pumpage schedule	Year	Pumpage (acre-feet per year) by basin, and hydrographic-area (HA) number								
		Garnet (Dry Lake) Valley, HA 216	Hidden Valley, HA 217	California Wash, HA 218	Coyote Spring Valley, HA 210	Three Lakes Valley (S), HA 211 ¹	Three Lakes Valley (N), HA 168	Tikapoo Valley, HA 169 A and B	Cave Valley, HA 180	Coal Valley, HA 171
Phase 1	2007	2,000	2,000	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	0	0
	2008	2,000	2,000	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	0	0
	2009	2,000	2,000	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	0	0
	2010	2,000	2,000	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	0	0
	2011	2,000	2,000	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	0	0
	2012	2,000	2,000	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	0	0
	2013	2,000	2,000	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	0	0
Phase 2	2014	2,000	2,000	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	2,000	6,000
	2015	2,000	2,000	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	2,000	6,000
	2016	2,000	2,000	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	2,000	6,000
	2017	2,000	2,000	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	2,000	6,000
Phase 3	2018	0	0	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	2,000	6,000
	2019	0	0	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	2,000	6,000
	2020	0	0	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	2,000	6,000
	2021	0	0	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	2,000	6,000
	2022	0	0	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	2,000	6,000
	2023	0	0	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	2,000	6,000
	2024	0	0	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	2,000	6,000
Phase 4	2025	0	0	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	3,000	2,000	6,000

¹ Includes three wells that are physically located in Las Vegas Valley Hydrographic Area (212) but are considered by Las Vegas Valley Water District to be in Three Lakes Valley (southern part).

Figure 4 shows drawdown and wells for both layers at the end of 7 years of pumping (conclusion of stress-period one). Total annual pumpage during this phase of the water project is planned to be 24,500 acre-ft. Of this amount, 29 percent (7,100 acre-ft/yr) was assigned to the upper layer, and 71 percent (17,400 acre-ft/yr) was assigned to the lower layer. Pumping is planned for Garnet (Dry Lake), Hidden, California Wash, Coyote Spring, Three Lakes, and Tikapoo Valleys (fig. 3). In the upper layer (fig. 4A), the drawdown exceeds 10 ft only in Three Lakes Valley. Drawdowns are localized around the cells with assigned pumpage. Drawdowns in the lower layer (fig. 4B) are more extensive, showing a maximum decline of more than 100 ft in several valleys. Boundaries of the topographic basins, which form

the boundaries of the alluvial basins (upper layers), are not barriers to flow within the carbonate system (lower layers). Declines in the lower layer can extend far beyond the basin boundary because the model simulates the carbonate aquifer in the lower layer as being confined, and storage values are much less.

Figure 5 shows simulated drawdown and location of wells for both layers at the end of 11 years of pumping (conclusion of stress-period two). Total annual pumpage proposed for this phase of the project is 47,000 acre-ft. Of this amount, 39 percent (18,300 acre-ft/yr) was assigned to the upper layer, and 61 percent (28,700 acre-ft/yr) was assigned to the lower layer. During this phase of development, pumping wells will be added in Cave, Coal, Delamar, Dry Lake, Pahroc, and Patterson Valleys (fig. 3).

Table 1—Continued

Pumpage (acre-feet per year) by basin, and hydrographic area (HA) number								
Delamar Valley, HA 182	Dry Lake Valley, HA 181	Pahroc Valley, HA 208	Patterson Valley, HA 202	Snake Valley, HA 195	Spring Valley, HA 184	Garden Valley, HA 172	Railroad Valley, HA 173 A and B	Total (acre-feet per year)
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24,500
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24,500
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24,500
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24,500
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24,500
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24,500
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24,500
3,000	2,500	5,000	4,000	0	0	0	0	47,000
3,000	2,500	5,000	4,000	0	0	0	0	47,000
3,000	2,500	5,000	4,000	0	0	0	0	47,000
3,000	2,500	5,000	4,000	0	0	0	0	47,000
3,000	2,500	5,000	4,000	25,000	50,000	0	0	118,000
3,000	2,500	5,000	4,000	25,000	50,000	0	0	118,000
3,000	2,500	5,000	4,000	25,000	50,000	0	0	118,000
3,000	2,500	5,000	4,000	25,000	50,000	0	0	118,000
3,000	2,500	5,000	4,000	25,000	50,000	0	0	118,000
3,000	2,500	5,000	4,000	25,000	50,000	0	0	118,000
3,000	2,500	5,000	4,000	25,000	50,000	10,000	52,800	180,800

Figure 5A shows an increase in the areal extent of simulated drawdowns in the upper layer, but maximum declines do not increase appreciably. The additional wells pumped during this phase of the simulation cause new declines in those additional areas. The simulated drawdowns in the lower layer (fig. 5B) likewise show an increase in areal extent and the maximum drawdowns have increased in some areas.

Figure 6 shows the simulated drawdowns for both layers at the end of 18 years of pumping (conclusion of time-step two, stress-period three). Pumpage during this stress period was set at 118,000 acre-ft/yr. Of this amount, 61 percent was assigned to the upper layer (72,000 acre-ft/yr), and 39 percent (46,000 acre-ft/yr) was assigned to the lower layer. During this stress period, pumping was from California Wash and from Coyote Spring, Three Lakes, Tikapoo, Cave, Coal, Delamar, Dry Lake, Pahroc, Patterson, Snake, and

Spring Valleys. Pumping was terminated in Garnet (Dry Lake) Valley and Hidden Valley at the start of this stress period.

In the upper layer (fig. 6A), maximum simulated declines exceed 100 ft in the area of Three Lakes Valley. Simulated declines exceed 50 ft in Spring Valley. Simulated declines in the lower layer (fig. 6B) are areally more extensive and are beginning to affect a large area of the carbonate-rock province. Simulated drawdown exceeds 100 ft in Spring, Snake, and probably in other valleys. Simulated drawdowns do not generally exceed 200 ft, with the exception of a localized maximum drawdown of about 400 ft in the California Wash area. Declines induced by pumping in this area and in the Coyote Spring Valley area to the northwest seem to cause the drawdowns in the Muddy River springs area.

Table 2. Simulated stress periods and pumpage, east-central and southern Nevada

[Asterisks indicate key simulation lengths used for analysis; acre-ft/yr, acre-feet per year]

Stress period	Time step	Length of time step (years)	Cumulative length of simulation (years)
Stress-period one (Phase 1)	1	3.5	3.5
2007-2013 (7 years)	2	3.5	*7.0
Total pumpage, 24,500 acre-ft/yr			
Stress-period two (Phase 2)	1	2.0	9.0
2014-2017 (4 years)	2	2.0	*11.0
Total pumpage, 47,000 acre-ft/yr			
Stress-period three (Phase 3)	1	3.5	14.5
2018-2024 (7 years)	2	3.5	*18.0
Total pumpage, 118,000 acre-ft/yr			
Stress-period four (Phase 4)	1	4.0	22.0
2025-2036 (12 years)	2	4.0	26.0
Total pumpage, 180,800 acre-ft/yr	3	4.0	*30.0
Stress-period five (Phase 4--continued)	1	12.3	42.3
2037-?	2	25.4	55.4
Total pumpage, 180,800 acre-ft/yr	3	39.5	69.5
	4	54.6	84.6
	5	70.7	*100.7
	6	87.9	117.9
	7	106.4	136.4
	8	126.2	156.1
	9	147.3	177.3
	10	169.9	*199.9

Figure 7 shows the simulated drawdowns due to pumping in the upper and lower layers 30 years into the model simulation (end of time-step three, stress-period four). Total annual pumpage during this period of the simulation is 180,800 acre-ft/yr. This amount is the projected maximum pumpage rate for the water project. Pumpage is from California Wash and Coyote Spring, Three Lakes, Tikapoo, Cave, Coal, Delamar, Dry Lake, Pahroc, Patterson, Snake, and Spring Valleys. This is also the stress period when pumping begins in Railroad Valley at a rate of 52,800 acre-ft/yr and in Garden Valley at a rate of 10,000 acre-ft/yr (phase four; table 1). Of the total amount, 62 percent (112,100 acre-ft/yr) was assigned to the upper layer and 38 percent (68,700 acre-ft/yr) was assigned to the lower layer.

Figure 7A shows the simulated drawdowns in the upper layer. In the area of Three Lakes Valley, in the southern part of the pumping area, maximum drawdown is more than 100 ft. In Spring Valley, in the northern part of the pumping area, simulated drawdowns also exceed 100 ft. Throughout most of the pumping area by the end of stress-period four, simulated drawdowns exceed 1 ft. Simulated drawdowns exceeding 10 ft have extended throughout much of the area. This stress period is the first indication of simulated drawdowns extending into the state of Utah.

Figure 7B shows the declines produced in the lower layer resulting from the proposed pumpage. Several large areas of declines have developed coincident with large pumping centers. Drawdowns exceeding 100 ft have developed in virtually all of the valleys.

The maximum simulated drawdown of about 670 ft is in Garden Valley. The areas of heaviest pumpage—Railroad, Spring, Snake, and Garden Valleys—also are the areas of largest declines in water levels.

Stress-period five represents an extrapolation of the proposed pumping schedule to illustrate possible future effects. The model was set up so that the simulation time steps within this stress period could be divided into discrete intervals. Within stress-period five, the ten time steps were increased in length geometrically. This allowed a reasonable view of changes in the model without generating large amounts of output. From these ten time steps, two durations—100 and 200 years—were selected for analysis of drawdowns and model budgets. The cumulative length of simulation at the end of stress-period five is 200 years.

Figure 8 shows the simulated drawdowns in both layers of the model after about 100 years into the simulation (time-step five, stress-period five). The total pumpage at this point in the simulation was still 180,800 acre-ft/yr. Of the total amount of pumpage, 62 percent was assigned to the upper layer and 38 percent was assigned to the lower layer.

Figure 8A shows the simulated drawdowns in the upper layer. The simulated drawdowns have continued to expand from the previous analysis time period because pumping has remained constant and at the same locations. Simulated drawdowns in Tikapoo Valley have continued to increase, as well as those in Railroad Valley—which have exceeded 100 ft. Simulated drawdowns in the Snake and Spring Valley areas have expanded outward and deepened to a maximum of about 350 ft, and the area of 10-ft drawdowns has extended into Utah. Finally, simulated drawdowns in Garden Valley have also expanded areally, but have not increased vertically.

Simulated drawdowns in the lower layer (fig. 8B) have begun to stabilize, with small increases areally and vertically in the Coal and Garden Valley areas. A quasi-equilibrium apparently is being approached in the lower layer. Maximum drawdown is about 900 ft in Garden Valley.

Figure 9 shows the simulated drawdowns in both the upper and lower layers after about 200 years into the simulation (time-step ten, stress-period five). Total annual pumpage continues to be 180,800 acre-ft. Pumpage is still divided between the upper and lower

layers, as in the previous stress period. Areal distribution of pumping cells is the same as in the previous stress period.

Simulated drawdowns in the upper layer (fig. 9A), have continued to increase in many places. Pumping in Railroad and Three Lakes Valley areas has increased the simulated drawdowns. Pumping in Snake and Spring Valleys has resulted in substantial simulated drawdowns near Baker, with a maximum of about 450 ft. Many of the isolated cones of depression are merging to form larger, composite cones of depression.

Simulated drawdowns in the lower layer (fig. 9B) have also increased areally and in magnitude. Pumpage in the lower layer in Railroad, Snake, Pahroc, Three Lakes, and Tikapoo Valleys has resulted in three large cones of depression, each greater than 100 ft and reaching more than 900 ft in Garden Valley.

Figure 10 shows the simulated drawdowns in the upper and lower layers for the final steady-state simulation. The model has attained a simulated hydrologic equilibrium. The water that supplies the simulated pumping has ceased to come from storage; rather, it is water that formerly discharged to springs and as ET. Pumpage remains constant and distribution is somewhat similar to that in figure 9. Simulated drawdowns in the upper layer (fig. 10A) have expanded areally and have deepened. In the upper layer (fig. 10A), maximum simulated drawdown has exceeded 500 ft in Railroad, Snake, Three Lakes, Cave, and Patterson Valleys. In Three Lakes Valley (northern part), the maximum drawdown is about 1,600 ft because of simulated pumping in one cell. In the lower layer, simulated drawdowns exceed 100 ft in most of the area and exceed 500 ft in parts of Railroad, Garden, and Snake Valleys. Maximum drawdown in Garden Valley is about 1,100 ft.

Simulated drawdowns in specific cells were examined as part of the analysis of the effects of pumping on the regional ground-water flow system. The locations of these selected cells are shown in relation to the model grid in figure 11. These cells are generally near areas in which many of the DOI bureaus have specific water-resource concerns. These cells act as observation points, but in reality cover 37.5 mi² of surface area. They are useful in indicating trends in simulated ground-water levels in the area at any given time step.






Figure 4. Simulated water-level drawdowns, stress period one, time-step two, after 7 years into simulation for (A) upper model layer and (B) lower model layer.



Base modified from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000 and 1:250,000
 Albers Equal-Area Conic projection
 Standard parallels 29°30' and 45°30', central meridian -114°

Figure 4. Continued.



Base modified from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000 and 1:250,000
 Albers Equal-Area Conic projection
 Standard parallels 29°30' and 45°30', central meridian -114°

Figure 5. Simulated water-level drawdowns, stress-period two, time-step two, after 11 years into simulation for (A) upper model layer and (B) lower model layer.






Figure 5. Continued.



Base modified from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000 and 1:250,000
 Albers Equal-Area Conic projection
 Standard parallels 29°30' and 45°30', central meridian -114°

Figure 6. Simulated water-level drawdowns, stress-period three, time-step two, after 18 years into simulation for (A) upper model layer and (B) lower model layer.



Base modified from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000 and 1:250,000
 Albers Equal-Area Conic projection
 Standard parallels 29°30' and 45°30', central meridian -114°

Figure 6. Continued.





Figure 7. Simulated water-level drawdowns, stress-period four, time-step three, after 30 years into simulation for (A) upper model layer and (B) lower model layer.



Base modified from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000 and 1:250,000
 Albers Equal-Area Conic projection
 Standard parallels 29°30' and 45°30', central meridian -114°

Figure 7. Continued.




Figure 8. Simulated water-level drawdowns, stress-period five, time-step five, after 100.7 years into simulation for (A) upper model layer and (B) lower model layer.




Figure 8. Continued.





Figure 9. Simulated water-level drawdowns, stress-period five, time-step ten, after 199.9 years into simulation for (A) upper model layer and (B) lower model layer.



Base modified from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000 and 1:250,000
 Albers Equal-Area Conic projection
 Standard parallels 29°30' and 45°30', central meridian -114°

Figure 9. Continued.





Figure 10. Simulated water-level drawdowns at final steady-state simulation for (A) upper model layer and (B) lower model layer.



Base modified from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000 and 1:250,000
 Albers Equal-Area Conic projection
 Standard parallels 29°30' and 45°30', central meridian -114°

Figure 10. Continued.




Figure 11. Location of spring cells, pumping cells, and selected cells in model grid.

Figure 12 shows two hydrographs for the selected cells in the northern part of Railroad Valley (173B), one near Duckwater spring (column 21, row 29) and one near the southern part of the valley (column 21, row 35). Drawdown is not simulated at these places until after 18 years (the fourth stress period), when pumpage is assigned in Railroad Valley, then drawdowns increase steadily.

Simulated drawdowns at the selected cell near Duckwater are small, generally a few tenths of a foot in the upper layer and lower layer. The simulated draw-

down at the selected cell in the southern part of the valley is more substantial, approaching 100 ft in both the upper and lower layers. Because placement of the proposed pumping wells is primarily in the southern part of Railroad Valley, pumping will have much more effect on water levels in the southern part than in the northern part.

Figure 13 shows hydrographs for three selected cells representing areas near Ash Meadows springs, Baker, and Moapa (locations shown in fig. 11).




Figure 12. Hydrographs for two selected cells representing areas in northern Railroad Valley, east-central Nevada.




Figure 13. Hydrographs for three selected cells representing areas near Ash Meadows springs, Baker, and Moapa, southern Nevada.

The selected cell near Ash Meadows shows small changes in the simulated water level in the lower layer soon after the simulation is started. The simulated drawdown increases after about 7 years (during stress-period two), then increases rapidly after 100 years (during stress-period five). Equilibrium in the water level of the lower layer is not achieved even during the last stages of the model simulation. Simulated drawdowns in the lower layer near Ash Meadows springs reach a maximum of about 6 ft, whereas no decline is apparent in the upper layer. The hydrograph for the cell near Baker shows that effects from pumping begin after 10 years into the simulation, when pumping begins in Snake Valley. Simulated drawdowns increase steadily, exceeding 100 ft in the upper layer and 200 ft in the lower layer.

The selected cell near Moapa shows small declines in the lower layer and virtually no drawdown in the upper layer. The lower-layer drawdowns begin almost immediately, due to pumpage in the general area, and continue to increase throughout the entire 200 years of simulation. Simulated drawdowns in the lower layer at the Moapa cell reach about 13 ft near the end of the simulation.

Regional Springs

Effects of pumping on regional springs can be attributed to many factors. One of the most important factors is the distance from the proposed pumping to the springs. Most of the proposed well sites (shown as pumping cells in fig. 11) are miles from the major regional springs in the carbonate-rock province. As the wells are pumped, the removal of water from the ground-water system can, in some places, result in a decrease in flow at the springs. These regional springs commonly support large populations of wildlife, including several threatened or endangered species and, consequently, may be of interest to the Federal Government.


As discussed by Prudic and others (1993), regional springs in the carbonate-rock province are treated as discharging from the lower layer in the model. Because of the coarseness of the model grid, these springs must occupy a cell size of 5 by 7.5 mi. Exact effects at the spring itself are difficult to predict because of this grid coarseness. The model can only show that flow at these springs might be reduced, depending on the amount and location of pumpage.

Figure 14 shows how simulated flow from several selected regional springs may be affected by the proposed pumping schedule. The Muddy River spring complex (No. 4, figs. 11 and 14) demonstrates some early effects from the simulated pumping schedule. The simulated flows decreased by almost 10 percent (about 4 ft³/s) by the end of the first phase of development and continued to decrease until much later in the simulation. After about 100 years of pumping, simulated springflow has decreased about 11 percent (6 ft³/s). This spring is affected early in the simulation because of its proximity to the areas in southern Nevada that will be pumped first.

Other springs shown in figure 11 have similar decreases. The combined flow from Hiko, Crystal, and Ash Springs (Pahranagat Valley) decreased about 14 percent (5 ft³/s) after 100 years (end of time-step five, stress-period five). Simulated discharge at the Duckwater spring area in Northern Railroad Valley is relatively unaffected by pumpage in the valley even during later time steps. Water-level declines are less than 1 ft near the north end of Railroad Valley (fig. 8B). Springs in the central part of Northern Railroad Valley (Lockes, Blue Eagle, and Tom Springs) exhibit no decrease until pumpage from the valley is simulated during the fourth phase of the water project (after 18 years). Once pumping commences in Railroad Valley, flow from these springs decreases rapidly (fig. 14).

The spring complex at Ash Meadows (No. 2, fig. 11), shows little change in flow until about 100 years into the simulation (fig. 14), with a decrease of about 2 percent (about 0.5 ft³/s). Subsequently, flow from the springs continues to decrease throughout the simulation.

The other springs shown in figure 11 do not generally show effects of pumpage to any great degree. This is probably due to the distance between these springs and any pumping centers, or possibly the effect of intervening hydrologic boundaries. Moon River and Hot Creek Springs and Panaca Warm Spring do, however, show a decrease in springflow in the later time steps of stress-period five (greater than 100 years of model simulation). Table 3 lists the discharge from the various springs shown in figures 11 and 14 for the selected stress periods.



EXPLANATION

Spring — Number in parentheses is map number in figure 11 and cell number in table 3. Simulated steady-state pumpage is listed in table 3.

- | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| B | Ash Meadows (2) | ◇ | Panaca (7) |
| H | Rogers, Blue Point (3) | □ | Blue Eagle, Tom, Lockes (9 and 10) |
| J | Muddy River (4) | ○ | Moon River, Hot Creek (11) |
| F | Pahrnanagat (6) | △ | Duckwater (14) |

Figure 14. Changes in discharge of selected regional springs with changing pumpage, east-central and southern Nevada.

Evapotranspiration

Sustained pumpage of ground water can cause declines in water levels that may affect plants that send roots down far enough to reach the water table. These plants, known as phreatophytes, are the major source of ground-water discharge in many valleys. This use of ground water by phreatophytes is one part of the

overall ground-water discharge quantity called evapotranspiration, or ET. The other component is actual evaporation, whether from a free water surface, such as standing water exposed to the atmosphere on a playa, or water beneath the ground surface but shallow enough to move upward by capillary action and evaporate.

Table 3. Estimated flow, simulated steady-state flow, and flow at selected times during simulated pumping, for selected springs, east-central and southern Nevada

[All values in cubic feet per second]

Spring name (fig. 11)	Cell no. (fig. 11)	Estimated flow ¹	Simulated steady-state flow ¹	Time into simulation							Final steady state
				7 years	11 years	18 years	30 years	100.7 years	199.9 years		
Manse	1	6.1	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.39	5.39	5.36	5.35	5.06	
Ash Meadows	2	23.4	23.48	23.32	23.25	23.16	23.10	22.93	22.71	19.54	
Rodgers/Blue Point	3	2.1	1.61	1.51	1.46	1.41	1.39	1.37	1.36	1.28	
Muddy River	4	49.7	51.66	47.27	46.49	46.53	46.44	45.77	45.08	40.44	
Grapevine/Stainigers	5	1.4	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	0.99	
Pahrangat (total)	6	33.7	32.93	32.64	31.09	30.44	29.25	28.16	27.18	20.52	
Panaca	7	10.9	13.71	13.71	12.49	12.28	12.21	12.06	11.86	8.70	
Hot Creek Ranch	8	2.5	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.77	2.76	2.76	2.50	
Lockes	9	3.2	3.89	3.88	3.89	3.88	3.61	3.35	3.20	1.43	
Blue Eagle/Tom	10	5.0	4.43	4.43	4.44	4.43	0	0	0	0	
Moon River/Hot Creek	11	17.4	17.75	17.75	16.84	16.43	15.81	14.41	13.00	2.22	
Mormon Hot	12	4.3	3.04	3.04	3.03	3.02	3.01	2.97	2.94	2.70	
Northern White River Valley	13	16.0	14.20	14.20	14.19	14.16	14.13	14.01	13.90	13.10	
Duckwater	14	15.2	18.30	18.30	18.30	18.30	18.29	18.28	18.27	18.16	
Fish Creek	15	5.4	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.78	
Twin	16	4.0	5.53	5.53	5.54	5.39	5.31	5.19	5.12	5.00	
Campbell Ranch	17	10.6	10.19	10.19	10.19	10.19	10.19	10.17	10.16	10.10	
Shipley Hot/Balley	18	7.8	6.05	6.05	6.05	6.05	6.05	6.05	6.05	6.05	
Fish	19	37.4	35.50	35.49	35.50	35.49	35.48	35.46	35.44	35.36	
Nelson	20	1.3	2.51	2.51	2.51	2.51	2.51	2.51	2.51	2.51	
Blue Lake/Little Salt	21	24.9	27.78	27.78	27.78	27.78	27.77	27.78	27.78	27.77	
Warm	22	4.4	6.85	6.85	6.85	6.85	6.85	6.85	6.85	6.85	

¹ Prudic and others (1993, table 1).

Table 4 lists ET changes for selected groups of cells during the selected time steps of the simulation. This simulated discharge is in addition to simulated spring discharge, most of which is ultimately consumed by ET. These groups of cells represent areas in several ground-water basins where phreatophytes are consuming ground water. In many valleys, this area of ET is in the center of the valley where ground water is near land surface and phreatophytes or evaporation can cause discharge from the ground-water system. Evapotranspiration can often be the major source of discharge in some of the basin-fill aquifers. This is the case in Railroad Valley where outflow from the ground-water system of the entire valley (including Duckwater and other springflow) due to ET was estimated to be 80,000 acre-ft/yr (Van Denburgh and Rush, 1974,


p. 29), and is by far the largest component of discharge. Spring Valley also has a large discharge component due to ET. Rush and Kazmi (1965, table 7) estimated an ET discharge of 70,000 acre-ft/yr in the valley. Table 4 also shows that the three valleys with the largest proposed pumping (Railroad, Spring, and Snake Valleys) have the largest decrease in ET rates.

Figure 15 shows the relation between the rate of ET from these groups of cells to proposed phased pumpage in the study area. Most cells show little effect of the pumping during the early stress periods because water from storage supplies the requirements. The cells representing ET areas in virtually all the valleys, however, show some effect from the pumpage, usually starting within about 30 years from the onset of pumping.

Table 4. Simulated pumpage and evapotranspiration rates in selected areas, east-central and southern Nevada


Stress period	Time step	Years into simulation	Total pumpage		Evapotranspiration (cubic feet per second)					
			Acre-feet per year	Cubic feet per Second	Death Valley	Amargosa area	Las Vegas Valley	Lower White River Valley	Pahrangat Valley	Garden, Coal Valleys
Steady-state model		0	0	0	6.66	11.98	34.26	18.28	13.49	0.00
1	2	7	24,500	32.75	6.64	11.98	34.23	18.25	13.41	.00
2	2	11	47,000	62.83	6.66	11.98	34.20	18.23	13.33	.00
3	2	18	118,000	157.75	6.66	11.97	34.17	18.19	13.18	.00
4	3	30	180,800	241.71	6.67	11.97	34.16	18.13	12.95	.00
5	5	100	180,800	241.71	6.66	11.91	34.11	17.79	12.10	.00
5	10	200	180,800	241.71	6.66	11.84	34.02	17.40	11.25	.00
Final steady-state			180,800	241.71	6.58	10.18	32.45	14.70	6.04	.00

Stress period	Time step	Years into simulation	Total pumpage		Evapotranspiration (cubic feet per second)					
			Acre-feet per year	Cubic feet per Second	Southern Railroad Valley	Northern Railroad Valley	White River Valley	Spring Valley	Lake Valley	Snake Valley
Steady-state model		0	0	0	2.99	89.38	19.34	102.97	10.87	93.51
1	2	7	24,500	32.75	2.99	89.38	19.36	102.97	10.87	93.52
2	2	11	47,000	62.83	3.00	89.40	19.36	102.99	10.89	93.53
3	2	18	118,000	157.75	2.99	89.38	19.34	78.41	10.72	87.19
4	3	30	180,800	241.71	2.96	65.71	19.33	70.37	10.37	84.27
5	5	100	180,800	241.71	2.59	56.76	19.25	57.76	8.68	75.28
5	10	200	180,800	241.71	2.16	52.01	19.16	53.04	7.46	68.79
Final steady-state			180,800	241.71	.19	43.38	18.27	46.94	3.46	56.55



EXPLANATION
 Hydrographic areas for which changes in simulated evapotranspiration (ET) are shown — Map numbers (figure 15B) are indicated in parentheses. Simulated new steady-state evapotranspiration rates are listed in table 4

- J Amargosa Desert (1)
- B Death Valley (2)
- Δ Lake Valley (3)
- F Pahrangat Valley (4)
- Railroad Valley (5)
- ◇ Snake Valley (6)
- O Spring Valley (7)
- H White River Valley (8)



EXPLANATION

- Hydrographic area in which pumping is proposed
- Evapotranspiration cells — Map number coinciding with list of hydrographic areas (figure 15A) is indicated

Figure 15. Changes in simulated evapotranspiration at cells in selected basins with changes in proposed pumpage.

Sensitivity of Model Results to Storage Values

To test the sensitivity of the model to input values, several additional simulations were made by varying the values of aquifer storage. Transmissivity values from the original model (Prudic and others, 1993) were not tested during this study. Previous sensitivity analyses were deemed sufficient, and although transmissivity values may be more variable than storage values in a given geologic unit, storage values may be more responsible for long-term effects in the simulation.

The storage values for both the basin-fill and carbonate aquifers are not well known, and may cause the results of the model to vary significantly. Changing

the storage values of the upper layer by a range of ± 50 percent, and changing the storage values of the lower layer to the two endpoints of 7.6×10^{-5} and 1.2×10^{-3} , were assumed to give a reasonable test of how results might change. The model was rerun using these adjusted storage values, and figures 16 through 18 show how various key budget components change throughout the simulation, compared to the results obtained using the original storage values.

Figure 16 shows how regional spring discharge varies in response to changing storage-coefficient estimates. In general, storage-coefficient values for the upper layer have little effect on simulated spring discharge. At any given time, the smaller storage coefficients cause less discharge from the drains, whereas larger storage values for the upper layer allow for more




Figure 16. Changes in total model-simulated spring discharge with selected storage values and changing pumpage, east-central and southern Nevada. (All simulated spring discharge totals for the several values converged to a simulated total spring discharge of 234 cubic feet per second in the steady-state simulation.)

discharge. Adjusting the lower-layer storage coefficient has virtually no effect on the simulated spring discharge of the model.

Figure 17 shows how simulated evapotranspiration changes in response to varying storage coefficients. During the first 10 years of the simulation, simulated ET differs little for any of the storage-coefficient values shown in figure 17. However, as the simulation continues and pumpage increases, simulated ET begins to decrease as it is captured by pumping. The simulated rate of decrease in ET varies with the values assigned to the upper layer storage coefficient. Generally, decreasing the storage coefficient caused ET to be captured more quickly.

The model is relatively insensitive to changes in the lower layer, which has a storage coefficient typical of a confined aquifer. The amount of evapotranspiration ultimately captured by pumping is the same (about 190 ft³/s), so varying the storage coefficient has no effect on the ultimate reduction of evapotranspiration. Adjusting the lower-layer storage coefficient has virtually no effect on the simulated ET discharge of the model.

After 100 years, the simulated change in ET ranged from about 48 percent of the total change in ET (with the storage coefficients in the upper layer increased by 50 percent) to about 62 percent of the total change in ET (with the storage coefficients in the upper layer decreased by 50 percent).




Figure 17. Changes in total model-simulated evapotranspiration with selected storage values and changing pumpage, east-central and southern Nevada. (All total model-simulated evapotranspiration for the several storage values converged to 1,484 cubic feet per second in the steady-state simulation.)

Figure 18 shows how varying aquifer storage coefficients affect the amount of ground water coming out of storage. The graph demonstrates that the model is somewhat insensitive to varying the storage coefficients, but is extremely sensitive to increasing pumping rates. As the overall rates are increased with time, more water is withdrawn from storage to satisfy the demand. As the time steps progress within each stress period, an equilibrium is reached or a decline takes place as water is drawn from other sources to feed the pumpage.

Figures 19-23 are hydrographs from the selected cells described previously that show the effect of changing storage values. Figure 11 shows the locations of these cells in relation to the proposed pumping schedule of LVVWD. Figure 19 contains a hydrograph for each layer of the selected cell near Ash Meadows and shows virtually no change in the simulated drawdown in either layer due to storage-

coefficient variations. The upper layer shows a difference of less than 0.01 ft after about 100 years of simulation. The lower layer shows a difference of about 3 ft of simulated drawdown after the same period.

Figure 20 shows simulated drawdowns for both layers at the selected cell near Baker. The hydrograph for the upper layer shows considerable variation after 100 years into the simulation, with about 90 ft of difference in water levels computed using the two storage-coefficient end points. The difference in simulated drawdowns in the lower layer is less, with about 40 ft of difference after the same 100 years of simulation.

Figure 21 shows the simulated drawdowns at the selected cell near Duckwater in Northern Railroad Valley. Both layers demonstrate an insensitivity to storage-coefficient changes by differing less than 0.2 ft after about 100 years of simulated pumping.






Figure 18. Changes in total model-simulated water removed from storage with selected storage values and changing pumpage, east-central and southern Nevada.



EXPLANATION

- Original storage values
- ▲ Upper-layer storage values, -50 percent
- Upper-layer storage values, +50 percent
- ◆ Lower-layer storage value, 7.6×10^{-3}
- Lower-layer storage value, 1.2×10^{-3}

Figure 19. Hydrographs of simulated water-level drawdowns associated with selected storage values for selected cell representing an area in Ash Meadows, southern Nevada. *A*, upper layer. *B*, lower layer.



EXPLANATION

- Original storage values
- ▲ Upper-layer storage values, -50 percent
- Upper-layer storage values, +50 percent
- ◆ Lower-layer storage value, 7.6×10^{-3}
- Lower-layer storage value, 1.2×10^{-3}

Figure 20. Hydrographs of simulated water-level drawdowns associated with selected storage values for selected cell representing an area at Baker, east-central Nevada. A, upper layer. B, lower layer.




Figure 21. Hydrographs of simulated water-level drawdowns associated with selected storage values for selected cell representing an area at Duckwater, east-central Nevada. *A*, upper layer. *B*, lower layer.

Figure 22 shows the simulated drawdowns at the selected cell in Northern Railroad Valley in both layers. The upper layer demonstrates a difference in drawdowns of about 40 ft after about 100 years into the simulation. The lower layer shows a difference of about 50 ft after the same time period.

Figure 23 shows the simulated drawdowns at the selected cell near Moapa for both layers. The upper layer shows a difference of about 0.02 ft in the simulated drawdowns and the lower layer shows about a 2-ft difference, after about 100 years into the simulation.

Overall, the model appears to be relatively insensitive to variations in aquifer storage coefficients. Changes in these values elicit only minor changes in evapotranspiration, spring discharge, movement of ground water out of storage, and variations in simulated drawdowns. Changes in pumping—location and rate—have a greater influence on model results.

Ultimate Source of Pumped Water

The simulation of pumping ground water in east-central and southern Nevada illustrates several concepts discussed by Theis (1940). The ultimate source of pumped ground water in an aquifer system is an increase in recharge, a decrease of natural discharge, or removal of ground water from storage. As was stated succinctly by Theis (p. 280), “All water discharged by wells is balanced by a loss of water somewhere.”

The boundaries for this simulation do not allow additional water to be made available to the ground-water system of the Great Basin; pumpage will not increase precipitation and, hence, recharge. If wells were placed near some of the bounding surface-water bodies, some additional water would recharge the local ground water to make up any deficit caused by pumping. But throughout the study area, additional water from these sources is not available.

The previous discussion of how pumping in the study area affects ET and spring discharge suggests that much of the ground water pumped would be derived from these sources. Since ET is dependent on shallow water levels to support vegetation, once water levels decline sufficiently, ET would cease. Simulated spring discharge is also affected by the proposed


pumping in the sense that ground-water flow to the spring is intercepted by the expanded cones of depression of the wells.

The last source of water available to the proposed pumping is from ground water in storage. Figure 24 illustrates the change in various ground-water model budget components as the simulation progresses. Also shown is a series of figures illustrating the source of water pumped in the simulation. Early in the simulation, the major source of pumped water is from ground-water storage (83 percent at 9 years into the simulation). As the simulation progresses, less and less water is removed from storage and the remainder of the pumped water comes from reduction in ET and spring discharge. The final stage of this progression is the steady-state simulation, where none of the pumped water is from storage, 77 percent is from what had been used by ET, and 23 percent is from reduction of spring-flow. This represents a simulated equilibrium within the ground-water system.

Limitations and Uses of the Model

Simulations of the proposed pumpage show that many aspects of the ground-water systems in the Great Basin may be affected. The simulations were based on a computer model of regional ground-water flow that greatly simplifies the complex distribution of geology and, consequently, the hydraulic properties of many of the rocks in the Great Basin. As the authors of the original model state, “Simulation results are based on assuming recharge to the province is known with the distribution of transmissivities simulated to match the general distribution of water levels and estimates of discharge. However, water levels in consolidated rocks are generally unknown and estimates of recharge and discharge are known only approximately” (Prudic and others, 1993, p. 91).


The adequacy of the model in simulating the effects of the proposed pumping will remain untested until actual pumping stresses have been in place long enough to cause measurable effects within the system. This would allow for calibration of transient simulations that was not possible with the previous model.



EXPLANATION

- Original storage values
- ▲ Upper-layer storage values, -50 percent
- Upper-layer storage values, +50 percent
- ◆ Lower-layer storage value, 7.6×10^{-3}
- Lower-layer storage value, 1.2×10^{-3}

Figure 22. Hydrographs of simulated water-level drawdowns associated with selected storage values for selected cell representing an area in northern Railroad Valley, east-central Nevada. *A*, upper layer. *B*, lower layer.



EXPLANATION

- Original storage values
- ▲ Upper-layer storage values, -50 percent
- Upper-layer storage values, +50 percent
- ◆ Lower-layer storage value, 7.6×10^{-3}
- Lower-layer storage value, 1.2×10^{-3}

Figure 23. Hydrographs of simulated water-level drawdowns associated with selected storage values for selected cell representing an area at Moapa, southern Nevada. A, upper layer. B, lower layer.





Figure 24. Changes in model-budget components and sources of pumpage, east-central and southern Nevada.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In 1989, the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) filed applications with the Nevada State Engineer for water rights in east-central and southern Nevada. These applications would result in a maximum pumpage of about 180,800 acre-ft/yr from 17 basins (LVVWD, written commun., 1992).

In 1991, several Department of the Interior (DOI) bureaus requested that the U.S. Geological Survey simulate possible effects of this pumping on regional flow, as well as on large regional springs, using a two-layer ground-water flow model originally designed to conceptualize regional flow in the carbonate-rock province. The simulations were made using a phased pumping schedule, with ultimate pumpage totaling 180,800 acre-ft/yr.

The simulation of pumping in the carbonate-rock province of the Great Basin indicates that water levels, the flow of regional springs, and ground-water discharge by evapotranspiration would be affected. The upper layer of the model generally represents basin fill and the intervening mountains. Simulated water levels in the basin fill are most strongly affected by localized pumping within the basin. The lower layer of the model, simulating the more extensively connected and confined carbonate-rock aquifer system, generates larger, areally more expansive declines. Several tens of years of pumpage can result in hundreds of feet of simulated water-level declines throughout a large area of the aquifer system.

By extending the pumping schedule for long periods of time, some estimate can be made of when the ground-water system will approach a new equilib-

rium. This equilibrium is reached when the change in water-level decline approaches zero, and pumpage is sustained entirely by water diverted from other sources, instead of by depletion of stored ground water.

The simulations also showed that discharge from several regional springs could decrease. Modeling indicated that, after about 100 years of simulation, flow from Muddy River springs; Hiko, Crystal, and Ash Springs; and Ash Meadows springs would all be affected to some degree. Discharge at Muddy River springs decreased the most, with a reduction of about 6 ft³/s (11 percent). Discharge from the Hiko-Crystal-Ash Springs complex decreased about 5 ft³/s (14 percent), and flow from Ash Meadows springs decreased about 0.5 ft³/s (2 percent).


The modeling also indicated that ground-water discharge by evapotranspiration would probably be affected by the pumpage proposed by LVVWD. The model indicates that the three valleys with the largest proposed pumpage will have the largest decrease in ET rates. In Spring Valley, which is scheduled to have 50,000 acre-ft/yr of ground water pumped, ET decreases about 45.21 cubic feet per second in the first 100 years of pumping (table 4). This is based on the normal estimated ET discharge of 70,000 acre-ft/yr (Rush and Kazmi, 1965, table 7). Railroad and Snake Valleys show similar patterns, with a decrease in ET discharge of 33.02 and 18.23 cubic feet per second, respectively, after about 100 years of pumping.

Irrespective of the obvious limitations of this model, the results of the simulation provide valuable insight regarding the regional-scale response to pumping and can serve as a basis for the development of a more detailed analysis of pumping effects.

REFERENCES CITED

- Berger, D.L., 1992, Lithologic properties of carbonate-rock aquifers at five test wells in the Coyote Springs Valley area, southern Nevada, as determined from geophysical logs: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 91-4167, 27 p.
- Harrill, J.R., Gates, J.S., and Thomas, J.M., 1988, Major ground-water flow systems in the Great Basin region of Nevada, Utah, and adjacent states: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Investigations Atlas HA-694-C, 2 sheets, scale 1:1,000,000.
- Harrill, J.R., Welch, A.H., Prudic, D.E., Thomas, J.M., Carman, R.L., Plume, R.W., Gates, J.S., and Mason, J.L., 1983, Aquifer systems in the Great Basin region of Nevada, Utah, and adjacent states—A study plan: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 82-445, 49 p.
- Krynine, D.P., and Judd, W.R., 1957, Principles of engineering geology and geotectonics: New York, McGraw-Hill, 750 p.
- Lohman, S.W., 1972, Ground-water hydraulics: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 708, 70 p.
- McDonald, M.G., and Harbaugh, A.W., 1988, A modular three-dimensional finite-difference ground-water flow model: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 6, chap. A1, 586 p.
- Plume, R.W., and Carlton, S.M., 1988, Hydrogeology of the Great Basin region of Nevada, Utah, and adjacent states: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Investigation Atlas HA-694-A, 1 sheet, scale 1:1,000,000.
- Prudic, D.E., Harrill, J.R., and Burbey, T.J., 1993, Conceptual evaluation of regional ground-water flow in the carbonate-rock province of the Great Basin, Nevada, Utah, and adjacent states: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 93-170, 103 p.
- Rush, F.E., 1968, Index of hydrographic areas in Nevada: Nevada Division of Water Resources, Information Report 6, 38 p.
- Rush, F.E., and Kazmi, S.A.T., 1965, Water resources appraisal of Spring Valley, White Pine and Lincoln Counties, Nevada: Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Water Resources—Reconnaissance Report 33, 39 p.
- Schaefer, D.H., 1993, Documentation of model input and output values for simulation of regional ground-water flow, carbonate-rock province, Nevada, Utah, and adjacent states: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 93-420, 4 p. plus disk.
- Snow, D.T., 1979, Packer injection test data from sites of fractured rock: Lawrence Berkeley Laboratories Report LBL-10080, UC-66B, 15 p.
- Theis, C.V., 1940, The source of water derived from wells: Civil Engineering, v. 10, no. 5, p. 277-280.
- Van Denburgh, A.S., and Rush, F.E., 1974, Water-resources appraisal of Railroad and Penoyer Valleys, east-central Nevada: Nevada Division of Water Resources, Reconnaissance Report 60, 61 p.

Appendixes



APPENDIX 1. STORAGE VALUES USED FOR SIMULATION

(Units are dimensionless; multiply values by 0.005 to obtain actual value used)

UPPER LAYER																				
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	ROW 1	
20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20		
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	ROW 2	
2	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	ROW 3	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	ROW 4	
20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20		
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	ROW 5	
2	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20		
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	ROW 6	
2	20	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	2	20	20	20	20	20	20		
20	20	20	20	2	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	ROW 7	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		
20	20	20	2	2	2	20	20	20	20	2	20	2	10	10	2	20	20	20	ROW 8	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		
20	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	ROW 9	
20	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2		
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	20	ROW 10	
20	2	2	20	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	2	20	20	20	20	10	20	20	
20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	ROW 11	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	2	20	20	20	20		
2	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	2	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	10	20	20	
20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	2	2	ROW 12	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20		
2	20	20	20	20	20	2	20	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	ROW 13	
20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	2		
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	2	20	20		
2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	ROW 14	
2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	20	20	20	20		
20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	20	20	20	2	2	ROW 15	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	2	2	20	20	2		
10	2	20	20	20	20	2	20	2	20	2	2	20	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	
20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	2	20	2	ROW 16	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	20	20	2	20	2	2	20	20	2		
20	20	2	2	20	2	2	20	10	2	2	2	10	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	
20	20	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	2	ROW 17
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	20	20	2	20	20	20	2	2		
10	2	2	2	2	20	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	2	20	20	20	2	20	20	
20	20	20	20	20	2	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	20	20	2	10	2	20	20	2	ROW 18	
20	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	2	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	2	20	20	
20	20	2	20	20	2	20	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	2	10	2	20	20	2	ROW 19
2	20	20	2	20	2	2	20	20	2	20	2	20	20	2	2	2	2	20	20	
20	20	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	20	2	2	

APPENDIX 1. STORAGE VALUES USED FOR SIMULATION—Continued

2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	2	2	20	2	20	2	10	20	2	20	20	ROW 20
2	20	20	2	20	2	2	20	20	2	20	2	20	2	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	
2	2	20	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	20	20	20	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	20	ROW 21
2	20	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	2	2	20	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	2	2	
2	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	10	2	ROW 22
20	20	20	2	2	20	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	2	2	2	2	20	20	20	2	
2	20	10	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	10	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	20	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	ROW 23
20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	2	2	2	20	10	2	2	2	2	20	20	20	
2	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	10	10	10	2	20	20	20	20	20	2	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	20	2	ROW 24
20	2	2	2	20	2	2	2	20	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	
2	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	2	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	2	20	20	20	20	2	ROW 25
20	2	2	2	20	2	2	2	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	20	20	20	2	
20	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	10	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	2	2	2	2	20	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	ROW 26
2	2	2	2	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	2	20	20	20	
20	20	20	2	2	2	20	10	20	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	2	2	2	20	20	20	2	20	2	2	2	20	2	2	20	20	2	2	2	ROW 27
20	20	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	20	2	2	20	20	2	2	
2	20	20	2	20	2	2	10	20	20	2	2	2	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	ROW 28
2	20	20	2	2	20	2	20	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	2	20	20	
20	2	20	2	2	20	10	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	2	2	20	10	20	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	2	2	20	2	2	20	2	ROW 29
20	20	20	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	2	2	20	2	20	2	2	2	20	20	2	
20	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	2	2	20	10	20	2	2	10	2	2	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	20	2	ROW 30
2	20	20	20	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	2	20	20	2	20	2	20	2	20	20	
2	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	2	2	20	20	20	2	2	20	2	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	2	2	2	ROW 31
2	20	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	
2	2	20	20	2	2	20	2	2	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	2	2	20	2	2	20	2	20	2	20	2	ROW 32
20	10	10	20	2	2	20	20	20	2	20	2	2	20	20	2	2	2	2	20	20	
2	2	20	20	2	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	2	2	2	20	2	2	2	20	2	2	2	2	2	20	20	20	20	2	2	ROW 33
20	10	10	2	2	20	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	2	2	2	20	2	
20	2	2	20	2	2	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	2	2	20	2	2	2	20	2	2	20	2	2	2	20	2	2	2	2	20	ROW 34
20	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	2	20	2	20	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	2	2	
20	20	2	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	ROW 35
20	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	
2	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	20	ROW 36
2	2	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	20	20	2	
2	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	20	20	20	2	2	20	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	20	2	2	2	20	20	ROW 37
2	2	2	20	20	2	10	20	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	2	
20	2	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
2	20	20	2	20	2	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	20	2	2	20	20	2	ROW 38
2	20	20	20	20	2	20	10	2	2	2	2	10	20	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	
20	2	2	2	2	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
20	10	20	2	20	20	2	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	20	20	20	20	ROW 39
2	20	20	20	2	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	2	2	2	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
20	20	2	2	10	20	20	2	10	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	2	20	20	20	20	ROW 40
2	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	20	20	2	2	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	

APPENDIX 1. STORAGE VALUES USED FOR SIMULATION—Continued

2	2	2	10	2	2	2	2	20	20	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

LOWER LAYER USES A CONSTANT VALUE OF 6×10^{-4}

APPENDIX 2. STARTING HEADS USED FOR SIMULATION

(Units are feet above sea level)

UPPER LAYER (LAYER 1)										
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 1
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5384.6	5403.0	5449.2	5489.0
5504.7	5486.0	5354.2	5331.5	5306.5	5071.1	4841.1	4790.0	4622.7	4362.6	
4224.8	4180.1	4200.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 2
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5360.1	5371.6	5420.1	5472.7
5443.2	5389.9	5229.7	5340.0	5463.7	4994.5	4475.1	4570.1	4373.0	4353.2	
4199.4	4180.3	4200.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 3
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5328.5	5371.3	5405.6	5445.9
5440.7	5620.9	4951.6	4887.9	4751.4	4298.3	4242.1	4242.1	4261.1	4251.3	
4192.7	4187.6	4199.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 4
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5393.1	5391.5	5392.4	5321.1
4868.1	4760.0	4517.5	4499.9	4389.1	4271.1	4212.0	4212.0	4212.0	4227.1	
4213.0	4205.2	4200.1	4200.1	4200.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 5
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	6349.7	6033.9	5805.8	5608.6	5486.2	5469.2	5412.9	5219.7	
4834.4	4706.8	4551.2	4520.5	4351.1	4245.1	4220.0	4209.0	4209.0	4242.6	
4212.0	4212.0	4212.0	4207.9	4200.4	4200.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 6
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	5786.2	6016.7	5844.7	5752.9	5675.9	5643.9	5689.4	5583.4	5390.2	
4852.9	4797.5	4744.8	4550.1	4275.0	4305.7	4220.0	4212.0	4202.0	4340.0	
4212.0	4212.0	4242.2	4233.9	4210.1	4203.2	4200.0	4199.8	4200.4	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 7
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
5494.8	5509.0	5492.9	5732.2	5753.4	5757.3	5832.5	5903.8	5781.8	5579.0	
5323.4	4770.0	5035.7	4336.6	4219.1	4292.6	4289.3	4189.0	4147.0	4170.0	
4206.0	4224.0	4316.4	4370.9	4226.4	4208.5	4180.4	4180.2	4199.7	4207.8	
4210.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 8
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5381.9	5411.5
5452.3	5480.5	5582.3	5645.1	5940.0	5802.4	5815.8	6558.4	5743.3	5392.6	
5016.1	4387.0	4442.1	4311.5	4245.6	4423.6	4301.0	4179.2	4153.0	4179.0	
4179.0	4232.0	4255.7	4262.9	4237.3	4226.6	4196.2	4180.4	4180.2	4189.2	
4203.9	4205.0	4210.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 9
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5239.1	5306.1	5374.6	
5408.3	5913.8	6533.8	5650.2	5925.9	5682.4	5557.5	6026.1	5557.3	5484.1	
5362.2	4731.7	4632.6	4525.4	4418.5	4212.0	4212.0	4179.0	4179.0	4179.0	
4212.0	4212.0	4245.1	4265.6	4269.2	4271.6	4212.8	4214.2	4397.8	4327.6	
4189.2	4202.9	4398.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 10
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5155.5	5193.7	5224.5	5328.4	
5534.9	6469.0	6778.3	5572.0	5571.2	5557.1	5557.3	5782.3	5667.0	5557.3	
5976.6	5460.8	4811.0	4232.1	4212.0	4179.0	4150.0	4147.0	4153.0	4179.0	
4202.0	4235.4	4245.1	4278.0	4303.0	4311.3	4246.3	4247.6	4530.3	4559.1	
4601.5	4727.9	5066.4	5213.8	5015.2	4978.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

APPENDIX 2. STARTING HEADS USED FOR SIMULATION—Continued

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 11
5253.4	5257.9	5274.7	5244.1	5443.2	5093.6	5109.8	5231.4	5335.6	5426.8		
5538.3	6426.9	5975.3	5810.7	5577.6	5626.3	5557.9	5679.5	5683.9	5557.2		
5702.7	6431.1	5018.0	4245.1	4212.0	4206.0	4173.0	4153.0	4160.0	4173.0		
4212.0	4225.1	4245.3	4278.0	4323.2	4369.3	4357.6	4376.4	4506.6	5331.5		
4948.8	4942.9	5264.0	5304.0	5028.6	4895.8	4614.9	4533.0	0.0	0.0		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4669.0	4673.4	ROW 12	
4896.5	4984.7	4980.7	4980.5	5028.7	5065.7	5199.5	5301.6	5699.3	7046.8		
7507.8	6102.1	5953.0	5913.7	5900.4	5909.2	5858.2	5843.0	5778.0	5548.2		
5598.6	6301.4	4963.7	4498.0	4137.0	4219.0	4212.0	4186.0	4179.0	4206.0		
4212.0	4216.5	4213.5	4250.2	4308.1	4389.1	4393.5	4412.1	4586.6	5349.8		
5157.1	5099.4	5115.8	5149.3	4995.4	4830.7	4631.5	4524.2	0.0	0.0		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4672.4	4741.8	ROW 13	
4841.3	4917.9	4972.8	5000.8	5029.0	5196.2	5351.4	5419.7	6089.4	7413.5		
7051.8	5938.7	5958.4	5959.3	6048.3	6269.4	6371.7	6088.1	5565.1	5507.3		
5504.3	6137.4	5064.9	4765.6	4510.0	4255.0	4248.0	4212.0	4212.0	4179.0		
4212.0	4212.1	4241.5	4248.5	4268.3	4303.0	4379.8	4499.7	4731.4	5344.5		
5185.9	5152.9	5144.2	5130.9	5002.6	4765.7	4477.4	4503.7	0.0	0.0		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4656.0	4734.2	ROW 14	
4801.6	4899.1	4976.8	5096.3	5212.7	5334.9	5369.1	5393.5	6280.4	7843.6		
6015.9	5890.4	5995.2	5968.0	5954.7	6044.6	5952.5	5814.7	5737.8	5578.5		
5344.1	5278.6	5697.9	5317.6	5172.3	4969.2	4980.4	4212.0	4212.0	4212.0		
4212.0	4213.0	4237.7	4256.8	4273.6	4312.0	4381.4	4445.0	4723.0	5174.7		
5268.0	5231.3	5258.4	5226.4	5124.0	4889.9	4550.4	4507.2	0.0	0.0		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4726.3	4747.9	ROW 15	
4890.2	5067.1	5103.2	5229.7	5715.8	5564.8	5561.9	5568.1	5813.5	7473.5		
5934.6	5920.2	5952.0	5988.8	6066.1	6230.1	6096.6	5787.6	5793.5	5707.6		
5316.4	5155.7	5306.0	5271.8	5265.5	5285.3	5325.0	4827.7	4371.0	4212.0		
4212.0	4235.3	4245.3	4269.4	4287.8	4328.4	4394.4	4464.6	4840.4	5360.6		
5398.9	5520.9	5657.1	6072.1	5525.2	5223.3	5085.7	4864.6	0.0	0.0		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4747.2	4744.1	ROW 16	
5157.3	5393.4	5176.1	5312.1	5797.8	5690.0	5615.0	5544.5	5875.6	6112.4		
5952.6	5955.9	5967.9	6336.7	6191.4	6400.3	7120.7	6139.9	5820.2	5655.6		
5383.2	5229.2	5112.2	5393.1	5383.4	5353.2	5499.1	5128.2	4565.0	4212.0		
4212.0	4212.0	4212.1	4294.0	4311.4	4336.6	4397.7	4488.9	4588.6	5082.1		
5141.7	5385.2	5791.2	5571.7	5329.2	5222.8	5278.4	5046.2	4891.9	0.0		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4837.7	4758.1	ROW 17	
5843.5	5405.0	5296.5	5420.6	5872.6	5758.6	5680.4	5594.8	5898.7	6416.2		
5952.3	5962.4	6159.1	6500.5	6248.9	6246.6	6659.0	6044.8	5821.6	5859.0		
5738.1	5758.1	5603.4	5543.5	5549.5	5577.5	5650.7	6254.8	4794.3	4245.8		
4245.1	4266.1	4242.2	4245.4	4317.9	4364.8	4424.5	4496.0	4568.7	4626.9		
4665.0	4841.2	4921.5	4934.8	4985.4	5070.8	5158.9	5196.1	5213.1	5366.9		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5442.6	5702.3	ROW 18	
5606.0	5480.0	5495.2	5601.8	5770.2	5754.3	5796.6	5706.5	5913.6	6440.2		
5952.7	6460.5	6468.0	6632.2	6205.1	6293.3	6725.2	5821.8	5826.7	6377.6		
6149.2	6060.8	5787.7	5680.8	5687.2	5721.7	5771.7	5964.7	4839.2	4353.8		
4435.3	4389.6	4345.4	4281.9	4336.7	4392.2	4441.6	4506.0	4569.7	4587.1		
4586.3	4650.1	4761.6	4820.3	4897.7	5033.8	5132.7	5199.4	5216.8	5321.0		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5590.0	5729.2	ROW 19	
5731.3	5650.0	5685.3	5813.1	5844.8	5736.3	5833.9	5932.4	6165.1	6355.5		
6610.5	6498.6	6507.2	6240.4	6243.1	6649.4	6435.4	5953.1	5887.0	6500.4		
6204.2	6109.7	5819.2	5813.9	6100.9	6329.6	6734.4	5665.3	4594.4	4590.0		
4531.1	4454.8	4423.1	4399.4	4409.5	4428.7	4445.5	4459.6	4509.4	4560.2		
4570.0	4610.0	4687.6	4719.0	4784.8	4906.6	5124.6	5139.9	5199.8	5297.5		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5590.1	6049.2	ROW 20	
6053.8	6092.4	6461.0	6396.3	5937.9	5754.6	5757.2	5855.8	5787.8	6203.7		
6377.8	6132.2	6050.6	6508.2	6152.1	6425.2	6439.2	6079.3	5955.8	6081.5		
6131.4	5968.2	5890.8	6062.9	7054.4	5872.0	5337.2	4858.1	4704.2	4620.6		
4539.8	4477.3	4434.3	4432.3	4437.4	4439.1	4459.4	4517.8	4541.6	4551.0		
4555.1	4585.0	4619.0	4613.4	4673.2	5317.3	5401.4	5111.8	5085.2	5093.5		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5590.5	6077.7	ROW 21	
6112.5	6190.8	6202.2	6174.4	5990.2	5831.8	5841.3	5915.4	5787.6	6191.8		
6217.8	6084.3	6256.6	6634.0	6238.4	6215.1	6204.1	6075.9	5984.5	6128.7		
6454.8	5801.1	5707.3	5914.5	6293.5	5620.9	5059.9	4903.2	4737.1	4633.4		
4495.3	4436.0	4384.2	4418.2	4468.4	4471.8	4487.0	4518.4	4545.0	4556.6		
4575.9	4583.8	4599.1	4647.1	4742.5	5278.1	5564.5	5306.8	5176.9	5118.1		

APPENDIX 2. STARTING HEADS USED FOR SIMULATION—Continued

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5659.5	6079.9	ROW 22
6079.6	6070.4	6046.2	6011.9	6002.9	5939.7	5897.9	5975.9	5787.6	6105.1	
6133.8	6165.1	6216.6	6608.2	6266.9	6230.8	6185.6	5985.6	5984.8	6100.7	
6395.2	5736.1	5532.9	5934.7	7066.7	6288.5	5061.0	4772.0	4757.0	4680.3	
4571.2	4433.1	4389.1	4401.2	4466.7	4494.5	4511.7	4528.7	4544.4	4556.9	
4559.7	4540.2	4563.1	4573.1	4730.0	5037.6	5335.8	5513.1	5346.3	5186.3	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6209.7	6097.3	5984.7	6043.1	6114.2	ROW 23
6068.1	6030.6	6016.5	5989.7	5987.5	6038.0	6021.5	6078.5	5787.6	5874.6	
6044.1	6152.4	6181.2	6258.1	6504.3	6769.5	6653.3	6213.5	6083.3	6233.9	
6410.3	6309.0	5526.1	5527.1	6783.8	6628.4	5382.1	4863.1	4803.8	4769.2	
4661.2	4547.9	4491.7	4493.6	4535.7	4539.0	4532.2	4529.0	4542.6	4555.4	
4573.0	4573.0	4573.1	4599.4	4574.9	4923.2	5253.2	5519.4	5507.8	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6148.5	6110.6	6091.2	6373.3	6199.5	ROW 24
6104.9	6075.7	6039.2	6036.4	6107.9	6435.5	6515.3	6251.4	6002.1	6000.0	
6051.3	6110.8	6366.5	6305.6	6334.1	6431.2	6674.8	6301.6	6156.8	6313.1	
6468.1	6364.4	5527.4	5622.7	6847.7	6904.1	5350.3	5021.4	4836.6	4836.5	
4740.5	4637.5	4566.9	4569.2	4605.6	4588.1	4535.1	4475.1	4527.7	4547.6	
4616.2	4583.8	4637.7	4652.3	4618.1	5030.2	5387.5	5669.0	5657.1	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5900.2	5812.4	5851.6	6165.9	6416.4	ROW 25
6424.2	6241.2	6112.4	6072.8	6099.4	6151.0	6026.3	5954.6	5979.2	5991.2	
6033.4	6127.6	6533.4	6297.5	6258.2	6255.8	6368.0	6374.7	6377.1	6401.2	
6827.8	6500.3	5721.0	5654.4	6299.0	5994.7	5638.5	5103.4	4941.7	4923.4	
4849.4	4785.1	4686.3	4634.3	4645.1	4648.6	4525.6	4521.7	4621.8	4698.1	
4723.5	4732.1	4757.1	4774.7	4676.3	5256.1	5701.9	5932.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5652.9	5635.8	5633.4	6109.2	6471.2	ROW 26
6508.8	6495.5	6317.0	6091.6	6102.2	6056.4	6040.0	6054.0	6168.7	5911.8	
5920.7	5947.4	6413.8	6386.5	6202.0	6141.3	6105.5	6112.3	6413.0	6495.0	
6526.7	6467.0	5806.6	5788.4	5903.9	6317.4	5423.0	5106.6	4974.5	4953.4	
4929.8	4913.0	4799.8	4697.1	4669.8	4663.3	4562.1	4531.8	4605.6	4772.3	
4866.6	4896.1	4944.1	5043.4	5373.6	5786.9	6024.7	6163.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5576.4	5564.4	5522.0	5580.9	6697.0	6576.2	ROW 27
6585.1	6603.9	7193.1	6164.5	6176.8	6158.9	6140.7	6138.7	6442.4	5777.3	
5767.6	5776.9	5811.1	6369.9	6214.7	6094.4	6006.2	5900.4	6709.0	6863.4	
6576.6	6519.5	5814.9	5788.8	5847.8	6443.9	5588.8	5375.9	5277.7	5203.5	
5038.3	4866.5	4821.2	4727.2	4709.5	4704.8	4656.2	4556.3	4731.5	4879.2	
4947.5	5015.5	5319.4	5680.8	5888.6	6152.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5528.9	5461.4	5459.3	6344.8	6634.6	6612.4	ROW 28
6595.9	6641.2	6798.1	6492.3	6415.3	6666.7	6162.2	6145.7	6311.1	5631.2	
5551.8	5547.3	5608.1	6312.5	6455.0	6003.2	5834.6	5578.6	6536.8	6829.2	
6542.8	6350.9	6002.6	5788.2	5788.3	6839.5	6894.5	5703.7	5423.4	5477.9	
5240.8	4884.2	4851.2	4788.1	4761.0	4757.3	4756.2	5102.1	4959.9	4901.6	
4899.7	5157.8	5608.5	6010.3	6280.3	6544.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5557.3	5402.0	5545.3	6170.0	6663.4	6647.4	ROW 29
6640.5	6683.2	6775.8	6396.2	6524.1	6414.1	6230.0	6119.3	5813.2	5508.0	
5163.9	4976.7	5247.8	5932.1	6243.6	5820.5	5620.7	5357.5	5884.6	6179.7	
6443.2	6427.9	6025.9	5867.9	5858.0	5946.9	5882.6	5692.5	5555.0	5680.0	
5561.0	5206.4	4946.0	4871.4	4814.4	4808.2	4959.5	5487.9	5072.5	5034.1	
5044.6	5244.0	6546.8	6651.2	6701.1	6984.4	7216.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5660.6	5427.6	5586.2	6708.7	6706.9	6692.0	ROW 30
6703.9	6756.1	6476.8	6190.5	6815.6	6199.1	6539.7	5834.6	5433.4	5694.2	
4966.9	4921.3	4839.8	5262.3	5424.2	5922.1	5378.5	5295.3	5736.7	5979.2	
6109.7	6082.1	5912.9	5903.9	5906.4	5911.4	5845.4	5723.9	5793.5	5940.0	
5972.4	5669.4	5329.2	5007.4	4846.8	4838.9	5020.7	5468.1	5101.3	5052.7	
5049.0	5243.8	6439.9	6000.5	6209.7	7054.1	7261.1	7389.5	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5683.5	5503.8	5627.8	6773.5	6739.8	6726.2	ROW 31
6732.4	6753.4	6444.3	6124.0	6078.9	6051.8	6236.9	5488.5	5383.4	5203.5	
4820.9	4724.7	4705.3	4952.2	5474.8	5449.2	5368.0	5236.2	5465.5	5781.1	
5894.3	6002.7	5950.8	5905.3	5968.8	6031.1	6060.3	5904.8	5973.1	6144.9	
6205.0	6022.3	5717.4	5625.7	5442.3	5130.2	5092.7	5105.1	5035.3	4967.8	
5066.6	5184.9	5613.7	5786.6	5751.8	6524.6	7007.7	7206.6	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6210.5	5677.1	5680.2	6770.7	6756.2	6741.6	ROW 32
6743.6	6758.9	6498.7	6029.8	5936.1	5842.4	5654.4	5455.3	5364.4	5414.0	
4723.3	4645.2	4672.3	4986.2	5128.6	5176.2	5262.5	5195.0	5164.3	5545.1	
5524.8	5780.8	6094.7	5912.2	6052.0	6311.0	6655.0	6377.0	6288.0	6307.7	
6377.9	6703.9	6331.1	6406.4	6872.1	5872.0	5257.2	5024.8	5003.2	4990.3	
5032.3	5322.6	5739.1	6267.6	6228.5	6652.0	6907.5	6991.9	0.0	0.0	

APPENDIX 2. STARTING HEADS USED FOR SIMULATION—Continued

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6100.9	5645.1	5669.3	6703.8	6784.6	6773.0	ROW 33
6799.8	6721.3	6310.5	5849.9	5681.3	5508.1	5466.6	5371.9	5321.4	5390.3	
4666.9	4650.9	4672.9	4844.2	5051.3	5112.5	5181.4	5139.8	5169.1	5200.1	
5322.9	5636.5	5669.6	5889.4	6077.1	6867.2	7026.6	6234.1	6381.0	6586.2	
6498.1	6792.5	6886.5	6403.2	6272.0	6007.9	5353.9	5032.3	5052.5	5159.3	
5274.1	5460.2	5654.2	5783.6	5729.0	6398.2	6705.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	5489.9	5303.3	5387.2	5559.8	5931.7	6245.6	6707.0	ROW 34
7291.0	6117.0	5968.2	5890.2	5480.2	5357.7	5323.7	5270.2	5118.0	4930.4	
4739.5	4711.9	4828.3	4941.0	5293.7	5083.6	5047.8	4989.6	4952.9	4917.3	
4901.9	5117.9	5266.6	5623.0	5881.3	5923.3	6194.8	6155.2	6155.4	6299.8	
6451.5	6401.0	6346.4	6582.5	5751.8	5469.0	5052.1	5090.2	5150.1	5339.3	
5412.8	5515.6	5675.1	5763.3	6186.5	6456.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	5223.1	5112.0	5155.1	5234.5	5355.5	5416.6	5489.3	5758.7	ROW 35
6205.6	5688.2	5679.2	6307.0	5433.6	5272.6	5164.5	5092.3	4999.5	4868.5	
4836.7	4967.0	5122.5	5156.7	5088.0	5005.7	4923.4	4868.6	4845.5	4810.6	
4761.1	4850.4	4840.9	5393.6	6166.9	5705.2	5775.3	5840.6	5812.4	5913.3	
6245.9	5981.8	5951.7	5497.8	5288.2	5197.1	5065.4	5133.7	5187.4	5303.6	
5371.8	5395.7	5835.9	6216.5	6486.5	6628.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4630.7	4652.1	4675.7	4731.7	4837.1	4968.9	5931.3	5377.3	5397.2	5902.5	ROW 36
5621.3	5597.4	5590.3	5493.1	5379.2	5315.2	5290.8	5275.7	5024.1	5051.0	
5308.5	6024.9	5921.2	5220.0	4933.1	4856.4	4804.3	4774.1	4745.8	4682.3	
4598.6	4793.6	4813.4	4880.6	5746.3	5341.8	5193.1	5209.4	5436.6	5525.4	
5562.7	6164.2	5387.9	5186.5	5131.4	5131.1	5132.5	5238.7	5318.1	5374.0	
5427.0	5726.0	6134.9	6423.9	6590.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4582.5	4585.8	4583.1	4589.0	4607.1	4813.8	5263.2	5261.6	5255.2	5283.4	ROW 37
5472.5	5517.1	5514.9	5507.1	5484.8	5377.6	5365.7	5309.3	5043.0	4832.4	
5165.9	5566.0	5133.1	4981.5	4841.5	4772.9	4718.7	4675.2	4626.6	4559.7	
4532.8	4711.2	4615.1	4658.7	4770.0	4729.1	4728.3	4785.8	5031.6	5154.4	
5255.2	5304.9	5311.6	5282.8	5243.0	5131.4	5383.1	5443.1	5492.2	5457.0	
5460.4	5814.1	6210.9	6424.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4523.0	4514.3	4514.1	4523.6	4544.2	4595.0	5033.4	5161.1	5160.4	5175.2	ROW 38
5319.1	5455.3	5466.1	5478.6	5519.6	5389.2	5264.5	5092.3	5049.3	4986.4	
4949.5	4902.6	4869.0	4818.9	4758.4	4657.6	4612.4	4532.4	4478.5	4456.1	
4445.3	4433.7	4445.4	4485.5	4538.6	4581.4	4582.6	4584.8	4704.7	4886.4	
5049.0	5190.6	5326.7	5378.3	5430.5	5463.4	5575.1	5788.7	5864.2	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4479.2	4476.8	4479.5	4470.0	4460.4	4481.2	4764.1	5127.1	5137.4	5152.3	ROW 39
5261.3	5351.6	5392.6	5411.0	5522.3	5965.3	5306.1	5044.6	5009.3	4954.2	
4882.3	4813.0	4791.2	4793.9	4767.7	4623.7	4509.6	4356.7	4342.6	4363.8	
4379.0	4379.0	4326.9	4327.1	4411.2	4499.9	4529.4	4541.0	4617.0	4722.5	
4897.0	5119.2	5511.6	5423.6	5516.6	5581.8	5707.0	5898.9	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4436.4	4417.5	4409.6	4386.8	4391.0	4428.7	4619.7	4933.1	5077.7	5142.4	ROW 40
5196.2	5268.4	5311.6	5341.9	5373.8	5448.8	5487.2	5028.7	4997.6	4957.4	
4899.2	4834.6	4770.4	4751.6	4696.9	4475.6	4247.3	4092.2	4095.1	4134.1	
4210.5	4201.4	4121.9	4144.2	4239.3	4207.8	4257.0	4278.9	4324.9	4434.1	
4633.5	4753.3	4984.5	5190.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4406.5	4327.1	4278.4	4280.1	4305.8	4550.4	4705.2	5167.0	4904.9	4874.6	ROW 41
4932.8	5078.2	5186.9	5215.1	5255.8	5331.7	5727.1	5092.1	5034.6	5002.5	
4930.1	4871.5	4831.8	4797.0	4341.9	3967.5	3897.9	3862.6	3786.6	3817.4	
3716.3	4082.8	4054.0	4025.8	3976.1	3869.4	3752.8	3711.8	3805.9	3884.4	
4005.9	4139.7	3967.4	4279.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4330.1	4302.6	4278.5	4284.0	4297.9	4365.8	4442.0	4498.1	4507.8	4558.2	ROW 42
4574.3	4704.1	4873.7	4937.1	5021.6	5032.8	5038.2	5039.2	5092.7	5290.0	
4973.0	4765.2	4669.5	4991.0	4092.0	3825.8	3759.9	3701.0	3647.7	3589.1	
3514.3	3851.5	4013.4	3959.0	3947.5	3666.1	3449.4	3298.6	3396.0	3498.0	
3512.1	3508.4	3441.2	3670.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
5076.4	4804.0	4736.0	4473.4	4513.3	4383.7	4353.6	4276.6	4258.6	4555.2	ROW 43
4491.2	4543.8	4653.1	4745.7	4825.0	4933.0	4916.0	4948.3	4960.2	5086.3	
4834.3	4613.7	4254.0	3869.8	3768.5	3641.1	3568.1	3501.9	3449.6	3337.6	
3244.7	3234.9	3370.2	4007.0	3401.5	3263.1	2980.4	2847.9	2928.6	3009.2	
3071.2	3040.6	2906.2	3093.6	3010.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

APPENDIX 2. STARTING HEADS USED FOR SIMULATION—Continued

0.0	0.0	0.0	5167.5	4498.1	4395.9	4317.3	4252.6	4189.1	4201.2	ROW 44
4350.2	4447.4	4568.0	4742.5	4810.4	4856.8	4861.5	4853.9	4796.2	4615.4	
4386.8	4246.1	3813.2	3570.5	3514.8	3441.8	3422.1	3387.9	3301.2	3151.5	
3008.0	2854.9	2682.1	2720.3	2670.9	2735.6	2618.7	2756.0	2644.4	2634.8	
2445.0	2396.8	2361.8	2399.0	2523.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	4299.7	4270.4	4405.8	4990.6	4198.5	4038.6	3950.1	ROW 45
4103.5	4221.3	4319.8	4418.5	4564.6	4569.5	4519.3	4509.8	4625.6	4183.9	
3429.7	3059.9	3176.2	3191.2	3311.4	3456.3	3621.4	3409.3	3064.0	2870.8	
2791.3	2533.1	2221.3	2217.3	2394.6	2213.7	2188.3	2322.5	2302.2	2191.8	
2120.6	2062.0	1902.9	1853.6	1747.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	3825.0	3642.0	3574.7	3904.9	3568.5	3688.3	3814.7	ROW 46
3876.3	3969.9	4072.0	4073.8	4161.0	4185.5	4103.5	4081.8	4008.5	3711.9	
2894.2	2864.9	2976.8	2934.7	3027.9	3056.1	3049.3	3028.1	3022.4	2829.4	
2743.0	2510.9	2199.3	2184.3	2146.1	2118.5	2125.5	2115.7	2116.2	2055.6	
2011.7	1878.4	1687.5	1668.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3107.3	3042.5	2998.8	3146.7	3913.6	3696.7	ROW 47
3593.2	3701.0	4081.3	3510.1	3488.2	3611.4	3607.8	3525.6	3614.7	3078.1	
2777.1	2768.7	2794.8	2883.8	3185.9	2922.0	2866.2	2861.7	3201.3	2904.7	
2525.1	2458.7	2188.4	2160.1	2086.7	1997.7	1892.5	1876.5	1896.5	1854.7	
1752.0	1635.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2742.1	2458.0	2350.0	2549.0	2981.4	ROW 48
2796.2	2886.5	3041.4	3094.2	3163.5	2965.1	2882.1	2746.0	2633.7	2637.2	
2663.1	2688.2	2717.9	2747.5	2835.6	2848.7	2854.6	2864.6	2880.4	2849.0	
2757.8	3214.4	2717.9	2050.6	1930.1	1815.9	1598.5	1588.7	1633.8	1562.6	
1489.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1716.0	1533.2	1529.6	ROW 49
1480.2	1586.5	1970.7	2371.5	2461.4	2470.7	2537.6	2543.2	2558.8	2574.7	
2627.5	2677.3	2727.0	2798.4	2835.1	2841.0	2847.0	2856.2	2869.1	2918.2	
3073.0	3011.2	2463.0	2043.4	1956.9	1862.2	1736.3	1654.8	1595.3	1223.4	
1271.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.0	ROW 50
227.7	445.6	847.7	1481.7	1902.9	2227.6	2410.7	2455.3	2473.7	2517.9	
2548.3	2604.1	2755.5	2906.3	2960.2	2997.8	2987.6	2880.7	2811.7	2749.0	
2707.4	2562.9	2639.5	2055.8	1963.4	1931.9	1891.3	2049.0	1650.9	1345.0	
1229.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 51
-143.4	-132.0	118.2	826.9	1426.2	1917.7	2155.2	2273.0	2314.3	2377.4	
2477.3	2617.1	2834.6	3068.0	3246.1	3301.2	3322.2	3070.9	2755.1	2650.1	
2524.9	2396.8	2305.9	2175.7	2036.8	1961.7	1920.0	1907.9	1775.3	1492.1	
1221.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 52
0.0	0.0	-182.5	186.1	834.9	1499.4	1927.6	2118.6	2139.2	2136.7	
2331.2	2461.7	2801.4	3147.9	3341.7	3463.2	3562.9	3611.5	2844.5	2672.4	
2494.3	2308.4	2124.1	1975.6	1915.6	1779.6	1650.3	1519.2	1235.7	1211.5	
1204.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 53
0.0	0.0	-200.0	-228.0	184.9	952.9	1562.3	1808.9	1977.9	2087.2	
2228.4	2434.9	2762.0	2944.2	3083.3	3282.2	3477.7	3964.4	3652.7	2698.4	
2473.6	2209.9	1889.7	1749.1	1653.7	1454.7	1202.4	1200.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 54
0.0	0.0	0.0	-258.4	38.1	269.7	958.7	1358.4	1638.7	1814.7	
1898.2	2063.3	2344.6	2506.9	2693.5	2910.3	3045.7	3297.0	3496.5	2825.8	
2530.1	2227.2	1907.7	1702.8	1558.8	1504.1	1353.3	1105.7	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

APPENDIX 2. STARTING HEADS USED FOR SIMULATION—Continued

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 55
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-225.8	250.8	840.3	1275.8	1444.1	1646.0	
1735.4	1861.5	2100.3	2344.3	2536.2	2722.6	2837.3	3002.6	3257.2	3596.0	
2883.8	2464.0	2182.1	1960.7	1740.9	1579.2	1549.9	1471.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 56
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-71.3	93.5	603.6	1023.0	1333.3	
1453.8	1560.8	1778.7	2101.0	2352.5	2557.9	2706.3	2809.5	2906.0	2998.5	
2994.4	2567.0	2514.6	2337.3	1740.3	1648.6	1627.1	1573.7	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 57
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.5	3.9	274.2	628.6	1059.2	
1380.5	1391.5	1474.7	1776.2	2150.9	2406.4	2562.5	2621.2	2572.1	2652.6	
2626.7	2591.5	2561.2	2569.2	1986.9	1677.9	1728.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 58
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.6	17.5	195.6	609.5	
1049.6	1326.0	1422.6	1743.0	2397.7	2745.1	2909.1	2825.7	2572.1	2586.3	
2592.9	2605.3	2562.3	2421.3	2150.4	1938.3	1884.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 59
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	454.0	436.0	
505.0	654.0	879.0	1378.3	1843.2	2211.7	2475.6	2645.2	2723.8	2721.8	
2698.2	2690.1	2692.6	2641.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 60
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	676.2	701.1	
753.1	827.7	913.0	1062.2	1518.0	1993.7	2348.8	2657.3	2835.3	2913.6	
2841.9	2762.0	2733.7	2712.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 61
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2817.7	2876.8	2911.2	
3098.1	2855.9	2821.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
LOWER LAYER (LAYER 2)										
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 1
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5388.9	5395.8	5406.8	5452.6	
5454.0	5404.7	5274.2	5240.7	5178.8	5042.4	4854.8	4697.4	4547.2	4405.3	
4291.2	4211.8	4203.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 2
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5375.6	5382.9	5392.9	5460.5	
5435.3	5351.1	5258.4	5261.3	5285.7	5021.2	4793.3	4637.3	4492.5	4363.4	
4244.4	4201.9	4200.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 3
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5353.3	5369.0	5360.9	5329.3	
5285.8	5302.7	5001.5	4903.2	4794.7	4521.4	4397.7	4343.2	4310.7	4271.4	
4218.4	4199.5	4200.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 4
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5413.1	5398.1	5360.7	5276.8	
5080.2	4855.1	4624.3	4597.5	4479.2	4335.8	4261.9	4238.9	4231.1	4230.7	
4216.7	4207.6	4203.8	4205.0	4204.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

APPENDIX 2. STARTING HEADS USED FOR SIMULATION—Continued

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 5
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	5863.4	5805.6	5721.9	5614.8	5516.2	5480.7	5450.2	5226.7	
4856.6	4727.0	4604.6	4576.3	4430.5	4301.4	4248.8	4228.1	4225.3	4237.9	
4221.5	4215.3	4211.7	4208.4	4206.6	4205.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 6
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	5752.3	5762.5	5755.3	5734.6	5674.2	5619.5	5606.8	5580.2	5387.5	
4964.3	4799.0	4710.5	4582.9	4453.2	4362.7	4300.7	4268.3	4261.8	4288.6	
4248.6	4232.2	4223.9	4214.9	4209.9	4205.0	4202.7	4202.6	4207.1	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 7
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5472.5	
5509.8	5568.0	5580.3	5692.6	5716.8	5720.4	5717.4	5725.1	5727.6	5580.7	
5276.4	4780.5	4828.5	4614.9	4472.5	4386.8	4324.5	4277.0	4251.6	4245.5	
4240.1	4240.2	4245.8	4242.2	4227.7	4215.2	4210.4	4205.6	4248.9	4305.9	
4323.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 8
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5394.5	5428.2	
5465.8	5515.0	5588.1	5640.2	5779.1	5752.8	5736.4	5971.5	5683.6	5377.3	
4922.8	4785.5	4723.9	4618.9	4485.0	4402.9	4331.8	4277.6	4247.6	4236.9	
4235.5	4243.3	4254.8	4255.2	4246.2	4238.2	4241.2	4289.6	4493.8	4611.4	
4639.7	4674.5	4693.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 9
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5254.2	5309.2	5377.8	
5434.6	5826.9	6044.6	5671.3	5755.1	5659.4	5614.8	5771.2	5618.7	5558.8	
5316.9	4917.8	4743.0	4641.4	4494.3	4384.6	4315.7	4270.2	4245.2	4235.2	
4236.7	4243.8	4250.9	4263.3	4268.2	4272.6	4280.4	4308.6	4490.1	4671.6	
4703.1	4733.9	4757.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 10
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5178.6	5225.3	5290.0	5425.0	
5674.1	6127.5	5954.0	5689.0	5614.6	5594.8	5600.6	5689.7	5638.7	5591.6	
5542.5	5436.2	4971.7	4634.0	4455.9	4356.4	4296.4	4260.1	4240.5	4234.1	
4237.1	4246.1	4253.1	4270.4	4286.7	4299.7	4305.5	4416.0	4590.8	4751.6	
4792.5	4834.9	4891.5	4942.2	4925.1	4908.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 11
5116.7	5103.5	5103.7	5112.6	5136.1	5126.9	5182.7	5330.2	5439.7	5636.0	
5919.3	5969.7	5842.9	5760.7	5672.9	5651.0	5605.3	5643.5	5642.9	5558.1	
5505.9	5469.6	5011.0	4458.3	4356.4	4323.9	4293.2	4266.1	4247.4	4238.3	
4239.2	4245.3	4257.1	4276.4	4304.8	4337.5	4376.9	4458.7	4714.3	4896.9	
4887.4	4909.0	4956.2	4965.4	4919.7	4867.9	4786.9	4741.2	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4695.3	4744.7	ROW 12
4923.5	4999.8	5002.7	5017.5	5063.6	5138.7	5327.0	5567.8	5888.2	6645.2	
6869.9	6226.8	5949.4	5912.2	5874.8	5878.2	5830.4	5775.9	5653.0	5451.6	
5340.1	5151.9	4666.6	4369.7	4262.8	4343.1	4339.2	4306.8	4274.2	4249.4	
4239.1	4242.0	4249.6	4261.5	4301.8	4378.8	4445.0	4501.6	4764.8	5088.0	
5009.7	4990.1	4977.8	4951.4	4922.6	4891.5	4800.9	4719.8	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4702.9	4771.1	ROW 13
4850.0	4928.3	4978.8	5027.9	5091.5	5242.9	5413.7	5752.8	6252.3	6944.0	
6741.5	6230.9	6025.8	5994.8	6034.0	5985.0	5942.7	5817.5	5637.5	5467.5	
5224.2	4956.8	4673.6	4582.5	4541.9	4589.3	4509.9	4405.6	4328.8	4267.5	
4240.8	4240.6	4249.6	4262.3	4277.2	4307.6	4402.1	4520.2	4764.8	5128.4	
5120.6	5069.2	5031.8	4982.2	4946.9	4857.1	4718.0	4591.5	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4662.7	4741.8	ROW 14
4806.9	4904.3	5023.9	5133.9	5218.8	5326.0	5434.7	5654.7	6303.3	7023.4	
6241.4	6139.0	6091.4	6064.6	6020.9	6031.6	5960.7	5831.1	5686.4	5421.7	
5029.4	4897.2	4849.9	4833.8	4804.9	4789.2	4714.9	4534.2	4403.9	4299.2	
4245.8	4232.1	4244.8	4264.8	4298.2	4340.9	4388.5	4435.4	4656.0	5010.1	
5167.2	5171.5	5150.7	5080.7	5022.4	4948.7	4735.5	4615.6	0.0	0.0	

APPENDIX 2. STARTING HEADS USED FOR SIMULATION—Continued

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4727.5	4755.7	ROW 15
4910.5	5056.5	5149.8	5272.2	5659.2	5563.4	5599.8	5708.9	6134.4	6698.5		
6168.2	6117.1	6106.6	6109.0	6099.9	6105.4	6034.1	5900.3	5801.0	5602.7		
5227.2	4991.2	4984.3	5090.4	5020.5	4975.7	4896.3	4702.4	4512.9	4354.7		
4276.0	4254.9	4257.7	4275.6	4299.0	4337.3	4388.3	4434.6	4621.6	4967.1		
5250.3	5302.2	5329.1	5538.8	5364.4	5172.6	5044.3	4904.7	0.0	0.0		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4783.8	4854.1	ROW 16
5130.5	5276.4	5206.2	5504.1	5706.2	5673.1	5646.8	5675.6	5944.6	6128.9		
6103.9	6097.6	6100.3	6216.3	6196.9	6151.4	6075.2	6019.5	5886.1	5647.6		
5305.4	5093.0	5073.4	5100.5	5101.6	5079.1	4985.9	4801.3	4618.6	4444.6		
4351.7	4316.8	4295.9	4302.8	4319.7	4347.0	4398.3	4460.1	4538.8	4877.5		
5120.6	5289.6	5326.3	5291.0	5261.4	5195.9	5181.4	5070.7	5005.8	0.0		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4856.2	5011.6	ROW 17
5517.0	5426.6	5315.1	5451.2	5808.5	5753.3	5720.8	5724.9	5977.8	6143.5		
6115.3	6125.1	6184.1	6357.2	6299.4	6288.7	6324.1	6062.4	5923.7	5850.5		
5723.9	5544.3	5324.9	5198.4	5249.8	5278.7	4919.5	4770.7	4674.8	4540.2		
4452.3	4360.8	4303.5	4326.9	4339.9	4349.4	4385.4	4433.6	4537.8	4653.8		
4746.0	4897.1	4986.6	5045.1	5041.9	5075.2	5122.6	5169.4	5222.9	5316.5		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5457.7	5585.5	ROW 18
5613.7	5591.6	5554.4	5650.5	5775.4	5768.5	5791.6	5832.4	5939.5	6372.1		
6132.3	6308.5	6306.4	6336.5	6320.2	6342.1	6388.0	6067.0	6044.3	6323.8		
6025.8	5769.2	5710.1	5604.8	5575.1	5570.7	5483.0	5232.1	4706.0	4576.8		
4488.7	4408.6	4369.1	4373.9	4372.5	4383.4	4427.4	4487.1	4553.7	4612.3		
4678.8	4726.6	4796.4	4882.8	4946.9	5023.3	5096.2	5159.4	5218.5	5288.0		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5669.6	5690.5	ROW 19
5696.2	5708.8	5755.9	5807.2	5804.9	5781.1	5834.0	5934.0	6147.0	6340.2		
6346.6	6315.1	6291.8	6279.8	6309.3	6449.5	6403.4	6111.4	6103.0	6446.0		
6121.2	5883.2	5831.1	5812.3	5838.4	5966.3	5802.5	4906.3	4749.2	4626.9		
4532.7	4452.2	4412.8	4411.5	4411.5	4430.6	4454.9	4482.9	4530.7	4578.4		
4630.2	4682.2	4741.3	4800.0	4848.0	4974.2	5074.3	5140.0	5198.4	5259.0		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5698.7	5882.8	ROW 20
5973.3	6027.8	6172.7	6058.9	5800.6	5791.9	5806.5	5871.7	5905.6	6146.3		
6263.3	6210.4	6200.3	6195.3	6183.5	6169.9	6154.0	6130.4	6105.2	6118.2		
6064.4	5909.3	5882.8	5911.4	5983.2	5851.0	5318.0	4799.8	4721.4	4631.5		
4546.4	4479.8	4448.2	4446.4	4443.1	4449.9	4458.5	4499.8	4536.7	4571.9		
4609.6	4656.4	4712.4	4779.7	4873.6	5015.7	5106.2	5137.0	5166.0	5183.8		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5729.1	5991.2	ROW 21
6029.8	6012.2	5968.5	5934.6	5880.8	5855.5	5857.6	5892.5	5893.7	6116.6		
6153.5	6159.6	6167.5	6172.5	6166.3	6149.4	6140.5	6127.9	6116.5	6129.7		
6203.1	5879.1	5806.6	5841.0	5834.6	5601.6	5160.2	4905.6	4755.4	4626.5		
4521.6	4492.1	4479.3	4478.5	4471.2	4474.2	4489.3	4517.2	4544.4	4570.0		
4594.0	4644.2	4702.0	4779.0	4885.9	5030.0	5131.8	5209.9	5197.0	5191.7		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5853.3	6062.1	ROW 22
6056.1	6050.7	6024.0	5995.4	5977.2	5944.1	5935.0	5942.0	5884.9	6068.7		
6132.5	6139.4	6147.8	6160.2	6164.6	6143.7	6129.3	6101.0	6110.5	6131.7		
6175.6	5761.7	5670.6	5827.6	5978.0	5933.3	5188.1	4888.4	4810.6	4723.9		
4582.8	4518.8	4511.8	4510.8	4496.1	4501.9	4517.9	4535.4	4555.1	4578.0		
4605.6	4643.2	4697.8	4773.3	4882.8	5021.6	5149.1	5234.1	5244.1	5222.6		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6150.6	6079.0	6017.6	6045.1	6089.3		ROW 23
6070.3	6043.1	6023.1	6004.8	6002.6	6028.5	6023.5	6025.0	5901.8	5979.1		
6101.7	6113.4	6131.2	6163.3	6202.2	6210.2	6173.6	6160.3	6158.8	6178.1		
6215.3	6035.4	5798.7	5777.9	6043.2	6153.5	5369.3	4993.3	4934.9	4804.9		
4643.7	4553.0	4544.8	4544.8	4543.7	4542.3	4545.9	4552.1	4563.9	4585.3		
4616.4	4652.7	4710.5	4786.4	4886.5	5030.9	5173.0	5275.0	5313.1	0.0		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6030.9	5969.5	6024.4	6178.1	6165.4		ROW 24
6113.2	6079.3	6050.0	6049.4	6083.9	6194.5	6107.3	6066.8	6010.3	6019.7		
6060.6	6070.2	6115.0	6154.3	6180.6	6257.5	6215.0	6182.2	6196.2	6226.1		
6281.7	6116.7	6074.4	6051.8	6105.7	6128.7	5311.3	5137.5	5043.2	4886.2		
4704.9	4584.7	4575.9	4580.6	4591.0	4584.8	4574.2	4567.0	4561.4	4584.9		
4636.3	4651.9	4747.5	4834.0	4938.6	5096.5	5249.1	5343.6	5375.4	0.0		

APPENDIX 2. STARTING HEADS USED FOR SIMULATION—Continued

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5733.5	5728.6	5774.4	6063.7	6311.3	ROW 25
6337.0	6230.8	6125.2	6077.2	6082.9	6101.3	6052.5	6013.7	6006.6	5994.4	
6002.2	6011.2	6048.4	6102.2	6114.1	6098.2	6094.2	6100.9	6242.2	6277.5	
6425.0	6167.9	6106.6	6036.2	5983.9	5814.9	5463.8	5157.7	5101.9	4969.2	
4832.8	4680.0	4611.9	4621.3	4629.9	4621.9	4613.6	4622.0	4654.0	4698.2	
4746.7	4794.5	4857.0	4927.4	5022.8	5205.8	5390.4	5644.9	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5660.0	5660.7	5663.3	6090.0	6457.1	ROW 26
6496.4	6455.4	6281.4	6093.0	6062.4	6049.5	6040.4	6055.5	6092.8	5945.2	
5921.3	5933.7	5976.7	6051.7	6041.4	5967.7	5929.1	5948.6	6146.1	6341.2	
6403.3	6276.4	6071.3	6019.5	5935.3	5870.5	5373.7	5204.1	5165.6	5034.0	
4918.2	4760.1	4651.7	4645.0	4649.4	4661.3	4657.3	4671.5	4734.5	4802.5	
4869.4	4933.8	4996.2	5036.9	5309.3	5653.5	5695.1	5768.4	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5621.5	5634.5	5636.2	5791.6	6439.9	6538.9	ROW 27
6571.4	6596.9	6648.1	6163.8	6075.6	6057.5	6054.2	6084.8	6104.8	5827.6	
5810.6	5851.5	5876.8	5954.9	6004.9	5827.4	5820.8	5828.5	5830.8	6384.9	
6431.0	6420.6	6044.6	5918.2	5859.4	5795.4	5539.4	5314.1	5287.3	5203.5	
5044.0	4855.5	4695.6	4672.9	4678.3	4684.7	4685.2	4706.4	4864.7	4923.5	
4999.3	5095.8	5208.7	5513.5	5865.0	6085.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5593.9	5596.0	5602.4	6288.2	6540.0	6570.3	ROW 28
6596.8	6626.1	6614.8	6393.2	6298.2	6290.2	6069.9	6011.0	5882.5	5695.7	
5606.8	5634.2	5692.2	5788.6	5837.1	5759.5	5734.6	5651.4	5712.2	6658.9	
6311.3	6224.8	6053.1	5958.9	5863.1	5905.5	5815.8	5581.4	5387.7	5388.7	
5262.4	5128.6	4890.1	4739.1	4697.1	4698.0	4702.4	4930.4	4990.7	5027.2	
5107.3	5258.9	5497.9	5804.1	6048.1	6221.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5592.6	5586.1	5598.1	6120.1	6547.9	6590.5	ROW 29
6620.6	6653.8	6570.1	6275.0	6113.2	6102.2	6091.6	5981.5	5769.4	5566.5	
5465.2	5240.3	5309.7	5480.9	5785.4	5689.2	5644.7	5646.1	5687.1	5698.7	
5753.0	6096.9	6011.2	5911.1	5889.3	5885.5	5865.0	5750.9	5576.3	5661.9	
5507.7	5314.3	5103.0	4866.3	4711.4	4710.9	4717.1	5108.4	5073.7	5092.4	
5153.2	5273.2	5967.5	6326.5	6492.9	6596.5	6709.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5610.8	5607.5	5612.7	6290.5	6580.3	6611.4	ROW 30
6628.1	6638.8	6413.3	6174.4	6110.7	6089.2	6066.6	5878.4	5614.6	5423.1	
5233.1	5022.6	4991.7	5219.6	5457.3	5678.8	5537.2	5567.7	5616.7	5620.6	
5858.6	5995.7	5960.3	5932.4	5921.5	5912.5	5870.8	5802.4	5839.7	5915.5	
5872.4	5664.0	5447.8	5021.7	4724.0	4721.1	4729.3	5368.0	5101.2	5090.6	
5117.3	5351.8	6101.1	6238.5	6366.3	6573.8	6724.5	6810.5	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5636.8	5623.2	5625.7	6331.6	6601.1	6626.1	ROW 31
6633.0	6620.6	6424.6	6097.2	6025.6	5953.8	5858.2	5619.8	5383.1	5147.7	
4983.4	4882.4	4813.3	4915.8	5415.5	5430.0	5418.3	5290.3	5442.4	5490.3	
5598.6	5871.4	5915.3	5929.3	5982.7	6040.4	6062.0	5989.2	6020.6	6112.7	
6133.3	6005.9	5761.9	5450.1	4887.8	4882.9	4994.3	5060.3	5043.5	5022.9	
5077.9	5281.2	5747.9	6104.4	6238.3	6450.8	6629.5	6728.9	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5688.5	5648.9	5650.7	6340.4	6610.3	6633.8	ROW 32
6634.0	6606.7	6276.0	5738.2	5750.0	5714.7	5620.9	5419.6	5147.3	4893.7	
4810.4	4744.9	4762.1	4765.4	4929.9	5178.2	5307.6	5284.7	5291.9	5327.0	
5324.9	5638.7	5920.6	5951.5	6100.4	6293.2	6474.0	6342.3	6290.4	6318.0	
6386.2	6532.0	6335.9	6273.7	6163.9	5646.6	5236.9	5047.8	5033.0	5047.2	
5132.5	5373.6	5679.9	5965.6	6164.8	6368.7	6533.1	6644.2	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5640.5	5623.9	5669.0	6273.0	6595.8	6682.3	ROW 33
6692.5	6538.8	6113.4	5688.4	5620.3	5546.4	5466.3	5361.9	5233.4	4963.7	
4799.0	4774.8	4777.7	4783.5	4914.4	5124.3	5238.9	5221.8	5224.4	5226.7	
5262.7	5481.1	5663.4	5895.5	6142.0	6564.9	6728.8	6390.2	6392.4	6481.3	
6504.2	6649.1	6672.5	6438.7	6243.0	5722.0	5235.3	5100.2	5099.1	5181.5	
5312.4	5486.4	5685.5	5878.3	6050.1	6241.0	6378.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	5403.2	5436.0	5493.3	5576.6	5903.8	6204.0	6511.1	ROW 34
6657.8	6159.4	5951.4	5730.6	5570.7	5438.7	5364.7	5311.0	5154.0	4918.8	
4765.4	4758.2	4823.8	4803.0	5047.3	5088.4	5116.6	5052.8	5045.1	5053.0	
5035.0	5138.6	5264.7	5568.7	5883.3	5982.8	6076.2	6079.3	6154.5	6294.1	
6376.8	6358.0	6295.2	6191.5	5733.1	5466.4	5283.7	5173.9	5199.0	5311.9	
5413.7	5555.0	5720.0	5883.6	6044.7	6158.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

APPENDIX 2. STARTING HEADS USED FOR SIMULATION—Continued

0.0	0.0	5199.1	5096.4	5167.3	5262.0	5327.4	5462.4	5598.7	5769.7	ROW 35
5782.3	5701.9	5662.7	5613.3	5471.6	5341.8	5237.4	5222.6	5067.8	4881.2	
4850.4	4975.3	5080.6	5134.1	5037.9	4976.1	4964.7	4907.4	4872.7	4886.6	
4855.4	4871.4	5015.9	5395.2	5794.9	5585.1	5518.9	5638.8	5746.7	5950.8	
6122.2	5961.9	5766.9	5472.5	5395.8	5330.2	5280.7	5266.0	5280.0	5327.1	
5426.2	5589.4	5778.7	5951.8	6078.2	6337.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4630.8	4661.8	4804.1	4842.4	4894.5	4991.7	5509.4	5474.7	5508.7	5574.3	ROW 36
5607.8	5591.7	5554.9	5481.8	5456.9	5438.4	5331.0	5243.2	5092.5	5095.5	
5304.0	5616.1	5514.6	5149.3	4922.0	4870.6	4824.8	4776.8	4760.5	4761.6	
4757.6	4780.4	4780.7	5007.6	5650.2	5220.1	5097.8	5005.3	5144.0	5447.7	
5592.7	5549.8	5414.4	5382.0	5360.5	5332.4	5304.2	5304.1	5331.0	5365.9	
5469.4	5657.1	5846.9	5999.3	6095.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4596.6	4632.9	4694.6	4747.3	4761.8	4849.6	5142.6	5243.6	5317.6	5455.1	ROW 37
5480.7	5500.7	5495.3	5464.1	5443.5	5425.0	5375.1	5267.4	5096.3	5058.3	
5307.9	5411.6	5212.4	5032.4	4870.7	4759.6	4672.1	4627.5	4644.3	4649.0	
4672.3	4710.1	4727.0	4713.0	4758.0	4823.2	4830.3	4771.4	4845.4	5079.7	
5273.9	5381.8	5369.2	5359.8	5351.3	5344.3	5345.7	5356.4	5376.3	5398.1	
5498.6	5687.2	5862.4	5970.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4575.6	4592.8	4621.4	4648.6	4665.4	4717.5	4863.4	4998.5	5072.0	5241.8	ROW 38
5404.9	5439.2	5443.4	5443.1	5445.9	5358.9	5268.3	5140.5	5053.8	4989.0	
4960.6	4919.3	4879.3	4823.9	4722.9	4589.2	4482.7	4496.2	4532.0	4537.9	
4479.5	4471.0	4530.7	4526.8	4544.6	4588.3	4618.1	4632.2	4688.8	4877.9	
5039.3	5186.3	5222.9	5247.6	5309.5	5360.4	5407.1	5450.2	5467.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4540.9	4548.2	4563.8	4579.1	4591.1	4636.9	4755.9	5092.2	5122.5	5198.3	ROW 39
5296.4	5359.5	5390.1	5407.3	5467.9	5545.7	5246.8	5095.0	5032.2	4957.8	
4884.7	4817.6	4793.4	4785.7	4605.0	4278.7	4182.3	4219.0	4251.3	4269.0	
4225.4	4184.3	4247.2	4314.2	4301.8	4417.4	4436.4	4489.3	4506.5	4679.7	
4902.8	4994.7	5027.0	5055.3	5200.8	5350.4	5446.5	5505.2	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4505.8	4511.4	4510.5	4522.5	4531.3	4549.8	4667.6	4911.7	5040.6	5142.2	ROW 40
5253.1	5291.4	5321.8	5354.5	5377.8	5404.4	5416.2	5059.8	5000.6	4955.7	
4899.3	4837.2	4773.4	4745.8	4642.3	4065.1	3990.3	3945.2	3811.7	3874.1	
4020.1	3976.1	3968.0	3974.1	3993.9	4071.5	4134.3	4180.4	4239.9	4346.2	
4596.2	4749.0	4752.2	4790.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4480.9	4483.2	4476.4	4479.5	4490.5	4536.7	4667.0	4888.9	4843.5	4852.9	ROW 41
4937.7	5076.9	5182.0	5215.1	5256.7	5330.3	5441.4	5145.4	5049.5	5002.9	
4929.0	4866.2	4817.3	4599.5	4213.0	3879.0	3856.8	3800.4	3695.4	3611.6	
3687.5	3776.1	3831.7	3872.1	3854.9	3837.1	3811.4	3794.6	3827.4	3920.7	
4014.6	4131.0	4063.0	4206.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4481.9	4459.2	4429.3	4428.1	4439.5	4439.8	4453.6	4480.5	4506.5	4543.9	ROW 42
4567.8	4703.8	4858.3	4940.2	5009.1	5041.4	5045.4	5033.8	5058.0	5113.4	
4903.9	4739.0	4551.0	4211.7	3979.9	3838.1	3798.4	3735.3	3652.5	3566.2	
3503.7	3480.7	3505.2	3472.2	3546.2	3604.9	3455.2	3336.6	3380.6	3475.7	
3494.2	3505.5	3478.9	3592.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4605.9	4561.7	4511.7	4481.1	4460.4	4426.6	4391.0	4399.7	4413.8	4450.3	ROW 43
4467.4	4528.0	4625.1	4709.2	4784.6	4856.8	4865.9	4913.5	4933.6	4980.7	
4813.9	4600.9	4271.6	3893.1	3775.0	3648.9	3600.3	3509.9	3473.2	3426.0	
3376.6	3302.3	3242.1	3271.9	3217.3	3232.1	2956.8	2911.7	2921.2	2907.4	
2904.7	2876.2	2806.7	2869.6	2773.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	4558.6	4485.7	4438.0	4407.1	4365.1	4344.5	4350.3	ROW 44
4369.3	4406.2	4439.7	4497.2	4559.4	4625.0	4706.1	4788.5	4810.0	4615.8	
4356.4	4200.7	3804.7	3575.2	3515.6	3470.5	3454.5	3389.4	3275.3	3099.3	
2958.7	2819.4	2772.6	2717.1	2571.1	2422.3	2381.5	2477.6	2485.0	2301.2	
2237.0	2275.4	2317.3	2398.3	2444.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

APPENDIX 2. STARTING HEADS USED FOR SIMULATION—Continued

0.0	0.0	0.0	4295.1	4279.4	4403.5	4868.4	4203.3	4042.8	4026.2	ROW 45
4106.2	4219.6	4291.2	4331.5	4391.2	4438.0	4469.6	4489.1	4481.4	4106.4	
3089.4	3016.2	2983.6	3170.3	3305.7	3446.4	3573.2	3385.9	2932.3	2610.6	
2550.5	2400.9	2307.8	2253.5	2192.9	2126.0	2092.6	2110.4	2065.5	2070.2	
2101.3	2134.7	2152.4	2154.9	2180.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	3829.7	3633.0	3563.2	3533.9	3544.0	3672.0	3781.4	ROW 46
3874.0	3969.7	4067.6	4080.7	4169.9	4222.8	4236.8	4226.9	4010.5	3650.4	
2848.4	2839.1	2842.0	2862.2	2982.8	2985.6	2833.3	2868.7	2657.4	2626.2	
2485.8	2274.7	2206.2	2161.9	2099.7	2046.1	2008.8	1993.9	1995.8	2005.7	
2021.1	2009.9	2005.3	2002.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3096.5	3050.8	3080.1	3147.2	3488.2	3657.2	ROW 47
3598.5	3691.6	3876.4	3691.1	3632.2	3619.7	3599.7	3528.3	3559.5	3037.2	
2767.8	2767.4	2770.8	2840.2	2976.1	2875.2	2800.0	2779.7	2742.0	2694.4	
2507.6	2206.6	2152.9	2110.6	2048.8	1975.8	1932.4	1940.1	1950.5	1943.8	
1926.4	1911.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2828.5	2780.1	2761.9	2751.1	2779.7	ROW 48
2782.1	2934.3	3064.3	3335.8	3126.0	2934.3	2816.9	2709.9	2635.6	2642.3	
2671.5	2686.7	2703.1	2715.1	2738.4	2761.7	2777.4	2772.6	2727.3	2609.9	
2388.4	2206.8	2148.2	2072.9	1971.4	1871.2	1805.2	1842.7	1847.6	1825.7	
1804.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2528.8	2053.3	1723.5	ROW 49
1565.8	1607.5	1998.2	2552.0	2514.5	2503.6	2557.1	2568.3	2572.8	2586.4	
2610.3	2636.3	2664.4	2689.0	2729.3	2772.2	2788.6	2797.7	2790.5	2645.6	
2435.9	2216.7	2150.6	2070.5	1991.4	1927.9	1877.3	1772.8	1740.9	1699.3	
1521.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	337.8	ROW 50
384.5	439.3	852.6	1523.6	2092.6	2296.0	2381.0	2461.6	2496.4	2512.6	
2542.7	2572.1	2630.8	2707.0	2769.8	2809.7	2830.2	2848.2	2790.2	2697.7	
2605.8	2387.7	2256.3	2114.7	2000.1	1946.2	1922.7	1910.7	1684.4	1580.2	
1402.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 51
-69.7	-116.1	120.4	829.5	1627.4	2013.0	2200.4	2336.8	2408.4	2422.4	
2476.4	2527.0	2617.8	2757.8	2852.7	2881.8	2903.2	2949.0	2857.1	2723.8	
2577.3	2420.0	2289.4	2162.7	2053.2	1978.6	1935.3	1851.6	1688.6	1491.2	
1310.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 52
0.0	0.0	-64.9	202.1	867.5	1497.7	1957.2	2255.8	2301.7	2281.9	
2300.5	2459.0	2733.3	2992.4	3095.5	3263.1	3357.2	3385.9	3065.9	2814.4	
2598.0	2403.4	2235.4	2110.2	1957.1	1813.8	1696.3	1549.7	1357.8	1276.8	
1238.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 53
0.0	0.0	-140.9	-49.7	341.7	936.2	1468.3	1810.6	1999.4	2132.9	
2263.4	2399.8	2672.8	2879.1	3036.5	3171.4	3351.7	3535.3	3226.0	2893.4	
2619.2	2372.0	2137.8	1902.8	1674.9	1619.3	1545.6	1494.3	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 54
0.0	0.0	0.0	-130.4	104.1	435.9	960.0	1353.9	1628.3	1819.2	
1971.5	2116.8	2338.9	2565.9	2770.5	2899.1	3015.3	3105.9	3235.9	2933.6	
2649.1	2388.0	2160.0	1989.9	1595.6	1543.6	1507.3	1463.6	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

APPENDIX 2. STARTING HEADS USED FOR SIMULATION—Continued

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 55
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-38.8	292.6	750.4	1145.0	1395.6	1613.6	
1804.8	2005.9	2134.1	2326.9	2571.2	2777.0	2915.6	3006.3	3148.0	3216.5	
2834.6	2488.0	2224.4	2001.3	1766.6	1620.1	1558.9	1504.6	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 56
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.9	255.7	638.8	1005.3	1314.6	
1575.7	1848.1	1967.5	2159.4	2312.1	2530.1	2716.4	2824.0	2894.4	2940.2	
2859.2	2597.6	2451.8	2241.6	1860.9	1699.0	1637.7	1583.1	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 57
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	104.0	336.7	659.7	1035.4	
1399.1	1669.9	1727.1	1876.4	2181.4	2421.1	2579.8	2645.0	2640.6	2672.0	
2648.3	2591.2	2526.1	2410.8	2036.3	1791.3	1751.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 58
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.4	128.5	343.7	669.4	
1021.9	1319.8	1435.2	1762.9	2235.4	2564.2	2736.4	2735.3	2631.9	2620.4	
2615.0	2601.6	2546.3	2410.7	2154.8	1960.7	1889.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 59
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	482.5	528.1	
636.1	800.9	1028.7	1418.5	1841.5	2200.4	2462.4	2626.5	2700.5	2716.8	
2704.4	2688.7	2670.6	2630.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 60
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	654.0	683.6	
745.0	837.9	968.8	1191.0	1583.8	2001.1	2345.7	2631.8	2788.6	2854.2	
2830.9	2774.2	2739.5	2709.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ROW 61
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2980.2	2868.3	2824.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2806.3	2859.9	2909.6	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

APPENDIX 3. PUMPAGE DATA USED IN SIMULATION

(Pumpage units are cubic feet per second)

FIRST STRESS PERIOD						
LAYER	ROW	COLUMN	RATE	PERMIT NO.	BASIN	
2	47	29	-1.7265	54061	168	
1	47	29	-1.72650	54060	168	
1	47	29	-1.72650	54068	168	
2	48	30	-1.7265	54069	168	
2	41	25	-0.6906	53949	169A	
2	42	27	-0.6906	53948	169A	
1	42	27	-0.69060	53947	169A	
2	45	30	-0.6906	53952	169B	
2	46	31	-0.6880	53951	169B	
1	47	31	-0.68800	53950	169B	
2	47	35	-1.7260	54058	210	
2	47	35	-1.7260	54059	210	
1	47	34	-1.72600	54056	210	
1	48	34	-1.72600	54057	210	
2	48	30	-0.7670	54066	211	
2	49	29	-0.7670	54065	211	
2	49	28	-0.7670	54106	211	
2	50	27	-0.7670	54064	211	
1	50	28	-0.7670	54063	211	
1	51	28	-0.7670	54062	211	
2	49	30	-0.7670	54072	211	
2	50	30	-0.7670	54070	211	
2	50	30	-0.7670	54071	211	
2	50	35	-2.7620	54073	216	
2	50	34	-2.7620	54074	217	
2	49	38	-1.726	54075	218	
2	48	35	-1.726	54076	218	
SECOND STRESS PERIOD						
LAYER	ROW	COLUMN	RATE	PERMIT NO.	BASIN	
2	47	29	-1.7265	54061	168	
1	47	29	-1.7265	54060	168	
1	47	29	-1.7265	54068	168	
2	48	30	-1.7265	54069	168	
2	41	25	-0.6906	53949	169A	
2	42	27	-0.6906	53948	169A	
1	42	27	-0.69060	53947	169A	
2	45	30	-0.6906	53952	169B	
2	46	31	-0.6906	53951	169B	
1	47	31	-0.69060	53950	169B	
2	35	28	-2.0720	53958	171	
1	36	28	-2.07200	53956	171	
1	39	28	-2.07200	53957	171A	
2	39	27	-2.0720	53959	171	
1	32	31	-1.3810	53988	180	
1	33	31	-1.38100	53987	180	
2	38	34	-1.7260	53990	181A	
1	38	33	-1.72600	53989	181	
1	40	32	-2.07200	53991	182	
2	41	34	-2.0720	53992	182	
2	35	37	-1.3810	54033	202	
1	35	36	-1.38100	54031	202	
2	36	38	-1.3810	54034	202	
1	36	37	-1.38100	54032	202	
1	36	31	-0.98600	54043	208	
2	36	30	-0.9860	54048	208	
1	36	30	-0.98600	54044	208	
2	37	30	-0.9860	54045	208	

APPENDIX 3. PUMPAGE DATA USED IN SIMULATION—Continued

2	38	30	-0.9860	54046	208
2	38	31	-0.9860	54049	208
2	39	30	-0.9860	54047	208
2	47	35	-1.7260	54058	210
2	47	35	-1.7260	54059	210
1	47	34	-1.72600	54056	210
1	48	34	-1.72600	54057	210
2	48	30	-0.7670	54066	211
2	49	29	-0.7670	54065	211
2	49	28	-0.7670	54106	211
2	50	27	-0.7670	54064	211
1	50	28	-0.7670	54063	211
1	51	28	-0.7670	54062	211
2	49	30	-0.7670	54072	211
2	50	30	-0.7670	54070	211
2	50	30	-0.7670	54071	211
2	50	35	-2.7620	54073	216
2	50	34	-2.7620	54074	217
2	49	38	-1.726	54075	218
2	48	35	-1.726	54076	218
THIRD STRESS PERIOD					
LAYER ROW COLUMN RATE PERMIT NO. BASIN					
2	47	29	-1.7265	54061	168
1	47	29	-1.72650	54060	168
1	47	29	-1.72650	54068	168
2	48	30	-1.7265	54069	168
2	41	25	-0.6906	53949	169A
2	42	27	-0.6906	53948	169A
1	42	27	-0.69060	53947	169A
2	45	30	-0.6906	53952	169B
2	46	31	-0.6906	53951	169B
1	47	31	-0.69060	53950	169B
2	35	28	-2.0720	53958	171
1	36	28	-2.07200	53956	171
1	39	28	-2.07200	53957	171A
2	39	27	-2.0720	53959	171
1	32	31	-1.3810	53988	180
1	33	31	-1.38100	53987	180
2	38	34	-1.7260	53990	181A
1	38	33	-1.72600	53989	181
1	40	32	-2.07200	53991	182
2	41	34	-2.0720	53992	182
1	24	33	-3.83700	54018	184
1	25	33	-3.83700	54017	184
2	25	33	-3.8370	54021	184
1	25	33	-3.83700	54016	184
1	26	35	-3.83700	54015	184
1	25	34	-3.83700	54014	184
1	26	33	-3.83700	54013	184
2	26	34	-3.8370	54020	184
1	26	33	-3.83700	54011	184
1	26	33	-3.83700	54010	184
1	27	34	-3.83700	54009	184
2	30	36	-3.8370	54019	184
1	28	34	-3.83700	54008	184
1	29	34	-3.83700	54007	184
1	29	35	-3.83700	54006	184
1	30	35	-3.83700	54005	184
1	30	36	-3.83700	54004	184
1	31	36	-3.83700	54003	184
2	26	37	-4.3160	54026	195
1	26	37	-4.31600	54022	195

APPENDIX 3. PUMPAGE DATA USED IN SIMULATION—Continued

2	27	37	-4.3160	54027	195
1	27	38	-4.31600	54023	195
2	27	38	-4.3160	54026	195
1	28	39	-4.31600	54024	195
2	28	38	-4.3160	54029	195
1	28	38	-4.31600	54030	195
2	35	37	-1.3810	54033	202
1	35	36	-1.38100	54031	202
2	36	38	-1.3810	54034	202
1	36	37	-1.38100	54032	202
1	36	31	-0.98600	54043	208
2	36	30	-0.9860	54048	208
1	36	30	-0.98600	54044	208
2	37	30	-0.9860	54045	208
2	38	30	-0.9860	54046	208
2	38	31	-0.9860	54049	208
2	39	30	-0.9860	54047	208
2	47	35	-1.7260	54058	210
2	47	35	-1.7260	54059	210
1	47	34	-1.72600	54056	210
1	48	34	-1.72600	54057	210
2	48	30	-0.7670	54066	211
2	49	29	-0.7670	54065	211
2	49	28	-0.7670	54106	211
2	50	27	-0.7670	54064	211
1	50	28	-0.7670	54063	211
1	51	28	-0.7670	54062	211
2	49	30	-0.7670	54072	211
2	50	30	-0.7670	54070	211
2	50	30	-0.7670	54071	211
2	49	38	-1.726	54075	218
2	48	35	-1.726	54076	218
FOURTH AND FIFTH STRESS PERIODS					
LAYER	ROW	COLUMN	RATE	PERMIT NO.	BASIN
2	47	29	-1.7260	54061	168
1	47	29	-1.72600	54060	168
1	47	29	-1.72600	54068	168
2	48	30	-1.7260	54069	168
2	41	25	-0.6906	53949	169A
2	42	27	-0.6906	53948	169A
1	42	27	-0.69060	53947	169A
2	45	30	-0.6906	53952	169B
2	46	31	-0.6906	53951	169B
1	47	31	-0.69060	53950	169B
2	35	28	-2.0720	53958	171
1	36	28	-2.07200	53956	171
1	39	28	-2.07200	53957	171A
2	39	27	-2.0720	53959	171
2	34	25	-2.7620	53964	172
1	34	26	-2.76200	53962	172
1	36	26	-2.76200	53961	172
1	38	26	-2.76200	53960	172
2	39	26	-2.7620	53963	172
1	32	24	-3.64600	53985	173B
1	33	24	-3.64600	53986	173B
2	33	24	-3.6460	53975	173B
1	33	23	-3.64600	53965	173B
1	33	23	-3.64600	53966	173B
2	34	23	-3.6460	53976	173B
1	34	20	-3.64600	53973	173B
1	34	23	-3.64600	53967	173B
1	34	23	-3.64600	53968	173B

APPENDIX 3. PUMPAGE DATA USED IN SIMULATION—Continued

2	34	23	-3.6460	53977	173B
1	34	22	-3.64600	53969	173B
2	35	22	-3.6460	53979	173B
1	35	20	-3.64600	53974	173B
2	35	22	-3.6460	53978	173B
1	35	21	-3.64600	53970	173B
1	36	20	-3.64600	53971	173B
2	36	21	-3.6460	53980	173B
2	39	17	-3.6460	53983	173A
1	39	19	-3.64600	53982	173A
1	39	19	-3.64600	53981	173A
1	32	31	-1.3810	53988	180
1	33	31	-1.38100	53987	180
2	38	34	-1.7260	53990	181A
1	38	33	-1.72600	53989	181
1	40	32	-2.07200	53991	182
2	41	34	-2.0720	53992	182
1	24	33	-3.83700	54018	184
1	25	33	-3.83700	54017	184
2	25	33	-3.8370	54021	184
1	25	33	-3.83700	54016	184
1	26	35	-3.83700	54015	184
1	25	34	-3.83700	54014	184
1	26	33	-3.83700	54013	184
2	26	34	-3.8370	54020	184
1	26	33	-3.83700	54011	184
1	26	33	-3.83700	54010	184
1	27	34	-3.83700	54009	184
2	30	36	-3.8370	54019	184
1	28	34	-3.83700	54008	184
1	29	34	-3.83700	54007	184
1	29	35	-3.83700	54006	184
1	30	35	-3.83700	54005	184
1	30	36	-3.83700	54004	184
1	31	36	-3.83700	54003	184
2	26	37	-4.3160	54026	195
1	26	37	-4.31600	54022	195
2	27	37	-4.3160	54027	195
1	27	38	-4.31600	54023	195
2	27	38	-4.3160	54026	195
1	28	39	-4.31600	54024	195
2	28	38	-4.3160	54029	195
1	28	38	-4.31600	54030	195
2	35	37	-1.3810	54033	202
1	35	36	-1.38100	54031	202
2	36	38	-1.3810	54034	202
1	36	37	-1.38100	54032	202
1	36	31	-0.98600	54043	208
2	36	30	-0.9860	54048	208
1	36	30	-0.98600	54044	208
2	37	30	-0.9860	54045	208
2	38	30	-0.9860	54046	208
2	38	31	-0.9860	54049	208
2	39	30	-0.9860	54047	208
2	47	35	-1.7260	54058	210
2	47	35	-1.7260	54059	210
1	47	34	-1.72600	54056	210
1	48	34	-1.72600	54057	210
2	48	30	-0.7670	54066	211
2	49	29	-0.7670	54065	211
2	49	28	-0.7670	54106	211
2	50	27	-0.7670	54064	211

APPENDIX 3. PUMPAGE DATA USED IN SIMULATION—Continued

1	50	28	-0.7670	54063	211
1	51	28	-0.7670	54062	211
2	49	30	-0.7670	54072	211
2	50	30	-0.7670	54070	211
2	50	30	-0.7670	54071	211
2	49	38	-1.726	54075	218
2	48	35	-1.726	54076	218