

# **Project Background**

**Over fifty years ago, the Nevada Division of Water Resources (NDWR)** published a series of statewide reconnaissance reports covering Nevada's hydrographic areas, creating the original groundwater budgets that are still in use today. Within these reports are maps of groundwater discharge areas.

NDWR uses natural groundwater discharge as the upper limit for appropriating groundwater rights. Therefore, it's important that accurate estimates of groundwater discharge from phreatophytes exist. Across Nevada, phreatophyte vegetation is the primary conduit of groundwater discharge.

New work by DRI has improved the science to more accurately estimate the extent of groundwater discharge via phreatophyte evapotranspiration (ETg). At the request of the NDWR, and in conjunction with the USGS, DRI is updating these estimates using the best available science. This project is the Nevada Water Initiative (NWI).

### Objectives

• Develop a comprehensive, statewide dataset delineating groundwater discharge boundaries across Nevada.

• Using contemporary satellite-based methods, update statewide groundwater discharge estimates under pre-development conditions.

• Highlight comparisons between existing ETg volumes, established by **Reconnaissance Series Reports (RR) and Water Resource Bulletins (e.g. Columbus Salt Marsh and Monte Cristo Valley).** 



# **Updating Groundwater Discharge Boundaries and Estimates** in Select Nevada Basins

Eugene Long, Murphy Gardner, Mark Lobsinger, Isaac Harrison, Justin Huntington **Desert Research Institute, Division of Hydrologic Sciences, Reno, NV** Email: eugene.long@dri.edu

# Methods for Mapping Groundwater Discharge Areas



Groundwater discharge areas in Nevada are mapped with a combination of remote sensing data and extensive field work. Columbus Salt Marsh and Monte Cristo Valley are showcased below; two examples selected from the hundreds of hydrographic areas the NWI project spans. Techniques used for mapping include the following:

- Water level data reveals where groundwater is accessible for phreatophytes (<50 ft depth to water).
- Land surface temperature is an excellent indicator of groundwater discharge due to evaporative cooling.
- Soil data shows boundaries between soil types. Discharge area boundaries typically follow soil boundaries closely.
- Historical aerial imagery shows discharge areas under pre-development conditions.
- Extensive field work is necessary to verify the boundaries of discharge areas mapped in the lab.

Mapping



Field expedition verifying discharge boundaries like the ones below.



**Non-Phreatophytes & Phreatophytes** 





Discharge area boundary closely following soil type.



boundaries and Reconnaissance Report Boundary published in 1970.



A comparison of new NWI discharge boundaries and Reconnaissance Report Boundary published in 1970.



NAIP aerial imagery is high resolution and shows contrast between phreatophyte and non-phreatophyte vegetation.

Monte Cristo Valley



feet-per-year.

#### **Columbus Salt Marsh**



Groundwater Discharge in Columbus Salt Marsh in feet-per-year.



Historical aerial imagery (left) from 1955 compared to modern NAIP imagery (right). Note the dense phreatophyte vegetation under pre-development conditions compared to the complete lack of discharging phreatophytes today.

# and Estimating ET<sub>a</sub>

**ETg estimates for Monte Cristo and Columbus were developed using the Beamer-Minor method.** This method relies on a regression that correlates remotely sensed Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) with ETg in the discharge area.

|   |   |                                       | Ground  |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|
|   | 10,000  |                                       |   |
|   | 8,000   |                                       |   |
|   | 6,000   |                                       |   |
|   | Area (ac  |                                       |   |
|   | 4,000   |                                       |   |
|   | 2,000   |                                       | 4,700   |
|   | 0   |                                       | NWI   |
|   |   |                                       |   |
|   |   |                                       |   |
|   |   |                                       | Ground  |
|   | 50,000  |                                       |   |
|   | 40,000  |                                       |   |
|   | 30,000  |                                       |   |
|   | 000 02 Area (ac   |                                       |   |
|   | 20,000  |                                       | 35,000  |
|   | 10,000  |                                       |   |
|   | 0   |                                       | NWI   |
| <ul> <li>In Col<br/>RR. Bi<br/>estimation</li> <li>RR ration</li> <li>RR applaya</li> <li>The NWI E<br/>Columbus<br/>Valley, the</li> <li>Conclus</li> <li>The updated government of the<br/>presented her</li> </ul> |   |                                       |   |
|   | deriv<br>of pl<br>deve<br>both<br>appl<br>discl<br>reso | ved<br>hre<br>elop<br>M<br>yin<br>har | I metri<br>atophy<br>pment<br>onte C<br>g thes<br>ge. The |

Resources; U.S. Geological Survey.



# **Results of Discharge Area Mapping**



### Monte Cristo Valley



#### **Columbus Salt Marsh**



- rge area in Monte Cristo grew, and as a result, so did the volume.
- larger discharge areas doesn't always result in larger ETg.
- umbus Salt Marsh, the NWI discharge area is larger than the ut the annual ETg is 1,700 acre-feet lower than what was ated in the RR.
- te for the phreatophyte zones is 0.1 feet/year, the same as **NI** rate.
- plied a rate of 0.1 feet/year to the Columbus Salt Marsh while the NWI applied a rate of 0.05 feet/year.
- Tg rate (feet of discharge per acre averaged) doubled Salt Marsh when compared to the RR rate. In Monte Cristo rate stayed the same.

# sion

groundwater discharge boundaries and ETg estimates re refine historical estimates from NDWR Reconnaissance s. By integrating high-resolution NAIP imagery and satellitecs with field verification, this study enhances the delineation yte areas and evapotranspiration rates under preconditions. Results reveal adjustments to discharge areas in risto Valley and Columbus Salt Marsh, showing the value in e contemporary methods for estimating groundwater ese findings provide a foundation for managing groundwater oss Nevada.

# vledgements

- the State of Nevada, the State Engineer's Office, the Department of Water Resources, and the USGS.
- M., Minor, B. A., Morton, C. G., & Smith, G. T. (2022). Groundwater Discharge from Phreatophyte Vegetation, Humbold River Basin, Nevada. Desert Research Institute
- [3] Van Denburgh, S., & Glancy, P. A. (1970). Water-Resources Appraisal of the Columbus Salt Marsh-Soda Spring Valley Area, Mineral and Esmeralda rces-Reconnaissance Series Report 52). Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Division of Wate